

Quantinuum System Model H2 Emulator

Product Data Sheet

Version 1.1 July 30, 2023

TABLE OF CONTENTS

INTRODUCTION	3
FEATURES	3
USE CASES	3
FUNCTIONAL REQUIREMENTS	3
EMULATOR ACCESS AND OUTPUT	4
JOB EXECUTION	4
PERFORMANCE	4
EMULATION METHOD	5
NOISE MODEL	5
Physical Noise	7
Dephasing Noise	8
Arbitrary Angle Noise Scaling	9
Scaling	9
APPENDIX	9



INTRODUCTION

This Product Data Sheet covers all features and characteristics of the **Quantinuum System Model H2 Emulator.** This includes specifications for all emulators available online, which currently includes the H2-1 emulator.

FEATURES

- Detailed noise models and up-to-date parameters closely mimicking System Model H2 (hardware) performance. Each emulator uses the same physical noise model, but noise parameters reflect performance of the device being emulated.
- Uses identical API for job submission as System Model H2, enabling seamless transition from emulator to hardware
- Uses identical compiler as System Model H2, containing all the native gates (single-qubit rotations, two-qubit ZZ-gates), transport operations and classical operations used in System Model H2
- Provides identical output format as System Model H2
- Allows usage of System Model H2 attributes: all-to-all connectivity and qubit reuse after mid-circuit measurement
- Available even while System Model H2 is offline to enable maximized productivity and development time
- TKET supported in the stack provides circuit optimization to all submitted circuits.
 Additional details on TKET options can be found in the Quantinuum Application Programming Interface (API) Specification.

USE CASES

The System Model H2 Emulator provides a high-fidelity emulation of System Model H2. Use cases include:

- Debugging of quantum programs before running on physical hardware
- · Optimization of quantum circuits in the presence of noise mechanisms
- Exploring new algorithms and techniques for quantum error correction
- Introduction to System Model H2 and its unique differentiating capabilities such as qubit reuse after mid-circuit measurement, all-to-all connectivity, and high-fidelity gates

FUNCTIONAL REQUIREMENTS

The System Model H2 Emulator is meant to be a functional emulation of System Model H2 and therefore supports the same functional operations as H2. Specifically, the System Model H2 Emulator supports:

- OPENQASM 2.0 circuits
- Quantinuum QASM enhancements, including classical logic, math, and program flow control
- System Model H2 native gate set¹ $R_z(\lambda)$, $U_{1q}(\theta, \varphi)$, ZZ, $RZZ(\theta)$
- · Common compound gates from OPENQASM library, e.g., CX, H
- User-defined compound gates
- User option of noiseless simulation or inclusion of System Model H2 noise models
- Large quantum circuits with a limit of 10,000 on the number of shots

¹ For definition of native gates, please request a copy of the Quantinuum System Model H2 Product Data Sheet



_

Identical queuing prioritization as System Model H2

EMULATOR ACCESS AND OUTPUT

Communication with the System Model H2 Emulator occurs through an API endpoint based on the OpenQASM 2.0 standard (Cross, Lev, John, & Jay, 2017). Interface details are given in the *Quantinuum Application Programming Interface (API) Specification*.

Users can select a System Model H2 Emulator in the machine list API, designated with the "E" suffix machine name. The output of the emulator is a JSON-formatted array, identical to the output format of System Model H2. Through the Job Submission API, users may select the type of emulator used and application of error models.

JOB EXECUTION

Jobs submitted with large shot-counts are automatically divided into appropriately sized chunks of smaller shot-counts in a method called "chunking." Chunking allows for a more incremental distribution of emulation resources to users and an increased flexibility in the management of long running jobs, The number of shots in a chunk is dynamically chosen by the runtime and varies with the complexity of the circuit.

PERFORMANCE

The performance of the System Model H2 Emulator is measured in comparison to the H2 hardware. With the inclusion of an accurate and up-to-date noise models, the System Model H2 Emulator provides a detailed representation of System Model H2 output, operating on a GPU backend. Because of the memory requirements, a slowdown in the number of H-System Quantum Credits (HQCs) processed in an hour is observed for emulations using 25 qubits or more. The difference in processing time is due to the higher number of qubits combined with the realistic physical noise model of System Model H2 performing a state-vector emulation. To estimate the amount of time the emulation will take, users can use the guideline in Figure 1 in conjunction with the total HQCs for their quantum program. It is generally recommended that users perform emulations with less than 28 quibits. Though it is dependent on the submitted circuit, the execution speed of the System Model H2 hardware is generally higher than the emulator with qubit counts above 28.

