

# Further Submission by UK Medical Freedom Alliance for Core Participant Status UK Covid Inquiry Module 4 (Vaccines and Therapeutics)

24 July 2023

## **Dear Baroness Hallett**

In response to your provisional decision, sent on 17 July 2023, please find below additional information to support our application, as requested.

- UK Medical Freedom Alliance (UKMFA) submitted this document<sup>1</sup> to apply to be Core Participants in the COVID-19 Inquiry Module 4 on COVID-19 vaccines and Therapeutics.
- Baroness Hallett sent her provisional decision to reject our application on the grounds that
  "my view is that the Applicant does not meet the criteria in Rule 5(2)(b) for the purposes of
  Module 4". She offered us "an opportunity to renew the application in writing to the Solicitor
  to the Inquiry by 4pm on 24 July 2023" with any additional information to support our
  application.
- 3. Baroness Hallett determined that although UKMFA "can be said to have an interest in matters relevant to Module 4", our submission and expertise "does not amount to a significant interest for the purposes of Rule 5(2)(b) for the purposes of Module 4", the focus of our original application.
- 4. Regarding Rule 5(2) we also wish to apply for consideration under 5(2)(c) "the person may be subject to explicit or significant criticism during the inquiry proceedings or in the report, or in any interim report". We set out our evidence for this below.
- 5. UK Medical Freedom Alliance have been heavily censored, silenced and smeared during the pandemic, following our public opposition to unethical and unscientific Government Covid policies and for challenging the wisdom and ethics of the reckless Covid-19 vaccine rollout. This, despite that fact that all our letters and articles were fully referenced and that it is now apparent that most of the warnings and concerns we raised have sadly come to pass.
- 6. Dr Elizabeth Evans, the UKMFA co-founder and CEO, was personally targeted and smeared in the July 2021 illegal hacking and publishing of the HART private workspace chat (to which she belonged) by a group called Logically AI, who were contracted by the UK Government to "monitor mis/disinformation"<sup>2</sup>.

In the Logically AI article, two posts written by Dr Evans in the private HART workspace were screenshotted and published (see below) along with the following paragraph referencing UKMFA and Dr Evans:

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> https://www.ukmedfreedom.org/open-letters/module-4-application

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> https://www.logically.ai/articles/hart-files-anti-vaccine-myths-westminster



"...The UK Medical Freedom Alliance (UKMFA) also sent an open letter to Sridhar, which was then also uploaded to the Children's Health Defense website. Around the same time, an article criticizing Sridhar was posted to the Conservative Woman, a website that regularly repackages extremist viewpoints, exclusively quoting Ros Jones of HART, as well as referring to the UKMFA, of which HART member Liz Evans is listed as a founder. Evans introduces herself in the HART channels as 'running UK Medical Freedom Alliance'..."

# 1

#### Dr Liz Evans @lizfinch 2021-01-24T14:44:06+00:00

The key is getting enough MPs on our side to challenge the Government, not expecting us to change the Government's mind ourselves. Not wanting to sound like a conspiracy theorist, but if there is (as I believe) an underlying, more malign agenda (unrelated to the virus but using it to advance its own ends) which is being pursued by the Government then they will not want a way out and are very happy as things are, and know already that it makes no scientific or economic sense from a Covid-19 perspective. Whether the hidden agenda is the advancement of the 4th Industrial Revolution which they Government have openly signed up to, or just a drive for increased medicalisation, surveillance and power/profits to big-tech and big-Pharma, I don't know and is probably not important. But to enable the Government to continue in this vein they have to have MPs on board (who will not be signed up to, supportive or aware of, a malign agenda so will be able to be persuaded by our science and economic voice of reason). So our primary target I believe is MPs - starting with the 1922 committee and CRG who have power in the Tory party, but also getting Labour MPs on board, and secondary target is the public who we can encourage to pressure on their MPs. I believe this is the only way - in the face of a Government who is very happy with the way things are going - to bring a halt to their nefarious plans.



### Dr Liz Evans @lizfinch 2021-01-24T14:46:11+00:00

So MPs are the key people to build relationships with and offer the rope to cling to and way out, as these are the people in power who genuinely (in the main) will want a way out. Forget the Government, backbenchers are our hope!



