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Who Answers to Women?

GENDER & ACCOUNTABILITY
Message from the Secretary-General
of the United Nations

The high standards, trust in humanity, and hope for the future that are expressed in UN human rights instruments require sound accountability mechanisms for their realization. If those who sign agreements such as the Convention on the Elimination of Discrimination against Women, or who endorse the Beijing Platform for Action, do not translate commitments into actions, and are not held to account for these actions, these texts lose credibility. Accountability is essential if the world is to realize women’s rights and gender equality.

That assertion is the basis and inspiration for this report. UNIFEM’s “Who Answers to Women? Gender and Accountability” appears at a critical juncture. We are just over halfway to the year 2015, set by the international community as the target for achieving Millennium Development Goals. Gender equality is a crucial determining factor for each. Yet the areas where progress has been slowest are women’s empowerment and gender equality. The very sluggish rate of change in the maternal mortality rate in some regions is especially alarming. We must do more to stop these preventable deaths, which affect not only mothers and families but entire societies.

The analysis contained in this report suggests that a lack of accountability to women can in some contexts explain more about the non-achievement of gender equality commitments than can other factors such as shortages of resources. Where women are able to participate in determining the distribution of public resources, where that can contribute to the planning of public services, where they can seek and obtain justice for abuses of their rights, where there are consequences for poor performance on women’s rights, better outcomes for women are achievable.

“Who Answers to Women?” identifies two indispensable elements of gender-responsive accountability. First, women must be legitimate members of any oversight or accountability process. Second, national commitments to gender equality and women’s rights must be among the standards against which public decisions are assessed. But the primary litmus test of gender-responsive accountability will be the elimination of violence against women. That is why, early in my tenure, I launched the global “Unite to End Violence against Women” campaign.

The United Nations stands squarely for women’s rights and for an end to the impunity that transgressors have exploited for far too long. This report is meant as a contribution to that effort, and I commend it to a wide global audience.

BAN KI-MOON
Secretary-General of the United Nations
Foreword

The past decades have seen great advances in terms of commitments to women’s rights, both nationally and globally. However, these are not always matched by actions on the ground. For too many women, poverty and violence are everyday facts of life as they struggle to access equal rights with men—in employment, family and property, as well as access the public resources and services.

Progress of the World’s Women 2008/2009 provides examples of how women are demanding accountability for action on commitments to promote gender equality and women’s rights from national governments, justice and law enforcement systems, employers and service providers, as well as international institutions. Accountability from a women’s rights perspective exists when all women are able to get explanations from those in power for actions that affect them, and can set in motion corrective actions when those responsible fail to promote their rights.

Gender equality advocates have been at the forefront of efforts to democratize power relations in private and informal institutions as well as in the public sphere. Indeed, this report shows that women’s efforts to expose gender-based injustice and demand redress have changed the ways in which we think of accountability.

Accountability cannot result from demand-side pressures alone. Progress of the World’s Women 2008/2009 demonstrates innovative examples of states and international institutions taking steps to increase the supply side of accountability. This implies gender-responsive changes in the mandates, practices, and cultures of these institutions to ensure that there are incentives and consequences for upholding their commitments to women’s rights. This report presents a framework for understanding accountability from a gender perspective and applies this to different contexts in which accountability systems determine women’s access to resources and power: politics, public services, labour, consumer and trade markets, justice systems, and international aid and security institutions.

Since 2000, women have had a global commitment against which to measure progress in building answerability to women: the Millennium Declaration and its Millennium Development Goals. Gender equality is central to the achievement of the MDGs. Achievement of the MDGs depends increasingly on women benefiting from development investments in education and health, being able to engage in the market on an equal basis with men, and being able to participate in public decision-making at all levels.

This report lays out the rationale for a new accountability agenda for women’s rights and gender equality. It provides evidence not just of an accountability deficit, but of promising government and civil society initiatives and institutional reforms that improve accountability to women.

INES ALBERDI
Executive Director
UNIFEM
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This volume of Progress of the World’s Women asks the question “Who answers to women?” at a pivotal moment. The Millennium Development Goals (MDGs) agreed to in 2000 contain a commitment to achieving gender equality and women’s empowerment, including indicators and concrete targets related to girls’ education and to maternal mortality. The MDGs also monitor progress on women’s ability to engage in economic activity and public decision-making on an equal basis with men. Halfway to 2015, the year when the MDGs should be met by all countries, progress has been mixed. This volume of Progress of the World’s Women demonstrates that the MDGs and other international commitments to women will only be met if gender-responsive accountability systems are put in place both nationally and internationally.

In too many countries, even where the constitution or laws prohibit it, women may be denied equal pay; they may be sexually harassed at work, or dismissed if they become pregnant. Women who assert a claim to land may find that claim disputed by village elders or their own husbands. Women seeking care during childbirth may be pressed to pay bribes for a mid-wife’s attention. Women who have been victims of sexual violence might encounter judges more sympathetic to the perpetrators, and receive no redress for their suffering. When guarantees to protect women’s rights go unfulfilled, where can these women turn for redress? Who answers to women?

Women’s struggles to expose gender-based injustice and demand redress have changed how we think about accountability. The chapters in this volume examine how gender-responsive changes to accountability systems are enhancing women’s influence in politics and their access to public services, to economic opportunities, to justice, and finally to international assis-
tance for development and security. Acknowledging that different groups of women encounter distinct challenges in gaining access to their rights, *Progress 2008/2009* examines how women, including the most excluded women, are strengthening their capacity to identify accountability gaps and call for redress.

**Making accountability work: authorisation, assessment and correction**

Accountability is a core element of democratic politics and good governance, as detailed in Box 1A. In democratic states, accountability relationships help ensure that decision-makers adhere to publicly agreed standards, norms, and goals. This happens through two processes:

- power-holders ‘give an account’ of what they did with the public trust and national revenue;
- corrective action is taken, if necessary, through a process of ‘enforcement of remedy’ – for instance, by voting politicians out of office or setting up a judicial inquiry.¹

Accountability, in other words, involves assessment of the adequacy of performance, and the imposition of a corrective action or remedy in cases of performance failure.

Accountability from a gender perspective requires that the decisions of public actors can be assessed by women and men equally. But what are public actors to be held accountable for? This depends on what they are authorised to do. Women may engage in voting, party politics, public audits and judicial processes, without a view to assessing the impact of public decisions on women’s...
rights. Gender-sensitive accountability systems require, therefore, not just women’s participation, but also institutional reform to make gender equality one of the standards against which the performance of decision-makers is assessed.

Authorisation — assigning a mandate to representatives or to service providers — happens through a range of mechanisms. These include systems for debating interests and articulating these as public agendas, and then subjecting them to a public vote — in short, the political process. Elected representatives then authorise institutions such as the police, health services, education boards, road maintenance or sanitation authorities to implement these mandates. Policy implementers, in turn, must report back to elected decision-makers on results. Their performance is also reviewed via reporting systems within the public administration hierarchy. If performance is found inadequate or worse, service providers can – or should – be subjected to management sanctioning, including losing their jobs.2 Figure 1.1 plots the basics of this authorisation, assessment and correction cycle.

The question “Who answers?” depends on who is asking and in what forum. Performance review and correction processes can take a ‘vertical’ or ‘horizontal’ form (Figure 1.2). The electoral cycle, for instance, is a ‘vertical’ accountability system, enabling citizens periodically to demand explanations from elected politicians.3 A ‘horizontal’ system, by contrast, involves various state institutions engaging in mutual scrutiny to correct for abuses of office. For example, judicial institutions review the constitutionality of executive decisions; the public audit function reviews probity in public spending; and ombudspersons or human rights commissions investigate citizen’s complaints.

One of the paradoxes of accountability relationships is that they put less powerful actors – individual citizens – in a position of demanding answers from more powerful actors. This is, in fact, the defining element of democratic accountability. Since accountability requires transparency, scru-
tiny of public actions, and the possibility of sanction for mistakes, accountability will be stronger in contexts in which there are mechanisms to enable political contestation and public debate.

Precisely because democratic accountability reverses traditional expectations about who can be held to account, important accountability mechanisms have been institutionalised (made routine) to ensure that those who have the right to demand explanations (rights holders) can actually get them from those with a formal public duty to supply them (duty bearers). Most important among these mechanisms are the normative foundations for accountability – national constitutions, as well as global agreements on human rights such as the United Nations Convention on the Elimination of All Forms of Discrimination against Women (CEDAW). Traditional accountability systems have considerable social legitimacy and staying power, however, and because of this there have been a number of efforts to adapt them to contemporary expectations about democratic accountability. Box 1B shows how a traditional social compact system in Rwanda is being used to address gender-based violence.

Demand and supply of accountability: ‘Voice’ and ‘Choice’ reforms

Women’s efforts to remedy their situation when their rights are denied have ranged from ‘voice’-based approaches that emphasise collective action, representation of interests, and the ability to demand change, to ‘choice’-based approaches that promote changes in the supply of responsive public service or fair market practices. As Figure 1.3 shows, ‘voice’-based approaches seek to demonstrate the existence of a constituency demanding delivery on promises to women. ‘Voice’-based approaches seek to publicize accountability failures and to demand accountability processes such as judicial investigations or legislative enquiries into abuses of women’s rights.

‘Voice’-based approaches frequently begin in civil society, but a growing number of examples from countries across the world suggest that they are often taken up by states. Examples include consultative mechanisms in debating public policy (public dialogues on poverty-reduction strategies in aid-recipient countries, as shown in Chapter 6), user committees to preside over the management of public goods (for
example, water or forest management committees in South Asia, or committees to perform a watchdog function over the distribution of public resources (such as vigilance committees scrutinising public spending in local councils in Bolivia, or oversight groups monitoring the sale of subsidised basic commodities in India, as shown in Chapter 3).

‘Choice’-based approaches seek to apply a market-derived rationale to accountability processes. Here the stress is on the individual end-user of public or private services as the agent of accountability, using market tools (such as user fees) to motivate providers to improve delivery, as shown in Figure 1.4. Administrative complaint systems, women’s or consumers’ charters, and encouragement of competition between providers of services, are examples of such approaches intended to empower individuals to seek redress through pursuing complaints or switching to other providers. Cash transfer schemes are based on the choice model, enabling households to purchase health or education services from providers of their choice. Fear of loss of clients creates incentives for providers to improve accountability (see Chapter 3).

For ‘voice’ and ‘choice’ solutions to work, they must be linked to the social contexts in which women can organize and must take into account the specific challenges that

---

**BOX 1B Imihigo: Adapting a Traditional Accountability Mechanism to Improve Response to Gender-based Violence**

*Imihigo* is a tradition that Rwanda has institutionalised as a means to enhance local government reform and stimulate development. It draws on a long-standing cultural practice in Rwanda whereby two parties publicly commit themselves to the achievement of a particular task. Failing to meet these public commitments leads to dishonor, not only for the individual party but for the community.

Following local governance reforms and the 2006 elections, Rwanda’s Ministry for Local Administration (MINALOC) and the Ministry of Finance and Economic Planning consulted with district leaders on an action plan for better service to community members. This action plan included contracts holding the President of Rwanda and the district leaders accountable for the goals that had been decided. These contracts were called *imihigo* in the tradition of this established cultural practice. Since 2006, *imihigo* have been signed at the local government level with district, sector, cell, and umudugudu (village) officials (2007), as well as at the household level (2008), and will be signed at the individual level (planned for 2009).

The signed contract between the head of household and local leaders includes baseline data for the district, district development targets, performance indicators, and the budgetary allocation for the achievement of each target. *Imihigo* evaluations are carried out three times a year by a task force comprising the Prime Minister’s Office, MINALOC and the President’s Office. Each district presents its evaluation findings to the task force in the presence of stakeholders.

Obligations under *imihigo* are reciprocal between signatories. District leaders, for example, are obligated to work with their constituents toward the achievement of national development priorities over the course of a year, and the President is committed to supporting districts with the requisite financial, technical and human resources to facilitate the achievement of these goals.