Accuracy is verified at Quantinuum by comparison between the emulator and hardware outputs. Users should be aware that System Model H2 has a more complex trapping geometry than System Model H1. In comparing the H2 emulation to H2 QPU results, users may experience a larger discrepancy than experienced comparing the H1 emulator to the H1 QPU. Quantinuum expects that as done with H1, error models for H2 will mature over time. In the case of exceptional or unexplained variance, users should contact Quantinuum technical support at QCsupport@quantinuum.com to discuss the circuit and results.






Figure 1 System Model H2 Emulator Average HQCs/Hour

EMULATION METHOD

The System Model H2 Emulator, accessible via the API, receives instructions directly from the same compilers used by the System Model H2 physical hardware. These compilers translate the submitted quantum program into a set of instructions comprising the native gate operations and the transport operations necessary to reconfigure the ion trap at each step of the program. Users can choose between either a state-vector or stabilizer emulation method; in both cases results are performed shot-by-shot. The state-vector emulation method can run any general quantum circuits, while the stabilizer emulation method is restricted to circuits involving only quantum unitary gates that are Clifford operations.

The error model for the emulation can be turned on or off, allowing noisy or noise-free emulations, respectively. The emulated error model includes:

- · depolarizing gate noise
- leakage errors, crosstalk noise
- dephasing noise due to transport and qubit idling

Except for dephasing, errors on physical qubits are modeled as stochastic processes. For the state-vector emulation, dephasing is handled as a coherent Z rotation according to a dephasing rate and the duration the qubit spends in transport or while idling while other qubits are being gated. For the stabilizer emulation, the dephasing noise is treated as a stochastic Z error where the probability of a Z error is equal to the Pauli twirled approximation of the coherent dephasing channel, which is proportional to the square of the dephasing rate multiplied by the duration.

NOISE MODEL

Users who have direct access to the Quantinuum API have the option of experimenting with the physical noise parameters of the emulator. When deviating from the default emulation model, users should not assume that performance predicted with modified error parameters will match hardware performance.

All parameters listed in Table 1 are the default settings of the System Model H2 emulators. As updates to the System Model H2 quantum computers are made, the emulator noise parameters and the underlying error model are subject to change to accommodate



performance improvements, updates in the methodology for measuring devices parameter and research into the noise sources themselves.

All the errors are applied even when only certain parameters are specified. Only the parameters specified are overridden. To turn off certain error parameters, explicitly set them to 0.

For more information on the errors observed, see the following publications: Realization of Real-Time Fault-Tolerant Quantum Error Correction, Implementing Fault-tolerant Entangling Gates on the Five-qubit Code and the Color Code, A Race Track Trapped-Ion Quantum Processor.

Table 1 Default Settings of the System Model H2 Emulators

Table I belaut Settings of the System Model Hz Emulators	
Default Settings	H2-1
General	
Qubits	32
Connectivity	All-to-all
Parallel two-qubit operations	4
Physical Noise	
Single-Qubit Fault Probability (p1)	2.5×10^{-5}
Two-Qubit Fault Probability (p2)	2×10^{-3}
Bit Flip Measurement Probability (0 outcome) (p_meas)	0.82×10^{-3}
Bit Flip Measurement Probability (1 outcome) (p_meas)	2.2×10^{-3}
Crosstalk Measurement Fault Probability (p_crosstalk_meas)	1.3×10^{-5}
Initialization Fault Probability (p_init)	4×10^{-5}
Crosstalk Initialization Probability (p_crosstalk_init)	9.6×10^{-6}
Ratio of Single-Qubit Spontaneous Emission to p1 (p1_emission_ratio)	0.32
Ratio of Single-Qubit Spontaneous Emission in Two-Qubit Gate to p2 (p2_emission_ratio)	0.395
Dephasing Noise	
Quadratic Dephasing Rate (quadratic_dephasing_rate)	0.043
Linear Dephasing Rate (linear_dephasing_rate)	0.0028
Coherent to Incoherent Factor (coherent_to_incoherent_factor)	2.0
Arbitrary Angle Noise Scaling	
Fit Parameter 1 (przz_a)	1.518



Fit Parameter 2 (przz_b)	0.241
Fit Parameter 3 (przz_c)	1.518
Fit Parameter 4 (przz_d)	0.241
Polynomial (przz_power)	1.0

Physical Noise

The emulator runs with default error parameters that represent a noise environment that closely resembles the respective hardware. These error parameters can be set and used to override the default error parameters and do finer-grain tweaks of the error model. Modification of the error parameters away from default values is an advanced option and not recommended as a starting point for emulations of hardware performance.

