Air abrasion case study with the RONDOflex Plus 360

By Dr Michael N. Mandikos Prosthodontist

Air abrasion, sometimes referred to as sandblasting, involves treating the surface of the tooth or dental restoration with a fine spray of aluminium oxide particles. It is well documented as a method to increase the retentiveness of the treated surface for subsequent adhesive procedures. The process involves the controlled pressure delivery of microscopic aluminium oxide particles (usually between 30 µm to 50 µm) to the tooth or restoration surface.

The air-abraded surface is indented by the harder alumina particles, leading to a microretentive roughened and higher energy surface, ready for bonding. The technique is especially suited for pretreating dentine, metal or ceramic surfaces before resin-based adhesives and cements are then applied.

Air abrasion can also be used on enamel surfaces, with many reports in the Orthodontic literature suggesting that the bond strength of orthodontic brackets to air abraded enamel is greater than for acid etching alone. Air abrasion may also increase the bond strength of self-etching dentine bonding systems to dentine, by removing the smear layer prior to the adhesive being applied.

Whilst air abrasion is clinically very effective and rising in popularity with clinicians who work with advanced bonding situations, one of its major drawbacks has been the mess associated with the procedure. Intra-oral air abrasion results in the scattering of the used microscopic alumina particles throughout the mouth, as well as out and onto the patient’s face, and often all over the immediate clinical working environment. This can include the Dentist’s gloves, the floor and even the working benchtops in the clinical setting. These particles are not only a nuisance to the clinician, but they also can remain in the mouth after copious irrigation and the patient rinsing, and can possibly settle onto the tooth surface immediately prior to the seating of the definitive restoration.

The particles thus are at risk of becoming incorporated in the final cement film. The potential for contaminating the cement in this way, together with

Figures 1 & 2. The patient was a young lady who was previously treated for the congenital absence of a maxillary right lateral incisor with a two-unit cantilever bridge. Whilst the canine is a very capable abutment tooth for such a treatment option, it was unfortunate that the tooth had been prepared for a full coverage retainer, rather than treated with a palatally bonded retainer for a Maryland-style bonded bridge. The patient was unhappy with the aesthetic appearance of the existing prosthesis and sought a replacement option.

Figures 3 & 4. After discussion with the patient and obtaining consent, it was decided that the treatment would involve replacement of the bridge, without soft tissue augmentation of the residual ridge. The existing bridge was thus removed, the existing heavy preparation of the abutment tooth was refined, impressions and records were made, and then a provisional bridge was placed.

Figures 5 & 6. The Ceramist then fabricated the definitive bridge using a lithium disilicate frame (eMax) layered with eMax Ceram, to achieve the final aesthetic result. The design was a two-unit cantilever, similar to the previous prosthesis, but with an emphasis on connector dimension for strength of the final restoration.
the general mess that air abrasion with alumina particles can often make, has dissuaded some clinicians from taking up this form of surface treatment prior to bonding procedures.

Fortuitously, Kavo Kerr provided a solution to the problem of air abrasion mess when they introduced the RONDOflex 360 Air Abrasion System in 2007. The RONDOflex 360 provides its stream of alumina particles within the confines of a concurrent water spray, not dissimilar to the way a dental handpiece releases a water spray around its dental bur. In fact, the RONDOflex 360 connects to the same coupling that an air turbine high-speed handpiece connects to, which further enhances the convenience of the device, as it does not require separate or additional couplings to be fitted to the Clinician’s bracket table.

Thus, the RONDOflex 360 can effect the air abrasion of a tooth surface intraorally, within the confines of what appears to be a simple water spray directed onto the tooth. As a result, the pressure-sprayed alumina particles are well contained within the water spray and are not scattered throughout the mouth or clinical working space. The RONDOflex 360 allows the Clinician to clean pre-treat the tooth surface or intaglio surface of the restoration (if held over a sink or other receptacle to catch the water) without the mess that is typically associated with the air abrasion process.

The author can attest to the incredible convenience and clinical cleanliness of this device, compared to other air abrasion units available in the market.

The illustrated case report demonstrates the use of the RONDOflex 360 for enhancing and optimizing the adhesion between the restoration and tooth, in a complex clinical situation.
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