Recently, accountability for addressing gender-based violence (GBV) has been included in household surveys against which district leadership are to be evaluated. This signals a widespread commitment to prevent violence against women in an explicit form. As one District Mayor explained, “We included the fight against gender-based violence in our performance contracts because security organisations showed us important statistics about the problem of GBV in our area […] *imihigo* is a response to the problems in our community.”

*Imihigo* is both a rights-based planning tool, as well as a social contract between parties. As a MINALOC official recently summed up, “The overall aim of Community Dialogue is to increase the level of concern in community about the issues that affect them and to catalyze actions that improve their standards of living.”
different groups of women face in asking for accountability. As this volume of Progress shows, women’s frequent disadvantage in using accountability systems is based on their subordinate status in relation to men at home (husbands, fathers, brothers) or men as decision-makers and power-holders (traditional leaders, local council members, party leaders, judges, police), which constrains women’s ability to assert or exercise their rights. This subordinate status is evident in data from household surveys that show that in many regions women have limited control over critical household decisions, such as those involving their own health care or large purchases, and face significant mobility constraints, as shown in Figure 1.5.

Women’s limited decision-making power within the household means that their relationship to the public sphere or the market is often mediated by men. Their votes may not reflect their real preferences if they are voting according to their husbands’ wishes. They may not be free to use household income to pay for services of their choice, especially if they are under pressure to prioritise the needs of men in the household. Whether exercising political ‘voice’ or market ‘choice’, gendered mediation means women sometimes seek accountability ‘at one remove’ from states and markets, as shown in Figure 1.6.

Accountability solutions that propose women use political ‘voice’ or market ‘choice’ must take this gendered mediation into account. Moving from ‘voice’ to influence requires institutional changes in the places where public decisions are implemented, from ministries of finance that de-

---

**FIGURE 1.5 | Women’s Control Over Decisions**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Women with a final say over decisions, 1999-2005</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>MARRIED WOMEN</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sub-Saharan Africa</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Own health care</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Large purchases</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Daily purchases</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Visits to relatives</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>What food to cook</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Middle East &amp; North Africa</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CEE/CIS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>South Asia</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>East Asia &amp; Pacific</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Latin America &amp; Caribbean</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UNMARRIED WOMEN</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sub-Saharan Africa</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Own health care</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Large purchases</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Daily purchases</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Visits to relatives</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>What food to cook</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Middle East &amp; North Africa</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CEE/CIS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>South Asia</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>East Asia &amp; Pacific</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Latin America &amp; Caribbean</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Women with no say over decisions</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>MARRIED WOMEN</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sub-Saharan Africa</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Own health care</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Large purchases</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Daily purchases</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Visits to relatives</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>What food to cook</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Middle East &amp; North Africa</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CEE/CIS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>South Asia</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>East Asia &amp; Pacific</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Latin America &amp; Caribbean</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Notes: This figure reflects the percentage of women who have the final say in making specific decisions by type of decision. Regional information for married/unmarried women in HH decision making was based on countries with available information from DHS STATcompiler and organized according to UNIFEM regional groupings.

Source: DHS database.
termine resource allocation, to those shaping public services, to the front-line of interaction between citizens and states in health clinics, schools, agricultural extension services, or business licensing bureaus. If women do not have security, power or resources as individuals or as an organised political interest, they cannot hold public or private institutions accountable. And if they cannot demand accountability as collective or individual actors, this experience mutes women’s voice in determining collective goals. As a result, policymakers and providers are under-informed about women’s needs and preferences.

Women’s engagement in accountability processes

This volume of Progress highlights innovative efforts that are emerging in every region to strengthen accountability for gender equality. Through gender-responsive budget analysis, women’s groups, ministries of finance and parliamentarians are highlighting the differential impact of public spending on services for women and men. Through public audits of local government spending, corruption is exposed and better controls on spending at the local level are identified to enable women to benefit from public resources. Through citizens’ report cards surveying the quality of urban public services, women and community groups are identifying poor performance and demanding improvements from municipal authorities in sanitation systems, street lighting, and public housing.

Mobilising for concrete measures to increase accountability has triggered efforts to improve public responsiveness to women’s human rights. For example, in Kosovo, Sierra Leone, Rwanda and Liberia, post-conflict restructuring of police services has involved concerted efforts to recruit more women and to train personnel in effective responses to gender-based violence (see Chapter 4). In the Philippines, local development councils have mandatory representation from civil-society organisations, to provide them with space to bring women’s concerns into local decision-making. Women’s efforts to ensure that power holders answer to them for actions that affect women’s rights are part of a global groundswell of citizen activism against impunity.

Women’s activism is changing the way we understand accountability, demonstrating that women sometimes experience governance failures differently from men. An indication of this difference in perspective on accountability is reflected in data on women’s and men’s perceptions of corruption in public services. (see Panel: Gender Differences in Perceptions of Corruption).

A small but statistically significant difference is recorded almost everywhere in the world: women perceive more corruption in public services than do men.

Accountability to women must be ‘mission critical’

Simultaneous institutional reform at three levels – normative, procedural, and cultural – is needed to improve accountability for meeting gender equality goals. A. Normative: Sometimes the formal remit or mandate of an institution must be revised to ensure that the institutional actors answer

---

**FIGURE 1.6 Gendered Mediation Compromises Women’s Engagement in both ‘Voice’ and ‘Choice’-based Accountability Mechanisms**

Women’s capacity to use voice or exercise choice is mediated by men or local dominant interest groups, or is distorted by gender-biased access to politics or markets. The dashed arrows symbolise weaker accountability due to gender bias.

Source: Derived from World Bank (2003).
to women, and answer for the impact of their policies and actions on gender equality. National legislation, for instance, must be revised in line with the United Nations Convention on the Elimination of All Forms of Discrimination against Women (CEDAW). In the justice system, law-reform efforts have aimed to ensure that violations of women’s rights, such as rape in marriage, are defined and prosecuted as crimes, thus becoming part of the remit of prosecutors and judges. New laws on domestic violence may be necessary for the police to investigate violence that occurs in the home (see Panel: Breaking the Walls of Silence: Accountability for Ending Violence Against Women and Girls).

b. Procedural: This level of reform includes at least three areas:
- Changing incentives: Changes in international and national frameworks and formal mandates cannot alter actual practices until they are translated into incentives that motivate improved performance. Positive incentives include recognition, promotion, training and improved work conditions, all aimed at making it worthwhile to respond to the needs of women. More punitive incentives – such as disciplinary actions – can also drive change. Changes in everyday work practices may also be needed to prevent abuse of women and to ensure that their needs are addressed. If peacekeepers, for instance, are to prevent sexual violence in fragile post-conflict states, they need not only a direct mandate to drive better response, but also revised concepts of operations, standard operating procedures, and rules of engagement to specify appropriate actions.9
- Performance measures and review: Changed expectations about performance must be backed by changes in

---

**Panel** Gender Differences in Perceptions of Corruption

Do women and men perceive corruption differently? Data from Transparency International’s Global Corruption Barometer, which compiles public opinion surveys from approximately 54,000 individuals in 69 countries, suggests that there is a correlation between sex and people’s perception of corruption, with women around the world reporting that they perceive higher levels of corruption than men do.1

What is notable is that these differences are statistically significant and consistent across most regions. The percentage of women perceiving higher levels of corruption than men is greater for Developed Regions, Central and Eastern Europe (CEE) & the Commonwealth of Independent States (CIS), Latin America & Caribbean and East Asia & Pacific. In sub-Saharan Africa and South Asia, the results are more mixed. In some cases in these regions, men perceive higher levels of corruption than do women.

The figures show the female-to-male ratio in perceptions of corruption, with green indicating a higher result for women, and red indicating a higher result for men.

Gendered perception differences are most significant in the area of service provision – notably for education, medical services, and utilities. Indeed, one of the more striking results is the ratio of women to men (1.3 to 1) in developed countries who perceive high levels of corruption in education.

In the case of political, judicial and security sector institutions, the difference between male and female perceptions of corruption is small but statistically significant, with women perceiving slightly higher levels of corruption than men, with the exception of sub-Saharan Africa.

Similarly, in the case of institutions related to the market, women seem to have higher perceptions of corruption in most regions and areas, with the exception of tax revenue in South Asia, customs in CEE/CIS, and tax, customs and media in sub-Saharan Africa.
the ways performance is monitored and measured, so that actions that benefit women are recognized and rewarded. The combination of motivation and monitoring is at the core of many management reforms, but rarely has it been driven by the imperative of improved response to women. Women have found entry-points for bringing gender equality performance reviews into a number of contemporary institutional innovations to enable inclusive public oversight, such as participatory municipal budgeting in several Latin American countries, citizen participation in Mexico’s Federal Electoral Institute, and community review of policing patterns in Chicago in the United States.10

- **Removing Barriers and Improving Access**: Public responsiveness to women often requires analysing and removing obstacles that women may face in accessing services, market opportunities, or justice. Sometimes these obstacles are obvious, and involve compensating for the fact that some women may not have the time, money, education or mobility needed to exercise their rights or access services. In health systems, for example, developing mobile teams of public-health providers to work with low-income women in their homes helps overcome women’s knowledge and mobility constraints. In post-conflict recovery, de-mining fields and water points – instead of just main roads – improves women’s use of physical space.11 In relation to elections, situating polling booths in markets overcomes the mobility and time constraints women face when they want to vote. In the 2006 Liberian elections, for instance, UNIFEM helped women’s groups provide market women with transport to voter registration offices that were situated far from marketplaces.12

---

**FIGURE A** Gendered Perceptions of Corruption by Region: Service Provision Institutions

Respondents with high levels of perceived corruption, ratio female to male, 2005

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Region</th>
<th>Education</th>
<th>Medical</th>
<th>Registry</th>
<th>Utilities</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Sub-Saharan Africa</td>
<td>0.98</td>
<td>1.04</td>
<td>0.98</td>
<td>1.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>South Asia</td>
<td>1.09</td>
<td>1.02</td>
<td>0.98</td>
<td>1.12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Latin America &amp; Caribbean</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>1.13</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>1.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>East Asia &amp; Pacific</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>1.03</td>
<td>0.98</td>
<td>1.08</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Developed Regions</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>1.03</td>
<td>0.98</td>
<td>1.08</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Notes: The percentages of men and women who perceived high levels of corruption were calculated considering respondents who ranked institutions as “very corrupt” and “extremely corrupt” (scores of 4 and 5 out of a range from 1 to 5), or who stated that corruption affects their lives to a large extent. The statistical significance of differences by sex was tested using a t-test of difference in means (applied to the difference in percentages). All the statistics at the country level are weighted using the sample weights provided in the original database; regional averages are weighted using population data for the year 2005 compiled by the UN Population Division.


**FIGURE B** Gendered Perceptions of Corruption by Region: Political, Judicial and Security Sector Institutions

Respondents with high levels of perceived corruption, ratio female to male, 2005

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Region</th>
<th>PA parties</th>
<th>Legislative</th>
<th>Judicial</th>
<th>Police</th>
<th>Military</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Sub-Saharan Africa</td>
<td>0.98</td>
<td>0.98</td>
<td>0.96</td>
<td>0.97</td>
<td>1.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>South Asia</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>1.01</td>
<td>1.02</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>1.16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Latin America &amp; Caribbean</td>
<td>1.02</td>
<td>1.06</td>
<td>1.04</td>
<td>1.01</td>
<td>1.12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>East Asia &amp; Pacific</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>1.03</td>
<td>1.02</td>
<td>1.01</td>
<td>1.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Developed Regions</td>
<td>1.01</td>
<td>1.03</td>
<td>1.01</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>0.98</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Notes: See notes in figure A.


**FIGURE C** Gendered Perceptions of Corruption by Region: Market-Related Institutions

Respondents with high levels of perceived corruption, ratio female to male, 2005

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Region</th>
<th>Tax collection</th>
<th>Customs</th>
<th>Business</th>
<th>Media</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Sub-Saharan Africa</td>
<td>0.98</td>
<td>0.99</td>
<td>1.01</td>
<td>0.95</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>South Asia</td>
<td>1.04</td>
<td>1.12</td>
<td>1.19</td>
<td>1.08</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Latin America &amp; Caribbean</td>
<td>1.04</td>
<td>1.01</td>
<td>1.13</td>
<td>1.07</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>East Asia &amp; Pacific</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>1.17</td>
<td>1.06</td>
<td>1.06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Developed Regions</td>
<td>1.01</td>
<td>0.96</td>
<td>1.03</td>
<td>1.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Notes: See notes in figure A.