#### Narice Bernard @narice 2021-01-24T15:03:09+00:00

Totally agree Liz parliament is the landing zone but MSM is also a key target which means that we must partner with MPs strategically because only they have a right of audience right now. So they need to be quoting us, doing press conferences, public debates holding our hand as often as possible. We can't do that if we are a threat to their election prospects. This is why it's so critical to position ourselves extremely benignly publicly. Behind doors we can berate as much as we like but in public we're strictly following the evidence and helping to the government avoid a humiliating climb down. This in fact is what CRG have been trying to do for months but they needed a scientific partner to make it work. My only caveat is that Dr Fox is right it must be a cross party endeavour not a CRG appropriation of HART. That we cannot allow. We have a strategy for this in the making.

- 7. PayPal suspended both the UK Medical Freedom Alliance account and Dr Elizabeth Evans' (unrelated) private account on the same day (01 September 2022)<sup>3</sup>. Neither have been reinstated. The deliberate targeting and debanking of individuals and organisations who are lawfully campaigning against Government policies is deeply sinister and requires public investigation.
- 8. Following a Subject Access Request to the Cabinet Office, it has been revealed that Dr Elizabeth Evans, in her position as the co-founder of UKMFA, has been investigated by the Cabinet Office Rapid Response Media Monitoring Unit (see attached documents for details).

"16/09/2021 - Information contained in attachment to email: 'Children's Health Defense: A Deep-Dive Report September 2021' CHD's UK-focused advisor is Dr Liz Evans, a retired doctor and co-founder of the UK Medical Freedom Alliance,106 an organisation claiming to be led by UK medical professionals which has taken a COVID-19 vaccine-sceptic position and shares reports on adverse effects of the available COVID-19 vaccines. UK Medical Freedom Alliance has approximately 4,000 followers on Facebook108 and 7,000 followers on Twitter.109 Evans is also a member of the Hart Group,110 a UK-based organisation promoting anti-vaxx views and sharing content which could be viewed as aiming to discourage parents from allowing their children to be vaccinated against COVID-19."

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> https://dailysceptic.org/2022/10/30/the-paypal-amendment/



Government monitoring of individuals who have not broken any laws, merely for daring to speak and campaign against their policies and the use of taxpayers' money to do this, is deeply concerning and is a matter of public interest. This cannot be acceptable in a society based on the rule of law and must be investigated properly, with due process.

- 9. UKMFA were also seriously smeared in this BMJ article<sup>4</sup>, using insinuations and unsubstantiated and unreferenced allegations. The authors appeared to seek to undermine the contribution of our organisation to the Covid-19 Inquiry, a critical debate of national importance, and was a blatant push to silence legitimate scientific debate and to seek to exclude opposing voices from the Inquiry. Please read our full rebuttal, in the form of an open letter to the BMJ Editor, which was subsequently published in the BMJ<sup>5</sup>
- 10. We contend that the main aims and objectives of a public inquiry are to scrutinise and evaluate all the policies that the Government implemented, and to carry out a retrospective and full cost-benefit analysis and assessment of any resulting collateral damage. Now is the time to calmly and rationally assess all the arguments and evidence that campaigning groups have tried to present, but due to extreme censorship, has been deliberately kept away from debate in the public and political spheres. For nearly three years, UKMFA have repeatedly sought to engage with Government Ministers, regulators, policymakers and decision-makers, presenting an evidence-based and rational perspective on unethical and dangerous policies, but have been largely ignored. We simply wish to be given the opportunity to engage in the democratic process and have our experiences and expertise heard and documented.
- 11. The Public Inquiry must allow evidence to be presented relating to potentially unlawful policies and covert operations carried out by the Government and private corporations, such as PayPal and Logically AI, in an apparent attempt to silence all dissent and to cover up damage and harms relating to Covid-19 vaccines and the rollout. Any collusion between Government and private corporations must be exposed. UKMFA contend that, as victims of these operations, we should be allowed core participant status, to allow us to both defend ourselves and our reputation and to expose these unethical practices.

We sincerely hope that you will reconsider our application to be Core Participants for Module 4.

Yours sincerely

Dr Elizabeth Evans MA(Cantab), MBBS(Lond), DRCOG - CEO, UK Medical Freedom Alliance

Dr. Jon Rogers, MBChB, MRCGP, DRCOG - Director, U.K. Medical Freedom Alliance

Dr. Sarah Myhill, MBBS – Director, U.K. Medical Freedom Alliance

Adrienne Benjamin, MBA, BSc – Director, U.K. Medical Freedom Alliance

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> https://www.bmj.com/content/379/bmj-2022-070331.full

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> https://www.bmj.com/content/379/bmj-2022-070331/rr-11