

The Agency Fund

We make philanthropic investments in ideas and organizations that leverage data, science, and technology to support people in the navigation of economic and life choices. Our innovation investments focus on time-limited projects that pilot, experimentally test, or scale and iteratively improve specific innovations that advance human agency. We welcome concepts that fall within the general scope of [our approach](#).

Background for our Open Call

The lottery of birth is deeply unfair. Yet even people in poverty strive to live with self-determination, dignity, and hope. The greater our agency, the less we are defined by the circumstances we were born into. What do people need to exert agency over their lives? There is evidence that both information and psychosocial support play important roles. For example, tailored insights — like customized advice and personalized coaching — can enable the successful navigation of difficult economic and life choices. Rigorous research indicates that such support can improve subjective indicators like self-efficacy and life satisfaction, along with objective outcomes like income,¹⁻³ health status,⁴ and educational attainment.⁵ Technology has a role to play in helping large numbers of people access customized insights and coaching. For example, recommender systems and personalization engines already support consumers navigating online choices — from purchasing decisions and investment options, to finding the right service provider. The expansion of mobile technology, along with the falling cost of data in low-income settings, makes it possible to bring evidence-based guidance to people in poverty as well. A number of organizations are already pioneering this approach. For example:

- Non-profit Precision Development provides smallholder farmers in India and Africa with agricultural advisory services that are tailored to local agronomic conditions.⁶
- Non-profit ConsiliumBots helps Latin American students and their families navigate the public education options that are available to them.⁷
- Shujaaz, a social venture, builds digital tools that help East African micro-entrepreneurs seek and share advice on informal street hustles.

We are interested in these and similar ideas that give people access to localized, actionable insights that may prove useful to them in the navigation of their difficult economic prospects.

What and How We Fund

This call supports research and innovation projects.

- A Pilot round is suitable for untested ideas. The expected output is a proof-of-concept. The maximum contribution is \$180k.
- Advanced funding rounds are suitable for ideas with a degree of existing evidence or scale. The expected outcomes are greater evidence and greater scale. There are no defined contribution limits.

The strongest applications will demonstrate:

- Direct relevance to the planning and decision challenges of individuals, households, or communities in poverty.
- A compelling hypothesis about specific informational or psychosocial barriers that can keep people from realizing their full potential.
- A team that works at the cutting edge of social or technological innovation, follows an evidence-based approach, and has a deep understanding of the context it operates in.
- A path to scale, a framework for measuring cost and benefits at scale, and ambitious timelines.

This call is open-ended. Submissions will be reviewed on a quarterly basis.

For FAQs and to apply, visit www.agency.fund

Citations

1. Banerjee, A. et al. (2015). A multifaceted program causes lasting progress for the very poor: Evidence from six countries. *Science* 348(6236)
2. Banerjee, A. et al. (2018): Unpacking a multi-faceted program to build sustainable income for the very poor. *NBER Working Paper 24271*
3. Brooks, W., Donovan, K, Johnson, T. R. (2018): Mentors or teachers? Microenterprise training in Kenya. *American Economic Journal: Applied Economics* 10(4)
4. Dupas, P. (2011). Do teenagers respond to HIV risk information? Evidence from a field experiment in Kenya. *American Economic Journal: Applied Economics* 3(1)
5. Jensen, R. (2012). Do labor market opportunities affect young women's work and family decisions? Experimental evidence from India. *The Quarterly Journal of Economics* 127(2)
6. Fabregas, R., Kremer, M., Schilbach, F. (2019). Realizing the potential of digital development: The case of agricultural advice. *Science* 366(6471)
7. Arteaga, F., Kapor, A. J., Neilson, C. A., Zimmerman, S. D. (2021). Smart Matching Platforms and Heterogeneous Beliefs in Centralized School Choice. *NBER Working Paper 28946*