Violence affects at least one in three women and girls in the world. Violence against women (VAW) is rooted in unequal power relations between men and women, so efforts to end VAW must promote women's empowerment and gender equality. National governments are increasingly instituting legal reforms to put violence against women, once regarded as a private issue, firmly on the public agenda. Ending VAW is also at the top of the international peace, security, human rights and development agendas. In 2008, the United Nations Secretary-General launched the Unite to End Violence Against Women Campaign, which calls on governments, civil society, the private sector and the entire United Nations system to meet the challenge by 2015, the deadline for achieving the Millennium Development Goals (MDGs). The Security Council, whose resolutions impose mandatory obligations on States with penalties for non-compliance, recently passed Resolution 1820 which recognizes that, when used as a tactic of war, sexual violence against civilians “may impede the restoration of international peace and security.”

States are obligated, under the due diligence standard, to respond as effectively as their capacity and resources allow to investigate, prosecute, provide remedies for and, importantly, prevent violence against women. Building national accountability to address VAW requires simultaneous efforts at the levels of mandates, procedures, and deep culture in all of the institutions that prevent and prosecute violence and address the needs of survivors.

### 1. MANDATE REFORM

**National legislation** that prevents and penalizes all forms of violence against women and girls must be enacted. According to the Secretary-General’s In-Depth Study on All Forms of Violence Against Women, 89 countries had instituted by 2006 some form of legislative prohibition on domestic violence. In Liberia, one of the first laws passed following the election of President Johnson Sirleaf was a strong law criminalizing rape and making it a non-parole offence so suspects cannot return to communities to intimidate victims and witnesses.

National law must be harmonized with international and regional human-rights instruments and standards. General Recommendation 19 of the CEDAW Committee addresses violence against women and has been referred to by national courts, including the Indian Supreme Court, to secure women's rights. It is critical to monitor implementation of international and regional commitments and use relevant complaints mechanisms, such as the Inter-American Convention Belém do Pará or the Protocol to the African Charter on Human and People's Rights on the Rights of Women in Africa.

**Reliable data on VAW** must be collected and made public. Information is central to informed policy and program development and monitoring. This includes population-based surveys on the multiple manifestations of violence against women and girls, their prevalence, causes, consequences, and the impact of interventions over the medium to longer-term; service-level data to assess sector performance (health, judicial and security); and surveys on attitudes and behaviours. The task of building data on VAW is made more challenging by the fact that VAW is one of the least reported crimes and, as shown in Figure 1.11, charges are pressed in only a fraction of cases.

### 2. PROCEDURAL CHANGES

National policy and funding frameworks must be developed. National Action Plans exclusively devoted to addressing violence against women serve as a valuable instrument for establishing the institutional, technical and financial resources required for a holistic, coordinated, multi-sectoral approach. These plans must aim to provide for a ‘frontline’ response from the police, medical and livelihood support services, in addition to legal services and long-term prevention. Cambodia was the first country to include targets on domestic violence and trafficking in its National MDG Plan 2005. Mozambique incorporated elements of the National Plan of Action to End Violence Against Women into the Poverty Reduction Strategy, and South Africa addressed violence against women throughout its national HIV/AIDS strategy.

**Standard operating procedures and performance measures** must be changed to translate laws and action plans into new practices. Presidential or ministerial decrees and protocols that assign roles and responsibilities to the ministries involved, and set minimal operating and performance standards, can support the implementation of laws and policies.

**Resources must be earmarked to finance** the wide range of actions to address VAW. Costs range from financing law enforcement reform and paying for health care, to ensuring free access (fee waivers, transportation) for poor women and girls. In August 2007, the President of Brazil announced US$590 million to implement the new Maria da Penha Law on violence against women. The budgetary pledge is a leading example of a substantial allocation for implementation of legislation. The United Nations Trust Fund to End Violence Against Women, the
A principal fund dedicated for this issue, received total contributions of $10 million from its founding in 1996 to 2004, with that total climbing to a committed $40 million for the period 2005-08. By comparison, the Global Fund on HIV and AIDS, Malaria and Tuberculosis has reached over $10 billion since its establishment in 2002. A telling measure of accountability will be whether the Secretary-General’s campaign target for the Trust Fund of reaching a minimum of US$100 million per year by 2015 will be met.

Monitoring mechanisms must be inclusive at both national and local levels, to bring together the government, women’s and other civil-society organizations, experts and researchers. For example, Afghanistan established an inter-ministerial commission on violence against women via Presidential decree, with UNIFEM support.

3. CULTURAL CHANGE

Empower women and girls, mobilise men and boys. Real and lasting change to end violence against women and girls needs to be grounded at the community level, where acts of abuse occur and where women should be able to demand their rights to justice, protection and support. Involving men and boys in actions to prevent and respond to violence against women is critical to finding a meaningful solution. A vibrant, well-informed civil society, armed with hard data, empowered with knowledge of their rights and governments’ obligations, and equipped to demand accountability is a hallmark of sustained progress.

Launch and sustain campaigns. Spearheaded by women’s movements, campaigns such as 16 Days of Activism have been instrumental in breaking the silence and raising awareness. UNIFEM crafted and forged the first United Nations Campaign on the issue in Latin America and the Caribbean in the late 1990s, and has continued such efforts, including its most recent global campaign, “Say No”, which has garnered hundreds of thousands of signatures from individuals, partner organisations and governments.

As United Nations Secretary-General Ban Ki-Moon summed up at the launch of the UNITE campaign, “Violence against women and girls makes its hideous imprint on every continent, country and culture. It is time to focus on the concrete actions that all of us can and must take to prevent and eliminate this scourge... It is time to break through the walls of silence, and make legal norms a reality in women’s lives.”

Violence Against Women: Reporting and Charging Rates

A survey of women who experienced some form of violence indicates that in countries in this figure, no more than one third of cases of violence are reported, and charges are pressed in very few of the reported cases. Women are more likely to report crimes if they were not committed by a partner, and more likely to report non-sexual violence than sexual violence.
c) **Culture and attitudes:** Accountability to women can require efforts to change gender-biased attitudes in public and private institutions. Cultural and attitudinal change has been a long-term project of gender equality advocates. One approach has been simply to bring more women into positions of authority in public and private institutions. Some of the accountability innovations discussed in this volume involve bringing those women who are most affected by public decision-making into the oversight systems for reviewing public actions. As members of user groups managing common property resources, or as members of Country Coordination Mechanisms for National HIV/AIDS Strategies, or as members of community water boards, women can pursue explanations for poor performance. In the process, they may foster long-term attitudinal change about women’s rights amongst male colleagues and society in general.

These institutional changes at three levels – normative, procedural and cultural – are required to make women’s rights and gender equality ‘mission critical’ – in other words, essential to the effective functioning of any institution. This means much more than demonstrating the functional necessity of women’s participation in politics, conflict-resolution, poverty reduction, and growth. Rather, it is about changing basic understandings of the public interest so that women’s rights and gender equality are at the centre of social compacts for the broader public good. Leaders and institutions should have no choice but to answer to women.

**Progress 2008/2009**

**Part I: Who answers to women?**

Part I of *Progress 2008/2009* explores efforts to improve accountability for advancing gender equality in the arenas of political participation, public services, labour markets and economic activity, the justice system, and finally, in international development and security institutions.

**Chapter 2, ‘Politics’,** highlights the substantial obstacles to women’s effective political engagement. The chapter identifies ways in which women and their allies have

---

"Who is accountable for gender equality? We cannot assume that democracy and good governance will directly bring gender equality and empowerment to our countries. If women are not in the national leadership, their voices on economic, political and social issues will not be heard. In this sense, Spain can be proud of the progress made to date. Half of the apex decision-making body in Spain is composed of women, with key cabinet positions assigned to women. Gender equality and empowerment is becoming a reality in Spanish society. By allowing full participation and equitable representation in the decision-making process we are taking a first step in the right direction. The Gender Equity Law and the Integrated Protection against Gender-based Violence Law approved by the Spanish Congress allow us to introduce gender equality in all the other spheres of public and private life, by fighting gender discrimination and gender-based violence, allowing positive action measures in collective bargaining, encouraging reconciliation of work and family life, promoting equality plans and fostering good practices. Only by promoting the right policies, will we be able to answer this question. Who is accountable to women? Everyone in my government is."

José Luis Rodríguez Zapatero
Prime Minister of Spain
organised to change political mandates in order to include gender equality and how they have worked to hold elected officials to account for the impact of their actions on women’s rights. Chapter 2 shows that the effort to increase the numbers of women in public office is not on its own a gender-sensitive accountability reform, although more women in public decision-making will help build public responsiveness to women’s interests. Accountability to women involves developing broad-based coalitions for gender equality in which significant voting constituencies demand that politicians answer to women. Political parties and governing coalitions must incorporate gender equality in their legislative agendas. A major constraint is a lack of state administrative capacity to convert policies into results for women.

Chapter 3, ‘Services’, focuses on the impact of gender-specific accountability failures in public services. These result in a gender-biased distribution of public goods, in gendered stereotypes in service design, and in impunity for gender-specific abuses in service delivery, such as sexual harassment of women clients by public providers. Accountability improvements have been pursued through efforts to strengthen women’s collective ‘voice’ in mandating more appropriate service design and resource allocation. Women are engaging more directly with service providers, from nurses and teachers to police officers to sanitation engineers, in order to indicate their preferences, provide feedback about the quality of provider performance, and engage in oversight processes. Chapter 3 reviews the merits of both ‘voice’- and ‘choice’-based routes to accountability, and finds that in both cases accountability systems in public services require institutional change, including new mandates, incentives, and gender-sensitive performance indicators that can be measured and monitored. Above all, participation by those women who are most affected by deficits in service provision is essential.

Chapter 4, ‘Markets’, turns to the challenging issue of accountability to women in the private sector, with a particular focus on accountability for upholding women’s labour rights. It asks who answers to the woman worker whose employer fires her if she has become pregnant, does not provide equal pay for equal work or denies her decent sanitary facilities? Economic globalisation – the rapid liberalisation of world finance and trade – combined with fiscal crises and other resource crunches in recent years, have encouraged the privatisation of many state functions, including public services. This can confuse lines of accountability for upholding labour standards, sometimes leaving this to ad hoc private sector efforts such as Corporate Social Responsibility. Women’s collective action in both formal and informal sectors has yielded a number of labour rights protections. But Chapter 4 finds that much of the ‘brain drain’ from poor countries is female, as qualified women seek better conditions away from home – a development that may deplete the pool of women economic leaders in developing countries. Chapter 4 argues that governments must retain primary responsibility for backstopping accountability to women in the market.

Chapter 5, ‘Justice’, reviews achievements of women’s rights movements in reforming formal and informal justice systems so that women can seek justice in the family, community and the market. Domestic judicial systems have often trailed behind international human rights agreements, with jurisprudence and law enforcement particularly lagging in the protection of women from physical and sexual violence. There have been significant legal advances both at the national level, such as the Maria da Penha Law on domestic violence in Brazil, and at the international level, such as the recognition of widespread and systematic rape in war as a crime against humanity. Chapter 5 shows how women have been able to use justice institutions to seek redress for violations of their rights.

Chapter 6, ‘Aid and Security’ explores the accountability of international organisations for supporting countries to advance gender equality and women’s empower-
ment, particularly in the context of a changing architecture for Official Development Assistance and stronger commitments to women’s rights in the peace and security sector, notably Security Council resolutions 1325 and 1820. It asks why multilateral organisations such as the United Nations and the World Bank, which have prioritised results-based management and budgeting and have committed themselves to comprehensive gender equality policies and plans, remain unable to report on the financial resources devoted to gender equality. Chapter 6 calls for multilateral financial, development, and security institutions to demonstrate that they can meet the demanding standards that they set for partner countries, by instituting gender-responsive budgeting and reporting, by sharpening the incentives and performance measures to ensure that their own staff comply with gender equality commitments and by more effectively positioning their internal gender equality expertise.