- Single-Qubit Fault Probability (p1): probability of a fault occurring during a single-qubit gate
- · Two-Qubit Fault Probability (p2): probability of a fault occuring during a two-qubit gate
- Bit Flip Measurement Probability (p_meas): probability of a bit flip being applied to a measurement. Either a float or a tuple of 2 floats. If it is a single float then that error rate is used to bitflip both 0 and 1 measurement results. If a tuple is supplied, the first element is the probability a bit flip is applied if a 0 result occurs during measurement while the second error rate if a 1 is measured.
- Crosstalk Measurement Fault Probability (p_crosstalk_meas): probability of a crosstalk measurement fault occurring
- Initialization Fault Probability (p_init): probability of a fault occurring during initialization of a qubit
- Crosstalk Initialization Fault Probability (p_crosstalk_init): probability of a cross-talk fault occurring during initialization of a qubit
- Ratio of Single-Qubit Spontaneous Emission to p1 (p1_emission ratio): fraction of p1 that is spontaneous emission for a single qubit instead of depolarizing noise
- Ratio of Single-Qubit Spontaneous Emission in Two-Qubit Gate to p2
 (p2_emission_ratio): fraction of p2 that is spontaneous emission for a single qubit in a
 two-qubit gate instead of depolarizing noise

The single and two-qubit fault probabilities are largely modeled using depolarizing channels; however, there is smaller probability that a spontaneous emission event happens. The probability is about an order of magnitude lower than the corresponding depolarizing error rate. The spontaneous emission error rates can be scaled using the scaling parameters given in the Scaling section. If a spontaneous emission event happens then $\frac{1}{4}$ the time $\frac{1}{4}$ is applied, $\frac{1}{4}$ the time $\frac{1}{4}$ is applied, and $\frac{1}{4}$ the time leakage is applied. For more details see: Realization of Real-Time Fault-Tolerant Quantum Error Correction.

The two-qubit fault probability corresponds to the depolarizing probability of the System Model H2 fully entangling two-qubit gate, ZZ(). The probability of depolarizing error for the arbitrary angle two-qubit gate, $RZZ(\theta)$, depends on the angle θ . The spontaneous emission error channel is the same for both ZZ() and $RZZ(\theta)$.



Dephasing Noise

The noise model includes a memory error for which Z is applied. This is often called "dephasing" or "memory" noise. We potentially model two types of dephasing noise: one where the probability of applying Z is quadratically dependent on the duration for which qubits are idling or transporting in the trap, and another where the probability is linearly dependent on the duration. Note, we apply both sorts of noise simutaneously.

For state-vector simulations, the quadratic noise is modeled in the emulator by default as coherent noise. For this coherent quadratic dephasing noise, the RZ gate is applied with an angle proportional to frequency x duration. The probability of the RZ gate applying a Z operation on a plus state is $\sin(\text{frequency x duration/2})^2$, which is why we call this a form of quadratic dephasing.

For the stabilizer simulator, by default this quadratic noise is modelled incoherent by applying Pauli Z with probability $\sin(\text{frequency} \times \text{duration}/2)^2$ to model more closely the quadratic depency with frequency and time as seen in the coherent model. Note, stabilizer simulations can only simulate Clifford and measurement-like gates, so the RZ gate cannot be applied directly. For both the state-vector and stabilizer simulations, linear dephasing is also modeled with Z applied with probability rate x duration.

Switching between the coherent and incoherent quadratic dephasing model can be accomplished by setting coherent_dephasing either True or False. As mentioned, coherent_dephasing is True by default for the state-vector simulations and False by default for stabilizer simulations. If coherent_dephasing is set to False then the frequency for the quadratic error model (quadratic_dephasing_rate) is multiplied by coherent_to_incoherent_factor to attempt to make up for increased noise due to coherent effects; however, how sensitive circuits are to coherent effects depends on the circuit. Therefore, users may want to adjust this factor appropriately.