The Conclusion articulates an agenda for gender-responsive accountability reform. It proposes key routes, technical as well as political, to strengthening the accountability of power-holders to women. It stresses the critical role of women’s voice and collective action in driving change. And it outlines areas for future research to build understanding of the reforms that are most effective in enabling gender-responsive good governance.

**Part II: MDGs & Gender**

Part II of Progress 2008/2009 contains a succinct review of each of the eight MDGs from a gender equality perspective. In 2008, governments around the world are raising the alarm at the lack of progress in keeping to the timetable of achieving the MDGs by 2015. While important gains have been made in reducing the number of people living on less than one dollar a day, improving primary and secondary school enrolment and combating HIV/AIDS, most of the other Goals are off-track. Regional divergence is widening, and the situation is particularly critical for sub-Saharan Africa. A reduction in maternal mortality rates, the one MDG that has a devastating impact on women, is the Goal farthest from achievement.

Part II of Progress 2008/2009 confirms that gender inequality is a major factor in holding back achievement of the MDGs. Gender inequality reduces the capacity of poor women to deploy their most abundant resource – their labour – to move out of poverty. This exacerbates unequal and inefficient allocation of resources within families and economies. Gender inequality exacerbates non-monetary aspects of poverty too: the lack of opportunities, voice and security, all of which make the poor more vulnerable to economic, environmental, or political shocks.

The consequences are most directly felt by women and girls: women are still outnumbered over 4 to 1 in legislatures around the world; the majority (over 60 per cent) of all family workers globally are women; women earn 17 per cent less than men; girls are more likely to be out of school than boys (representing 57 per cent of children out of school globally), and in sub-Saharan Africa three women are infected with HIV for every two men. In some parts of the world, risks of maternity-related death are extremely high: one out of every seven women will die of pregnancy-related causes in Niger; one out of eight in Sierra Leone.

Discrimination on this scale after decades of national and international declarations and commitments to build gender equality is symptomatic of an accountability crisis. That maternal mortality rates are going down at a rate of just 0.4 per cent a year instead of the 5.5 per cent decrease needed to meet MDG 5, when the health system reforms needed to improve ante- and post-natal care are relatively low-cost and straightforward, reveals a gender bias that is not being captured or corrected for in health-care oversight systems. Similar accountability failures permit gender bias to flourish in schools, electoral processes, market institutions, and judicial systems. These accountability failures exacerbate several other forms of discrimination that are not captured in the MDG targets: pervasive violence against women, women’s
lack of control of productive property, notably land, and women’s extreme vulnerability during conflict. Reducing violence against women is a missing but important target for the MDGs, considering the deeply damaging impact on social cohesion, on women’s productivity, and on their political voice, of life in a climate of fear and pain.

The message of Part II of Progress 2008/2009 joins with the overwhelming message of Part I: the key to ending gender discrimination and structural inequality is accountability. Women must be empowered to hold policy-makers answerable for their promises, and if they fail to deliver, to call for corrective action.

Progress of the World’s Women 2008/2009 asks ‘Who Answers to Women?’ to show that increasing accountability to gender equality and women’s empowerment is necessary and possible and that there are a growing number of precedents on which to build. For market institutions, formal and informal institutions, or multilateral institutions to meet their obligations to women, it is critical that states set a high standard of accountability. Progress thus calls for intensified investments in and focus on building national capacity for accountability to women. The extent to which national governments are accessible and accountable to women is the cornerstone of meaningful progress toward gender equality and women’s empowerment.

“International human rights and humanitarian law concerning the conduct of war have for a long time failed women. Though rules limiting the conduct of hostilities have existed in various forms for as long as conflicts themselves, violence against and exploitation of women have been implicitly tolerated or, at worst, encouraged. The mass rapes of the Balkan wars and of Rwanda have changed this climate of impunity. The last fifteen years have seen a rapid growth in the international law relating to conflict, including the recognition of rape as a crime against humanity, a war crime and, in certain circumstances, an element of genocide. This has been an important breakthrough for women’s rights, and indeed, for building accountability systems for post-conflict societies. But efforts to end impunity by prosecuting perpetrators occur after the event; we must prevent rather than redress. The better way is to promote democratic governance, access to justice and human rights. We must recognize the critical link between the rule of law and poverty eradication, human rights and sustainable development. Durable peace cannot be built on injustice. Justice for women is at long last emerging from the shadow of history to take its rightful place at the heart of the international rule of law.”

Navanethem Pillay
High Commissioner of Human Rights
United Kingdom, 1910: A pro-suffrage poster from Brighton, England reads “Justice Demands the Vote.”
The 2007 Kenyan general elections saw a record number of women – 269 women out of 2,548 candidates, compared to just 44 in the 2002 elections – vying for a Parliamentary seat. These elections also saw unprecedented levels of violence. One woman, Alice Onduto, was shot and killed after losing her nomination bid in South Nairobi, and another woman candidate, Flora Igoki Tera, was tortured by a gang of five men. In spite of this hostile environment, many women candidates persisted in building their campaigns on a platform of women’s rights and gender equality. Lorna Laboso stood on an explicit agenda to promote the rights of women in her constituency in the Rift Valley, promising to address female genital mutilation and other harmful cultural practices, improve girls’ access to education and promote women’s participation in decision-making. Lorna’s gender issues-based campaign was politically risky. But her track record of delivering on women’s rights in her constituency stood her in good stead and she was eventually elected.

Women are running for public office in growing numbers. They have currently reached an average of 18.4 per cent of seats in national assemblies, exceeding 30 per cent of representatives in national assemblies in 22 countries. A core element of women’s organising worldwide has been the focus on political processes in order to shape public policy-making and democratize power relations. Women are using their votes to strengthen their leverage as members of interest groups, including groups with an interest in gender equality.

The violence experienced by women candidates in the example from Kenya is emblematic of obstacles to women’s political participation that limit their effectiveness in making political accountability systems work for gender equality in many parts of the world. Nevertheless, more and more female candidates are running on a gender equal-
ity platform, and women voters are asserting themselves as a distinct constituency. Women are seeking to transform politics itself and to reinvigorate political accountability.

This chapter shows that increased political accountability to women comes not only from increasing their numbers amongst decision-makers, although this is necessary and important. It must also be linked to improved democratic governance overall, understood as inclusive, responsive, and accountable management of public affairs.

This chapter poses two questions:
• How have states advanced in their obligations to create an enabling environment for women’s political participation as voters, candidates, elected representatives and office-holders?
• What factors enable women and men in public office to change the public policy agenda and ensure delivery on promises to women?

The structure of this chapter follows the cycle of political accountability (Figure 2.1), where stronger political participation leads to better representation and accountability, and gradually to a transformation and deepening of democratic politics.

The cycle of political accountability

While the experience of women varies across countries, regions and political systems, and according to class, race, age or ethnicity, we know that political accountability to women is increasing when women’s engagement in politics results in a positive feedback loop, whereby the process of articulating interests and seeking representation of those interests in public decision-making leads to more gender-balanced resource allocation and policy implementation.

For democratic accountability to work for women, they – particularly the poorest women, who have the least power – must be the drivers of the accountability process, and the process itself must aim to achieve greater gender equality. A significant political success for women in many parts of the world has involved recasting concerns once thought to pertain only to women – such as violence against women – as issues that affect communities as a whole. This means that the questions of who answers to women and how effectively abuses of women’s rights are remedied are seen as concerns to all.

The political accountability cycle does not always work as a forward progression. Movement in the reverse direction is possible when women’s rights are denied, women’s access to public decision-making is obstructed, and policy implementation reinforces women’s unequal status. Reforms aimed at strengthening democracy will only be successful if they acknowledge the challenges faced in particular by the poorest and most marginalised women in realising their rights and participating in all public decision-making processes.

Mobilising around women’s interests

Building political accountability to women, like any accountability project, begins
with women and their allies identifying and mobilizing around an issue of common concern. This is the first step in the cycle of accountability, essential in order to formulate a mandate upon which public decision-makers can act. ‘Women’ are of course not a single category. In reality, the interests of some, often upper-class, educated, urban women tend to be better represented than those of poor, uneducated, rural women. It cannot be assumed, therefore, that all women share the same political interests (see Box 2A).

In recent years, the emergence of a ‘gender gap’ in voting behavior — that is, women as a group voting differently from men, especially in developed countries — and the increasing importance of this ‘women’s vote’

### BOX 2A Definitions

**Women’s interests:** Women have as wide a range of interests as any other other social group. Women’s interests often, but not always, include both gender and gender equality interests.

**Gender interests:** This term denotes interests that women have because they are women. These include issues related to pregnancy and childbirth, nourishing and educating children, and building a safe community environment.

**Gender equality interests:** These are interests derived from an analysis of inequality based on gender differences, and aim for a lasting transformation of gender relations in order to ensure full achievement of women’s rights.¹

**Women’s movements:** This term describes the collectivity of women’s organisations and their allies in a particular context. Women’s civil society activism makes significant demands on their time and resources, and therefore in many contexts the proportion of women in civil society organisations can be low. While women’s movements have at times acted with marked determination and shared purpose, the term ‘women’s movement’ in the singular can also exaggerate the level of solidarity and cohesion within and between women’s organisations. For this reason, the term ‘women’s movements’ is used in this report to indicate the plurality of women’s mobilizing.

### FIGURE A Women’s Groups: Membership Varies Greatly Across Regions

**Membership of women’s groups (%), 1999-2004**

- **Viet Nam**
- **Tanzania**
- **Uganda**
- **United States**
- **Albania**
- **Bangladesh, Philippines, South Africa**
- **Zimbabwe**
- **Belgium**
- **Canada**
- **Slovakia**
- **Iceland, Peru**
- **Chile, Macedonia**
- **India**
- **Algeria, Austria, Ireland, Netherlands**
- **Japan, Korea, Luxemburg, Venezuela**
- **China, Germany, Greece**
- **Kyrgyzstan, Mexico**
- **Bosnia & Herzegovina, Croatia, —**
- **Czech Republic, Denmark, Estonia**
- **Morocco, Spain, United Kingdom**
- **Bulgaria, Malta, Poland, Singapore, Siberia**
- **Moldova**
- **Argentina, Italy, Romania, Russian Federation**
- **Hungary, Lithuania**

Notes: Calculations are based on respondents who self-identified as members of women’s groups according to the World Values Survey (1999-2004).

suggests the emergence of an identifiable female constituency in some contexts. In the United States, women demonstrate a higher preference than men—between 7 and 10 percentage points—for left-leaning options. In Australia, by contrast, the gender gap has worked in the other direction, with women favoring more conservative options. Political parties are catching up to these voting patterns by shaping political platforms to appeal to women voters and by recruiting more women members. Women themselves are recognizing this potential for increased political leverage by formulating Women’s Manifestos prior to elections and asking parties to sign on to these (see Box 2B).

Women’s organisations and movements derive much of their political legitimacy from their efforts to represent women’s interests. National, regional and international women’s movements have been highly effective in exposing gender-based injustices and triggering responses. Important examples include the role of women’s movements in challenging authoritarian regimes in Argentina, Brazil, Chile, Nepal, Peru, and the Philippines; in building pressure for peace in Sierra Leone, Liberia, Uganda, Sudan, Burundi, Timor-Leste, and the Balkans; and in contemporary protests around the world at high commodity prices (see Chapter 4); and in seeking to eliminate violence against women (see Chapter 5). Women have joined together in Senegal and Burkina Faso to press for changes to the law on female genital mutilation, organised for inheritance rights in Rwanda, and promoted rights in marriage in Brazil and Turkey. In Andhra Pradesh, India, women have fought against the impact of alcohol on men’s behavior and income and turned their anti-alcohol campaign into a pivotal electoral issue, as illustrated in Box 2C.

### Accessing power: The challenges of representation

The second step in the cycle of political accountability relies on elections that permit voters either to renew mandates of parties and politicians or to vote them out of power. Elections also ensure that political representatives are authorized to act upon the interests of citizens in general, and specific constituencies in particular.

Women’s numerical presence in public office has received increased attention over the past ten years. It represents an indicator for Goal 3 of the United Nations Millennium Development Goals (MDGs) – “to promote gender equality and empower women.” A 30
per cent minimum for women in representative assemblies is also a target of the Beijing Platform for Action. Indeed, the rate of increase in the proportion of women in national assemblies has accelerated over the past decade: from 11.6 per cent in 1995 to 18.4 per cent as of May 2008 (see Figure 2.2).7 Previously, the rate of increase had been much slower, rising less than one per cent from 1975 -1995.8

Even at the current rate of increase, the ‘parity zone’ where neither sex holds more than 60 per cent of seats will not be reached by developing countries until 2047. Moreover, the current rate of increase is unlikely to be sustained unless countries continue establishing quotas or other temporary positive action measures. The new generation of quotas used by countries such as Spain and Norway is worth highlighting as particularly effective in building women’s numbers in politics (see Box 2D). These quotas follow the principle of balanced gender presence and apply to both men and women, seeking to limit the dominance of either sex in parliaments to a maximum of 60 per cent.

How have states met their obligations to create an enabling environment for women’s participation in electoral contests? They have had to address structural factors, such as the electoral system (how votes are translated into seats in parliament), and cultural challenges, such as voter hostility to women and electoral violence. Political parties play a determinant role in changing attitudes to women’s leadership. Quota systems (from voluntary to legally required), party and media codes of conduct, and campaign finance controls have also been effective in leveling the playing field for women candidates.

Electoral systems are a strong predictor of the numbers of women in representative politics (Figure 2.3).8 Proportional representation (PR) often allows more women to compete and win than simple majority systems do because they tend to have multi-member constituencies where seats are assigned in proportion to the percentage of votes won by the parties.9 This encourages more diversity in party platforms and candidates. Simple majority systems in which one candidate alone represents a constituency tend to discourage parties from fielding women because of presumed voter hostility. Out of 176 countries for which data were available in 2007, PR systems had a global average of 20.7 per cent of their parliamentary seats held by women, compared to 13.3 per cent in non-PR systems.10 The contrast is most striking within certain regions as seen in Figure 2.4. In East Asia and the Pacific, for instance, an average of 19.1 per cent of seats were held by women in countries with PR systems, compared to 6.3 per cent in non-PR systems.11

Constitutional or electoral law quotas are the strongest means of increasing women’s engagement in political competition regardless of political system, and are used in 46 countries. As of May 2008, the

**Box 2C The 1990s Anti-Alcohol Movement in Andhra Pradesh, India**

In 1991, women from the rural Dubuganta district in the Indian state of Andhra Pradesh sought to address growing alcohol dependency among men and the consequent problems of domestic abuse and squandered household income by staging protests aimed at forcing out local liquor traders. The protests quickly spread across the whole state. The struggle catalyzed a larger social movement, known as the Anti-Liquor Movement, leading ultimately to a state-wide ban on alcoholic beverages, passed in 1995.

The Anti-Liquor Movement was a significant political achievement because:

- It forged a coalition between rural and urban women of different castes and religions, and
- It transformed a ‘women’s issue’ into a campaign platform issue that significantly determined the outcome of the 1994 state election.

In 1992, the movement entered the domain of electoral politics, asking that parties declare their positions on the prohibition of alcohol. In 1994, the Telugu Desam Party, which had campaigned on a platform of prohibition and received support from women’s groups, won state-level elections. The party passed the prohibition law a month after taking power.

Although the prohibition was partially abandoned in 1997, the anti-liquor movement helped increase the participation of women in the public sphere and empowered women to mobilize effectively.
average representation of women was 21.9 per cent in countries that used these types of quotas as opposed to 15.3 per cent for the rest of countries, regardless of electoral system. Other types of temporary positive action measures, such as quotas at the sub-national level or political party quotas for electoral candidates (which can be voluntary) raise the number of countries with quotas to 95 (see Annex 3). The majority of countries with women in 30 per cent or more of national assembly seats applied quotas in some form (see Box 2D).

In democracies, political parties are the main route to political participation and the representation of particular interest groups. Around the world, however, political parties have been slow to respond to women’s interest in political participation. According to the UK-based Fawcett Society, political parties often fail to adequately respond to significant barriers encountered by women standing for parliament, which they have summed up as the “four Cs” of confidence, culture, childcare and cash. “Confidence” problems stem in part from women’s relatively late entry to party politics and consequent limited apprenticeship. “Culture” barriers stem from the aggressive confrontational style of political competition. “Childcare” refers to the competing demands on the time of women candidates due to their domestic responsibilities. And “cash” refers to the relative under-investment in women’s campaigns by political parties.

Women’s access to political parties, therefore, is often circumscribed by gender role expectations. This is especially true with respect to leadership positions, affecting women’s ability to influence or shape party platforms. As a woman politician in Brazil put it: “What has happened inside political parties is similar to what happens inside the home. We argue for equality, we say that we should equally share tasks, but we end up doing mostly domestic chores. In political parties, the situation is the same. We argue for equality, but we end doing the practical tasks and men dicta
the rules and make the decisions.”15 As a result, women’s numbers amongst party members and leaders are rarely proportional to their membership presence – and membership itself can be low (Figure 2.6, see page 25).

Data on female membership in political parties is difficult to obtain, but a 2008 study in Latin America indicates a systematic discrepancy between member numbers and leadership positions. The study examined countries in which women’s membership of political parties is relatively high. In Paraguay, 46.5 per cent of party members are women, while 18.9 per cent of executive posts in party leadership are held by women. Forty-five per cent of party members in Panama are women, yet women occupy only 18.8 per cent of leadership positions. Mexico has an average rate of 52 per cent women membership in the two main political parties, but only 30.6 per cent of executive posts are filled by women. An exception is Costa Rica, with women holding 43.9 per cent of party leadership positions, bringing women into the parity zone. This is the result of an Electoral Code amendment in 1996, requiring Costa Rican parties to fill at least 40 per cent of their leadership posts with women in “electable positions”.16

To address this disparity as well as the challenges of placing gender equality on the legislative agendas of parties, gender equality advocates in several countries have established women’s parties or parties with a specific gender equality agenda. Iceland’s all-women party was represented in the legislature from 1983-99 and received about 10 per cent of the votes.17 Other countries with women’s parties include Sweden,18 India,19 the Philippines,20 and, most recently, Afghanistan.21 Electoral system reforms in some contexts have facilitated the representation of interest groups that tend to be underrepresented by mainstream parties. This was the intention of the 1995 ‘Party List System’ law in the Philippines described in Box 2E (see page 25).

Campaign financing deficits, violence, and hostile media coverage have been
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Country</th>
<th>Quotas for women</th>
<th>% women in lower or single house</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| Rwanda             | **Type 1**: Constitution establishes women should be granted at least 30% of posts in decision-making bodies and the Senate.  
**Type 2**: 24 seats out of 80 (30%) are reserved for women in the National Assembly.  
**Type 3**: 20% district councilor seats are reserved for women.  
There are legal sanctions for non-compliance                                                                                                                                                                                                                     | 48.8 (34.6)                     |
| Sweden             | **Type 4**: 50% quota for women in the Swedish Social Democratic Labour Party, the Left Party and the Green Party of Sweden.                                                                                                                                                                                                                       | 47.0                            |
| Cuba               | NO  
N/A                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                         | 43.2                            |
| Finland            | NO  
N/A                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                         | 41.5                            |
| Argentina          | **Type 1**: Constitution establishes quota for women.  
**Type 2**: 30% of party lists must include women in elective positions.  
**Type 3**: The capital city and provincial laws include quotas.  
**Type 4**: Most parties have a 30% quota for women.  
There are legal sanctions for non-compliance                                                                                                                                                                                                                       | 40.0 (38.9)                     |
| Netherlands        | **Type 4**: Labour Party has 50% quota for women; Green Left has a quota for women also (% not confirmed).                                                                                                                                                                                                                                      | 39.3 (34.7)                     |
| Costa Rica         | **Type 2**: 40% quota for women in all public elections, national and local.  
**Type 3**: see Type 2.  
**Type 4**: 40% quota for women in the National Liberation Party and the Christian-Social Unity Party; 50% in the Citizen Action Party.  
There are legal sanctions for non-compliance                                                                                                                                                                                                                       | 36.6                            |
| Spain              | **Type 2**: Following the principle of balanced presence, party electoral lists are required to have a minimum of 40% and a maximum of 60% of either sex among their candidates in all elections (general, regional, local).  
**Type 3**: see Type 2. In addition, several Autonomous Communities have adopted quotas in regional elections.  
**Type 4**: Most parties have a 40% quota for either sex.  
There are legal sanctions for non-compliance                                                                                                                                                                                                                       | 36.3 (30.0)                     |
| Norway             | **Type 4**: Most parties have a 40% quota for either sex.                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                      | 36.1                            |
| Belgium            | **Type 2**: One-third minimum quota for either sex; two consecutive positions on party list cannot be held by members of the same sex.  
**Type 4**: Various types of quotas, the most frequent are: 1 candidate of each sex in 3 top positions; zipper principle for each sex for local and provincial lists; equal number of each sex for provincial lists (or first positions on provincial lists).  
There are legal sanctions for non-compliance                                                                                                                                                                                                                       | 35.3 (38.0)                     |
| Mozambique         | **Type 4**: The Front for the Liberation of Mozambique has a 30% quota for women.                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                               | 34.8                            |
| Nepal              | **Type 1**: Constitution establishes that at least 5% of the total candidates contesting in the Lower House election must be women and 3 out of 60 seats are reserved for women in the Upper House.  
**Type 2**: See type 1.  
**Type 3**: 20% of all village and municipal council seats are reserved for women.  
There are legal sanctions for non-compliance                                                                                                                                                                                                                       | 33.6                            |
| Iceland            | **Type 4**: Some parties have a 40% quota for women.                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                             | 33.3                            |
| New Zealand        | NO  
N/A                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                         | 33.1                            |
| South Africa       | **Type 3**: The Municipal Structures Act specifies that parties should seek to ensure that 50% of candidates at local level are women, but no penalties are imposed.  
**Type 4**: The African National Congress has a 30% quota for women and a 50% quota for women on party lists at local level.                                                                                                                                                         | 33.0 (40.7)                     |
| Austria            | **Type 4**: The Green Alternative Party has 50% quota for women; the Austrian People’s Party has 33.3% quota and the Social Democratic Party of Austria has 40% quota for women.                                                                                                                                                               | 32.8 (24.6)                     |
| Germany            | **Type 4**: The Left Party and the Greens have 50% quotas for women; the Christian Democratic Union has a 33.3% quota and the Social Democratic Party of Germany has a 40% quota.                                                                                                                                                                         | 31.6 (21.7)                     |
| Uganda             | **Type 1**: Constitution determines that the parliament shall consist of one woman representative for every district.  
**Type 2**: In addition to 214 constituency representatives, there are 61 women representatives, 56 for each district and the rest as part of quotas for other groups such as defence forces representatives, persons with disabilities, workers, and youth.  
**Type 3**: One third of local government councils seats are reserved for women.  
See type 1.                                                                                                                                                                                                                                               | 30.7                            |
| Burundi            | **Type 2**: The Electoral Code establishes that lists must take account of gender balance and one in four candidates must be a woman.                                                                                                                                                                                                             | 30.5 (34.7)                     |
| United Republic of Tanzania | **Type 1**: Constitution establishes at least 20% but no more than 30% of special seats for women in parliament.  
**Type 2**: 75 out of 319 seats in parliament were special seats for women.  
**Type 3**: 25% of seats must be held by women at local level.                                                                                                                                                                                                             | 30.4                            |
| Macedonia (TFYR)   | **Type 2**: A minimum of 30% of each sex should be represented on party candidate lists.  
**Type 3**: 30% of each sex on lists of candidates for the county council and city of Skopje; half of these among the first half of the list.  
**Type 4**: The Social Democratic Union of Macedonia has a 30% quota for both sexes.  
There are legal sanctions for non-compliance                                                                                                                                                                                                                       | 30.0                            |

Notes: The numbers in parenthesis refer to the percentage of women in the Upper House of legislatures, where applicable. Available data as of 31 May 2008. Refers to Figure 2.5 for description of quota types.
addressed unevenly by governments. Where controls on campaign financing are weakly enforced, women are at a disadvantage because they often begin their races with less access to money than men. In the United States, for example, programs providing the same public funding to both candidates and limiting their spending have increased the number of women in office in states like Arizona and Maine. Some countries have addressed this challenge by linking public campaign finance to party compliance with quotas for women candidates, but public funds rarely contribute enough money to overcome women’s campaign financing deficits or to act as an incentive for parties to field more women candidates. Women in some countries have addressed this deficit through nationwide mechanisms to mobilise resources for women. An example of this is ‘Emily’s List’

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Country Type</th>
<th>Women in Women’s Groups</th>
<th>Men in Political Parties</th>
<th>Women in Political Parties</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Developed countries</td>
<td>2%</td>
<td>15%</td>
<td>12%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rest of countries</td>
<td>6%</td>
<td>6%</td>
<td>9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CEE/CIS</td>
<td>2%</td>
<td>5%</td>
<td>5%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Notes: Calculations are based on self-identification as members of political parties and/or women’s groups in the World Values Survey. Only countries with information from the fourth wave (1999-2004) were included.