In addition, a transport dephasing parameter (transport_dephasing) is turned on by default and an idle dephasing parameter is turned on by defult (idle_dephasing). Both of these can be toggled off.

- Coherent Quadratic Dephasing Model: the gate RZ (frequency x duration) is applied during transport and qubit idling, applied by default for the state-vector simulator, where frequency is equal to quadratic_dephasing_rate (in usings of 2 pi rads per sec). This model is used if coherent_dephasing is True.
- Incoherent Quadratic Dephasing Model: Pauli Z is applied during transport and qubit idling according to the probability $\sin(\text{frequency x duration}/2)^2$, applied by default for the stabilizer simulator, where frequency is equal to quadratic_dephasing_rate x coherent_to_incoherent_factor (all in units of 2π radians per second). This model is used if coherent_dephasing is False. This model is mostly used to minimic coherent dephasing noise for stabilizer simulations.
- **Linear Dephasing Model:** Pauli *Z* is applied during transport and qubit idling according to the probability of linear_dephasing_rate x duration (where linear_dephasing_rate is in units of per sec. and duration is in units of seconds). This model is used in conjunction with both the coherent or incoherent quadratic dephasing model.



Arbitrary Angle Noise Scaling

The System Model H2 systems have a native arbitrary-angle ZZ gate, $RZZ(\theta)$. For implementation of this gate in the System Model H2 emulator, certain parameters relate to the strength of the depolarizing noise. These parameters depend on the angle θ . This is normalized so that $\theta = \frac{\pi}{2}$ gives the two-qubit fault probability (p2).

The parameters for depolarizing noise are fit parameters that fit the noise estimated as the angle θ changes per this equation:

$$(przz_a * (|\theta|/\pi)^{przz_{power}} + przz_b) * p2 \quad \theta < 0$$

$$(przz_c * (|\theta|/\pi)^{przz_{power}} + przz_d) * p2 \quad \theta > 0$$

$$(przz_b + przz_d) * 0.5 \quad \theta = 0$$

- Fit Parameter 1 (przz_a)
- Fit Parameter 2 (przz_b)
- Fit Parameter 3 (przz c)
- Fit Parameter 4 (przz_d)
- Polynomial (przz_power)

Scaling

A scaling factor can be applied that multiplies all the default or supplied error parameters by the scaling rate. In this case, a 1 does not change the error rates while 0 makes all the errors have a probability of 0. Other aspects of the noise model can scale specific error rates in the error model, which include:

- Scaling (scale): scale all error rates in the model linearly
- P1 Scaling (p1_scale): scale the probability of single-qubit gates having a fault
- P2 Scaling (p2_scale): scale the probability of two-qubit gates having a fault
- Measurement Scaling (meas_scale): scale the probability of measurement having a
 fault
- Initialization Scaling (init_scale): scale the probability of initialization having a fault
- Memory Scaling (memory_scale): linearly scale the probability of dephasing causing a fault
- Emission Scaling (emission_scale): scale the probability that a spontaneous emission event happens during a single or two-qubit gate
- Cross-talk Scaling (crosstalk_scale): scale the probability that measurement or
 intialization crosstalk events get applied to qubits, during mid-circuit measurement and
 reset (initialization), "crosstalk" noise can occur that effectively measures other qubits in
 the trap or cause them to leak.
- Leakage Scaling (leakage_scale): scale the probability that a leakage even happens
 during single or two-qubit gates as well as during initalization or crosstalk; on the device
 half the time, spontaneous emission leads to a leakage event

APPENDIX

A H-System Quantum Credit (HQC) is defined as:



$$HQC = 5 + \frac{N_{1q} + 10 N_{2q} + 5 N_m}{5000} C$$

where N_{1q} is the number of single-qubit gates, N_{2q} is the number of native two-qubit gates, N_m is the number of state preparation and measurement operations in a circuit, including the initial implicit state preparation and any intermediate and final measurements and state resets, and $\mathcal C$ is the shot count. When a circuit is submitted (whether to the Syntax Checker, System Model H2, or System Model H2 Emulator) the cost in HQCs is returned with the results.