**BOX 2E | GABRIELA Goes to Congress**

GABRIELA is the biggest alliance of women’s organisations in the Philippines. It is a progressive women’s alliance dedicated to promoting the rights of women and indigenous people. It has also campaigned against the disappearances and killings of suspected rebels; waged militant opposition to the influence of international financial institutions over the Philippine economy and politics; and denounced corruption.

In 2001, GABRIELA entered the political arena when its Secretary General, Liza Maza, ran as a Party List Representative under the Bayan Muna (Country First) Party. With the enactment of the ‘Party List System’ Law in 1995, excluded groups such as women, workers and farmers were able to supersede some of the entrenched barriers to their participation and form ‘sectoral’ parties to contest 20 per cent of the 250 seats in the Philippine House of Representatives.

Under the law, each voter has two votes when electing Members of the Lower House, one for the individual district representative and another for the ‘sectoral party’ of her/his choice. To win a seat, a party should at least obtain two per cent of the total number of votes cast in the entire country, and can be awarded a maximum of three seats in Parliament.

GABRIELA’s representative won in 2001 and was re-elected in 2004. In 2007, GABRIELA ran again and earned 3.94 per cent of total votes, winning seats for two representatives. The election of GABRIELA’s representative in 2001 greatly advanced the women’s rights agenda in the Lower House. She played a major role in the passage of pro-women legislation such as the Anti-Trafficking in Persons Act and the Anti-Violence Against Women and Children Act. In 2007, the two GABRIELA representatives filed legislative proposals regarding the work benefits of Filipino women and migrant workers, divorce, marital infidelity, prostitution, protection of women and children in conflict areas, and the welfare of female prisoners.

Reforming the electoral system through the introduction of the party list system gave women and other excluded sectors the opportunity not only to be represented in the legislature but to advance a legislative agenda that directly addresses women’s issues. The party list system broadened the public space for women, particularly rural women, whose voices can now be heard as GABRIELA goes to Congress.
in the US, a body independent of party control that provides financial and moral backing to Democratic women candidates who support a gender equality agenda.23

Governments still have a long way to go to find effective means of addressing election violence and other forms of intimidation targeting women. Some have found it effective to work with media to prevent hostile coverage of women candidates. In Sierra Leone’s peaceful 2007 election, this issue was of particular concern to the Election Commissioner, Christiana Thorpe, who ensured that gender equality issues were covered in the codes of conduct for political parties and media.24 Where access to television and the Internet is scarce, for example in sub-Saharan Africa, talk radio and community radio allow women to get news and technical information and in some cases to act as citizen journalists, empowering them to be more active in the economic and political life of their communities.25

Translating presence into policies: Do more women in politics make a difference?

Worldwide, there are more women in government today than ever before.26 But women’s presence may not be enough to change public policy and resource allocation patterns. Other institutional and informal mechanisms are needed to build skills and leverage behind a gender equality agenda. These include women’s caucuses that reach across party lines, parliamentary committees on gender equality, support from the ruling party, and coordination among different government departments.27

Women in public office tend overwhelmingly to be clustered in ‘social’ policy-making positions (see Figure 2.7) Whether by choice or by force of unexamined assumptions about women’s contribution to public decision-making, this concentration in the social sectors can inhibit women’s potential contribution to other critical decision-making areas, notably security, the budget, and foreign policy.

Nevertheless, higher numbers of women in parliament generally contribute to stronger attention to women’s issues. A large-scale survey of members of parliament undertaken by the Inter-Parliamentary Union recently found that over 90 per cent of respondents agreed that women bring different views, perspectives and talents to politics, and an equally large percentage of male and female respondents believed that “women give priority to those issues believed to be women’s issues.”28 In the words of one member of parliament, “It’s the women in politics who put women’s rights and violence against women and children on the political agenda.”29

One of the anticipated effects of women in public office is a decrease in corrupt practices in public office. Certainly corruption is a mat-
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A 2008 study of UK politics confirms that as the number of women in formal political institutions has increased since the 1997 election when women’s representation doubled to 18.2 per cent, issues of particular concern to women have been increasingly mainstreamed into policy and political debate. Women in public office have another very important effect in building accountability to women: they encourage greater political engagement by ordinary women. Research on the 2001 election by the Electoral Commission in the UK, for example, has revealed that women voters turn out in slightly higher numbers than men (there was a gender gap of four percentage points) in elections for seats with a female candidate.
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contrast to the ‘high politics’ of creating a greater demand for accountability, the huge, painstaking and often enormously complex task of improving the ‘supply’ of accountability unfolds out of the public eye. Implementation involves the translation of policies into directives, procedures, doctrine, budget allocations, recruitment patterns, incentive systems, reporting, monitoring and, finally, oversight systems. As a result, perhaps the most critical part of a functioning system of democratic accountability – implementation – remains the most challenging.

Even when the political will does exist, many governments do not have the capacity, resources, or know-how to ensure that gender equality policies are carried out. In weak or fragile states, corruption and inefficiency can have a devastating effect on ordinary citizens of both sexes, but they often take a gender-specific form that undermines the cycle of political accountability to women in particular (see Chapter 3). Thus, as policies are translated into procedures, resources, incentives, and action, they are often embedded in administrative processes that do not take women’s needs into account.35 As one analyst explained in the context of India, “Overall, administrative reforms have lagged and continue to lag far behind political empowerment.”36

Special windows of opportunity for challenging entrenched interests sometimes emerge in post-conflict contexts. In Liberia, for instance, President Ellen Johnson Sirleaf took the unusual step of laying off the entire staff of the Ministry of Finance in early 2006 in an effort to tackle corruption.37 In Timor-Leste, the United Nations-supported transitional administration endeavoured to engage women in rebuilding public institutions from scratch, as shown in the Panel on page 30.

An important measure for institutional change advocated by women is simply more socially representative bureaucracies – in other words, more women and more diversity in administration. A survey of 1,000 members of the United States government’s Senior Executive Service (high-level civil servants) found a direct relationship between
the number of women working in an agency and that agency’s willingness to advocate for women’s issues. It also showed that institutional factors were important, such as whether an agency has an office dedicated to women’s issues. For example, executives throughout the Department of Labor, which has a Women’s Bureau, are more likely to be responsive to women than executives in other U.S. government departments. Other studies have found that bureaucracies that mirror the patterns of diversity in the public they serve are more likely to be attuned and responsive to the specific needs of a variety of marginalised social groups, including women. As Chapter 3 shows, women at the ‘front line’ of service delivery bureaucracies – including public health workers and the police – contribute to improving responsiveness to women.

If increasing the number of women in civil service is likely to produce more responsive governance for women, this insight has yet to be reflected in most public sector reforms. On the contrary, efforts to cut state costs through outsourcing administrative and service delivery functions often result in thinning the ranks of ‘front-line’ workers in government services where women tend to be concentrated. In public sector downsizing programmes in the 1990s in Vietnam, for instance, 70 per cent of the employees of state-owned enterprises who were laid off were female. Efforts to professionalise the senior civil service rarely include ‘fast tracking’ to bring women into managerial roles. In the few countries that have civil service quotas for women, such as Bangladesh and Timor-Leste, efforts concentrate primarily on placing women in entry-level positions, where the quota can rapidly become a glass ceiling. In Afghanistan, the government recently committed to fast tracking the increase of women’s participation in the civil service at all levels to 30 per cent by 2013. Currently, only 22 per cent of all regular government employees are women and only nine per cent of these are at the decision-making level.

Much more needs to be learned about approaches to governance reform that result

---

**BOX 2G | Women Representatives and Policy Outputs**

Two particularly striking cases show that women often have a different set of demands than men, and a more representative assembly will lead to a different set of policy outputs.

In one case, political scientists assessed whether the proportion of the municipal council seats held by women affected the level of public childcare coverage offered in Norwegian municipalities from the 1970s to the 1990s. They controlled for characteristics such as party ideology, proportions of single-parent families, and the percentage of women of childbearing age. An unambiguous pattern was detected: there was a direct causal relationship between the proportion of the city council that was female and childcare coverage.

In the second case, a 1992 constitutional reform in India introduced gender reservations at all tiers of local governance, including the local panchayat village council system, which is responsible for local government activities such as public works projects. One-third of all council seats were reserved for women-only competition as were one-third of council heads (pradhan). Specific panchayat councils were randomly designated to have a female leader.

Political scientists examined panchayat councils in a sample of West Bengal and Rajasthan villages and coded requests and complaints that came to the councils by the sex of the person making the request. Systematic differences were found in the complaints depending on the sex of the complainant. For example, in both states women were more likely than men to make requests and complaints concerning water resources, reflecting their role as managers of domestic water supplies.

There were no differences in the pattern of requests to male-led and female-led councils, but there were striking differences in the response. The number of drinking water projects was more than 60 per cent higher in female-led councils than male-led panchayats. In West Bengal, where jobs building roads are more likely to go to women, there were more road projects in female-led panchayat councils, while in Rajasthan, where road-building jobs were more important for men, there were more road projects in districts with male-led councils.

Both of these cases suggest that local politics can provide an opportunity for personal experiences to influence the decisions being made, thus building a strong case for ensuring greater parity in the numbers of women and men in elected and appointed political decision-making bodies.
in stronger government accountability to women. Most current governance reforms are designed with little regard for specific gendered elements or impacts. A review of the World Bank’s programmes on public administration, law and justice since 2002, for example, shows that gender is mentioned as a sub-theme in only a few areas of governance programming (Figure 2.8). This does not necessarily mean that these programmes are not incorporating gender issues, but rather than gender equality objectives are not indicated amongst the primary thematic focus areas of these programmes.

**Transforming politics: A new cycle of democratic accountability**

When implementation is effective, political accountability comes full circle because it feeds into more effective and broader mobilisation on the part of women – and in some cases a transformation of politics as other interest groups take up women’s concerns. Furthermore implementation of laws and policies can lead to recognition of other needs around which to mobilise. Alternatively, implementation failures create an incentive for women to mobilise in protest. Either way, state actions and the ways in which they are evaluated, explained, justified, and, if necessary, corrected can trigger broader and more effective political engagement.

Today, as a result of women’s mobilisation over many years, women’s rights and gender equality are a part of most political debates. Women’s advocacy has played an enormously important role in creating a politics of gender equality, whether by providing politicians committed to women’s rights with the grassroots support required to exert political leverage, by challenging entrenched biases against women in party politics, or by making a gender equality issue – such as violence against women – a political issue of concern to all. In the process, gender equality advocates have done much more than...
In the absence of political accountability, when aspirations to advance women’s interests can find no expression, and when representatives are unable to advance legislation or see it enacted, the result can be a loss of faith in democratic participa-

open up political spaces for women. They have succeeded in changing the meaning of the political by exposing how power relations work in the private sphere and by demanding the democratisation of all social relations.

Accountability in Timor-Leste, however, as in all other countries emerging from the trauma of prolonged violent conflict, remains an enormously complex political and institutional project. In the new state of Timor-Leste the public administration literally had to be built from scratch. In 1999, for example, there were only 70 lawyers in the country, no formal judicial system, no civil service, and no political institutions to ensure citizen’s access to justice in the emerging independent state.

Unlike in many other post-conflict contexts, Timorese women were able to participate in nation building from the start. In this they were assisted by the United Nations peacekeeping missions that sought to support national accountability to women. The first United Nations mission (2000-2002), for example, promoted gender equality in policy, programmes and legislation in the East Timor Transitional Administration. This eventually evolved into a policy-making mechanism strategically integrated into the new government. With the support of a representative network of women’s organisations and a critical mass of women in high-level decision-making positions, this work provided the foundation for the comprehensive institutional framework for gender equality that exists today. As the Special Representative of the Secretary General, Atul Khare, has pointed out, “Women are strong advocates for justice and accountability. Therefore, the alliance between peacekeeping operations, women, and women’s organisations is crucial for promoting long-term stability in any country.”
tion or in engagement with the state. This can contribute to the growing appeal of other forms of mobilization, such as identity groups or religious movements. Women have found an important source of support in these associations, which often provide services and social recognition to women in areas where the state does not deliver. However, some of these associations take decidedly restrictive perspectives on democratic participation, and recruit women as spokespersons of conservative interpretations of women’s social roles. This is a matter of concern to gender equality advocates, and in some contexts it threatens to reverse democratic political developments. This speaks to the urgent need to ensure that political accountability systems engage women as equal participants at every stage of the accountability cycle.

Conclusion: Accountability to women in the political cycle

Today, accountability to women is an issue that is on the agenda of governments around the world. Women have not only demanded state accountability for ensuring that more women can compete for public office; they have also sought ways of improving the accountability of public authorities to women. Issues once seen as mainly women’s issues – such as violence against women, lack of childcare and social protection, environmental protection, and the care burden of HIV-positive family members – are now matters for mainstream political debate.

- Women’s movements have been critical to advancing the democratization of public and private power relationships. In this process they have been most effective when they succeed in translating a ‘women’s issue’ into a matter of general public interest.

- Electoral system reforms that afford voters a broader range of choices and a multiplicity of representatives can help return more women to public office.

- Political party reform to ensure internal democratization improves women’s chances
of competing for public office. Women in many contexts are asserting their leverage as a voting group, for instance by using Women’s Manifestos to assert their policy interests. A small gender gap in voting preferences is becoming evident and parties are responding with policies attuned to women’s preferences.

- **Internal party quotas to bring more women into party leadership** have proven indispensable to ensuring not only that women’s issues are on party platforms, but that there is a stronger pool of women candidates in electoral contests.

- **Temporary affirmative action measures such as quotas and reservations** are an important means of breaking through voter resistance and other constraints on women’s access to office. States that do not endorse these measures should be held to account if they do not take alternative steps to enable more women to attain representative office.

- **A new generation of quotas that apply for both men and women is emerging.** These follow the principle of balanced gendered perspective and limit the dominance of either sex to a maximum of 60 per cent.

- **Increasing the number of women in elected and appointed public office can enhance accountability but must be accompanied by efforts to build state capacity to respond to women’s needs.** Policy-making must be matched with resource allocation, procedural reform, new performance measures, and incentive system change so that governance reforms bring results for ordinary women. In this regard, an indicator of accountability to women can be found in the resources, institutional location, and authority given to women’s units within the national bureaucracy.

In short, a politics of accountability requires much more than increasing and amplifying women’s voices amongst policymakers. It requires governance reforms that equip public institutions with the incentives, skills, information and procedures to respond to women’s needs.
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Until recently, in Nazlet Fargallah, Egypt, women gathered water up to four times a day, using sewage-contaminated water for washing. Lacking latrines, they waited until dark to relieve themselves, leaving them ill and vulnerable to violence. The situation changed when a local government water and sanitation project introduced female health visitors and enabled women to participate in community and household decisions about how to improve health and livelihoods. The 700 households now have two taps and a latrine each and there is more awareness of how sanitation behaviour can prevent disease. Women spend less time collecting water, and have gained dignity and security.¹

In Honduras, both the public and the government were shocked by a study in 1990 that found maternal mortality was nearly four times what had been previously believed. The problem, it emerged, was that only a small percentage of women were delivering in clinics with skilled birth attendants. A group of government officials publicised this finding through the media, lobbying donors and health officials on the issue of maternal mortality. These efforts put safe motherhood on the political agenda: a new health minister took up the challenge by raising resources and substantially expanding the health and safe motherhood infrastructure, concentrating on the worst-affected areas. Within seven years, maternal mortality in Honduras dropped by 40 per cent.²

For women, public services are a proof of the effectiveness of accountability systems. If services fail, women’s well-being can be seriously at risk. Service delivery failures do not affect women only. But they affect women differently and more acutely than men, particularly if they are poor, because women are often less able to substitute for poor public provision by paying fees for better services.

The commitments that countries have made to achieve gender equality and women’s empowerment can only be imple-
mented if the requisite services are delivered. While there has been notable progress in passing laws and making policies, budgeting for and delivering the actual services mandated by these laws and policies are the measure of accountability. This chapter examines gender-specific biases in the way services are resourced and designed, and shows how women’s physical and social access to services is often constrained. It outlines ways in which better accountability, including performance indicators and new mandates for service providers, can improve service delivery for women and change the lives of entire communities.

Women Carry the Buckets

Water collection is a task mainly undertaken by women. Women’s responsibility for water collection tends to coincide with poor access to water, thus suggesting a high time burden on women.

![FIGURE 3.1 | Women Carry the Buckets]

[Diagram showing water collection by household and gender in different countries]

Notes: Primary water collector by household captures which member of the household is primarily responsible for collecting water (by percentage of households within a country).


Long Way to Go: Universal Access to Improved Water

2.5 billion people live in countries where one fifth or more of the population cannot access drinkable water.

![FIGURE 3.2 | Long Way to Go: Universal Access to Improved Water]

[Chart showing percentage of population with access to improved water]

Notes: Population with access to improved water captures the percentage of households that can access drinkable water within each country.


Households with access to improved water average...

100% in developed regions
80% in developing regions

Households with access to improved water are present in...

more than 95% of households in 52 countries, about 22% of the world’s population
less than 80% in 58 countries, about 40% of the world’s population
less than 70% in 37 countries, about 10% of the world’s population

Notes: Population with access to improved water captures the percentage of households that can access drinkable water within each country.

Why services matter for women

Services enable women to realise basic rights

The most obvious way in which services matter to women is that they support their rights to health, education and a decent life. Poor women rely more than men on public services because they often do not have other options. If they have to pay for health or education, girls and women from poorer households are likely to lose out, as poor households commonly reserve their cash for medical care and schooling for men and boys.3

The burden of many domestic tasks that women perform can also be significantly lightened by a better provision of public services, whether through safer roads, cleaner wells, or proper water and sanitation systems.4 For instance, if households have no water in or near the premises, it is women’s job to do the time-consuming work of fetching and carrying it (see Figure 3.1). Research in sub-Saharan Africa suggests that women spend some 40 billion hours a year collecting water—the equivalent of a year’s worth of labor by the entire workforce in France.5 Where water is more readily available, men increasingly share in the responsibility of managing household water supplies. This makes an investment in improved water also an investment in freeing women’s time, but many countries still have a long way to go in this respect, as seen in Figure 3.2.

When governments make concerted efforts to reach women, they are not only contributing to their welfare, but also recognising women’s rights. Policies to increase girls’ access to education in many countries, for example, have been boosted by strong signals from governments, political leaders and the international community that girls’ education is a matter of vital national importance. As a result, the gap between boys’ and girls’ primary school completion rates in low-income countries dropped from 18 per cent in 1990 to 13 per cent in 2000. In Gambia, girls’ enrolment rates more than doubled between

Notes: The total net enrolment rate in primary education measures the proportion of children (girls/boys) within the relevant age group who are enrolled in school.
Sources: UN Statistics Division Millennium Indicators database; and UN Statistics Division database.

FIGURE 3.3 Girl’s Access to Education

9 out of 10 girls in the world are going to school, but some countries are still facing challenges
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shows one important reason for this: disparities in access to skilled health care providers. Given the critical role that public investment in service quality plays in building women’s and community well-being, it is not surprising that mobilisation around rights to public services has been a major element of women’s collective action.

**Access to services is a rallying point for women’s collective action**

Mobilisation aimed at improving service delivery can have lasting effects on women’s participation in civil society and their engagement with the state. In the aftermath of the Chernobyl disaster, for example, Ukrainian women set up MAMA-86 to campaign for environmental rights, with a focus on safe water. MAMA-86 lobbied for rights to official information about the environment, collected information about drinking water

---

**FIGURE 3.4** The Gender Gap in Primary Education Enrolment

In most countries, enrolment in primary education is greater than 80% for both girls and boys. However, significant gender gaps of 5 percentage points or more persist in sub-Saharan Africa, South Asia and the Middle East & North Africa.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Region</th>
<th>Girls (%)</th>
<th>Boys (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Sub-Saharan Africa</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>74</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Middle East &amp; North Africa</td>
<td>97</td>
<td>72</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>South Asia</td>
<td>77</td>
<td>59</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>East Asia &amp; Pacific</td>
<td>59</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Latin America &amp; Caribbean</td>
<td>84</td>
<td>97</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CEE/CIS</td>
<td>84</td>
<td>84</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Developed Regions</td>
<td>81</td>
<td>87</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Notes: The total net enrolment rate in primary education measures the proportion of children (girls/boys) within the relevant age group who are enrolled in school. The graph includes information on the regional range of values (from minimum to maximum) as well as weighted regional averages, using UNFEM groupings.

Sources: UNFEM elaboration based on UN Statistics Division Millennium Indicators database; and UN Statistics Division database.
quality and publicised it widely. This led to participation in policymaking, and through public consultations, MAMA-86 prepared amendments to draft laws that eventually granted citizens access to information about drinking water issues.7 Similar examples from countries as diverse as India, Peru and Argentina show how the delivery of services can become a rallying point for women’s mobilisation and political engagement.

India provides an especially powerful example. In India, popular mobilisation in the past 10 years has centered on demands for the state to take responsibility for ensuring five critical elements of life with dignity: the rights to food, work, education, health and information (see Panel: Demanding Basic Rights Through Mobilisation in India on page 42). These campaigns have brought together citizens spanning the rural-urban landscape, across cleavages of class, caste, religion, age and gender, making them broad social movements.

In Peru, the comedores, originally community kitchens set up for the urban poor, also became important sites of social mo-

Chapter 3: Services 39
bilisation, particularly for women. In the late 1980s, with the introduction of a programme of social services for shantytowns, the comedores were strengthened and federated. This led to more political demands for welfare services for women outside their membership, and finally to a law recognising them as ‘social base groups’ entitled to public funding and formal access to the state. More recently, in Argentina, women’s groups have used the right to public information to investigate inadequate service delivery such as missing rape kits in provincial hospitals. *Mujeres en Igualdad*, a women’s NGO supported by UNIFEM and the United Nations Democracy Fund (UNDEF), has been using campaigns focused on citizens’ right to information as the basis of a broader national agenda aimed

### Box 3A  Argentinian NGO Translates Information into Action

“During the last presidential election, Argentina reached a new level regarding women’s political participation: we now have a woman President, 40 per cent female representation in the Chamber of Deputies, 39 per cent in the Senate, one governor. It is a right won by several generations of political and social activists. In spite of these achievements, women still lack influence at the highest levels of decision-making.” Monique Altschul, Executive Director, *Mujeres en Igualdad*

*Mujeres en Igualdad* (MEI) in Argentina is a women’s NGO that has placed accountability to women at the core of its mandate. Its campaigns for accountability have targeted all aspects of governance, including political parties and local and national government, and have particularly drawn attention to the impact of corruption on women. MEI has identified the lack of access to information as a major source of corruption and therefore has focused on supporting women to exercise this right in areas as diverse as sexual and reproductive rights, legislative transparency, and political participation.

In 2007, during Argentina’s most recent national electoral campaign, MEI and its partners focused on gathering information regarding public and private funding for political parties and drew attention to the unequal funding levels received by male and female candidates. They also conducted an analysis of female candidates’ speeches, examined media and party perceptions regarding gender and corruption, and undertook a comparative study of gender equality issues in the parties’ charters. MEI found that few parties addressed gender equality or women’s political participation, and only one discussed gender issues during its training programme.

Another key area of work for MEI has been establishing a network of women’s organisations in eight provinces that regularly request information from government offices on vital issues affecting women’s rights. These include trafficking in women and girls, compliance with laws and programmes on domestic violence and reproductive rights, women’s equity in employment, and women’s political participation at the local level. During a 2007 meeting in the province of Jujuy, for example, the women drew attention to issues ranging from free contraceptives gone missing from public hospitals to cases of girls raped as a result of poor street lighting to corruption and gender biases in the judiciary.

Thanks to the advocacy efforts of organisations like MEI, women in Argentina are now at the forefront of efforts to make national and local government more accountable. As one member of MEI summed up, “…as long as we fight gender discrimination, and we fight corruption, we will be able to enforce equality and accountability.”
at fighting corruption and supporting democratic governance (see Box 3A).

Why and how services fail women

A vast amount of research in recent years has looked at the reasons why services fail poor people. This research suggests that the poor have fewer opportunities than elite and middle-class groups to inform policy-makers of their needs or to organise effectively to demand better provision. What holds for the poor holds for many women too, although, as we shall see, there are also gender-specific ways in which services fail women, particularly poor women.

Restrictions on access to services

Physical distance is a critical factor shaping women’s access to services. For example, for women giving birth in Mpwapwa, eastern Tanzania, the nearest hospital is 58 kilometres away and emergency obstetric care is not available locally. If they live near a main road, they can catch a bus or hire a bicycle for Tshs 200 (US $0.20), but not everyone can afford this. Some women are carried the entire way by stretcher. According to one health worker, “Many cannot afford transport costs, so they sell their food, borrow, use herbs or just wait to die.” There are many rural societies like Mpwapwa where emergency obstetric care is not provided because there is no money for equipment, no electricity with which to run it, and no doctor to use it.

‘Cultural values’ are commonly cited to explain why women and girls do not use schools or clinics far from their homes. The reality is often more mundane: the costs of travel and time, and fear and insecurity around travel, often outweigh the benefits of the services provided. One study in Zomba, Malawi, for example, found that girls had been chased by dogs, men and boys on their four-kilometre walk to school, and that they feared being raped on the way. Some of the successes in boosting access to education and health over the 1990s reflect the recognition by aid agencies and bureaucracies that women and girls tend to be able to use services far more effectively when these are located closer to home.

Women’s lack of access to land and the insecurity of their property rights pose significant constraints on women’s access to agricultural services, including credit, that require formalised ownership of land. In Latin America, a survey of five countries found that only between 11 and 27 per cent of landowners were women. In Uganda, women account for most agricultural production but own only five per cent of the land, and women’s tenure is highly insecure. Weaker property rights is one reason women farmers in Ghana were more likely to be subsistence farmers rather than cultivators of more profitable pineapple crops, like their male counterparts. In countries opening up their markets, where farmers are encouraged to formalise their land tenure to enable long-term productive investment, women’s persistently low land ownership undermines their voice in claiming property that they have traditionally used.

Public officials may also expect a degree of literacy from clients, as well as a mastery of the national official language (rather than the vernacular or local dialects) and a level of formality and bureaucratic etiquette in the interactions between clients and themselves. The basic qualifying conditions to access services may be premised on the assumption that the applicant is an employed, literate or propertied man. Gender biases in service delivery and design are often compounded by class and age biases as well, as illustrated in Box 3B.

Gender-blind services and biases in public spending

Services are often designed and delivered with men rather than women in mind, reinforcing women’s dependence on men and limiting the opportunities that services should create for women. These gender biases are not always obvious. A famous example refers to agricultural extension services designed to educate and support farmers, which tend to be targeted towards...
men, despite the fact that in many parts of the world a large percentage of farmers are women. Research in Western Kenya in the 1970s showed that the productivity increases expected from the introduction of hybrid maize failed fully to materialise in part because male extension workers exclusively contacted male farmers, even though in many areas women were the primary maize farmers.  

Thirty years later, assumptions about the gender of the farmer stubbornly persist, and farmer services continue to be geared towards men. Agricultural extension services in Benin, for example, are channeled through farmers’ organisations, which do not formally exclude women but whose eligibility criteria favour literate commodity producers, who are more likely to be men.

One reason this sort of problem particularly affects women is that public spending tends to be inequitably distributed. Gender budget initiatives have taken up the challenge of monitoring government budget allocation and spending. By 2007, more than 90 countries had engaged in some form of gender responsive budgeting (GRB) activities. The potential for gender budget initiatives to improve accountability to women in public service delivery is strong because GRB entails an intentional focus on planning, budgeting and monitoring processes, and it aims to ensure that development outcomes are gender equitable. The momentum behind GRB is based on an increasing understanding among policy makers and gender equality advocates that budgeting that seeks to eliminate inequalities in access to education, health, security, justice, training and employment maximizes the effectiveness of development policies and contributes to the achievement of more equitable development outcomes (see Panel: Gender Responsive Budgeting on page 44).

Women are softer targets for corruption

One clear symptom of weak accountability in the delivery of services is corruption, or the illegal capture of public resources for private

---

**Demanding Basic Rights Through Mobilisation in India**

**The Right to Information:** In 2005, the government of India passed the Right to Information (RTI) Act, guaranteeing citizens’ access to information from government departments and agencies. RTI was a result of sustained advocacy dating back to the early 1990s, when a rural people’s organisation, the Mazdoor Kisan Shakti Sangathan (Workers and Peasants Power Union) held jan sunwais or public hearings in Rajasthan demanding that official records be made public, as well as social audits of government spending and redress mechanisms to ensure just processes of citizenship. RTI now mandates that each government department create structures and procedures to enable and monitor this process, with penalties if government officers do not provide information within a month.

The RTI has led to important gains for women. The case of five elderly women from Tilonia, Rajasthan, illustrates the new standards for government accountability. The five women, who had not received their pensions for over four months, approached their district administrator, who discovered that the reason for the delay was the women’s missing birth certificates. He immediately ordered the payment of their pensions, and informed the women how they might obtain birth certificates from the village patwari, or local revenue officer. In this case, the women’s complaint was addressed without even having to file a formal petition.

**The Right to Food:** In February 2003, Triveni Devi, a resident of Sunder Nagri in Delhi, sparked off a process that led to the reform of the city-wide food distribution system and ensured that thousands of poor women receive their entitlements to food rations. Supported by a civil society organisation at the forefront of the RTI movement, Devi demanded to see records from the Department of Food and Civil Supplies, which showed that 25 kilogrammes of rice and 25 kilogrammes of wheat were purportedly being issued to her every month. These were rations that her family could not do without, but which they had never seen.

Following Triveni’s application, the Public Grievance Committee, a city government mechanism set up to handle citizens’ complaints, asked for the records of all 3,000 food ration shops in Delhi to be made public. When shop owners refused, 109 women from different
use. When substantial public investments are diverted from services, everyone loses. Corruption, however, can affect women in specific ways. Resources intended for poor women may be particularly vulnerable to high-level skimming of ‘commissions’ for procurements and contracts, because poor women may be seen as less aware of their entitlements to

areas across Delhi filed separate applications for the records of rations owed to them and participated in public hearings on the distribution system. As a result, they began to receive their rations more regularly. But the women’s struggle was not over. One of the main advocates in the campaign, a young woman who ran a resource centre for information on rationing rules and filing complaints, had her throat slashed by two unidentified assailants (luckily, she survived). In response to the public condemnation that ensued, the city government of Delhi made all ration records available for public scrutiny, and ordered that any complaints against the shops result in their suspension within 24 hours.

The Right to Work: In 2005, the Indian government passed the National Rural Employment Guarantee Act (NREGA), which has resulted in the creation of the world’s largest social security system. The law guarantees 100 days of employment on rural public works projects to a member of every rural household, and one-third of the workers are intended to be women. NREGA reflects the government’s commitment to supporting women’s employment, including through locally available projects and child care facilities. Women’s share of employment in the scheme has been over 40 per cent, rising to 82 per cent in Tamil Nadu.

NREGA is changing the gendered landscape of rural work. In Dungarpur, Rajasthan, for example, more than two-thirds of the work on NREGA projects – digging, breaking, lifting and depositing stones – is done by women, who claim their work and their wages with pride. In Karauli district, also in Rajasthan, at the initiative of a female panchayat leader, a 21-member monitoring committee of women was formed for the NREGA across panchayats in the region. As a result, government officers have become more responsive to local needs, such as female and youth unemployment.

In Bolivia, women live longer than men but often have significantly lower incomes. A lifetime of disadvantage, and their role as caretakers into old age, can make it harder for older women, especially in rural areas, to register for services. The fact that 73 per cent of Bolivian women over 60 are illiterate – compared to 28 per cent of older men – also makes it harder for them to access information about their rights.

Bolivia has a strong record in shaping legislation to promote good health into old age. Since 1992, older people have had access to universal health insurance, and in 2006 the health insurance provisions were redrafted to improve access in rural areas. The new law includes a monitoring framework consisting of Comités de Vigilancia (civil society organisations that monitor the implementation of municipal governments) and organisations of older people, including women, which have a responsibility to identify the principal obstacles to access.

Between 2002 and 2006, HelpAge International led an ‘Older Citizens Monitoring’ project that trained five older people’s organisations to monitor the financing and delivery of services. It identified key shortfalls, helped to improve the quality of medical services, and acted to increase knowledge of insurance among older people, especially women, as well as local government officials and health providers. The projects put an emphasis on training women in leadership. As Doña Catalina from the city of El Alto explained: “I’ve learned not to be afraid; we can go to offices and coordinate with institutions without any problem.”

BOX 3B | Older Women and Health Insurance in Bolivia: “I’ve Learned Not to Be Afraid”

In Bolivia, women live longer than men but often have significantly lower incomes. A lifetime of disadvantage, and their role as caretakers into old age, can make it harder for older women, especially in rural areas, to register for services. The fact that 73 per cent of Bolivian women over 60 are illiterate – compared to 28 per cent of older men – also makes it harder for them to access information about their rights.

Bolivia has a strong record in shaping legislation to promote good health into old age. Since 1992, older people have had access to universal health insurance, and in 2006 the health insurance provisions were redrafted to improve access in rural areas. The new law includes a monitoring framework consisting of Comités de Vigilancia (civil society organisations that monitor the implementation of municipal governments) and organisations of older people, including women, which have a responsibility to identify the principal obstacles to access.

Between 2002 and 2006, HelpAge International led an ‘Older Citizens Monitoring’ project that trained five older people’s organisations to monitor the financing and delivery of services. It identified key shortfalls, helped to improve the quality of medical services, and acted to increase knowledge of insurance among older people, especially women, as well as local government officials and health providers. The projects put an emphasis on training women in leadership. As Doña Catalina from the city of El Alto explained: “I’ve learned not to be afraid; we can go to offices and coordinate with institutions without any problem.”
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Between 2002 and 2006, HelpAge International led an ‘Older Citizens Monitoring’ project that trained five older people’s organisations to monitor the financing and delivery of services. It identified key shortfalls, helped to improve the quality of medical services, and acted to increase knowledge of insurance among older people, especially women, as well as local government officials and health providers. The projects put an emphasis on training women in leadership. As Doña Catalina from the city of El Alto explained: “I’ve learned not to be afraid; we can go to offices and coordinate with institutions without any problem.”

Older Women and Health Insurance in Bolivia: “I’ve Learned Not to Be Afraid”

In Bolivia, women live longer than men but often have significantly lower incomes. A lifetime of disadvantage, and their role as caretakers into old age, can make it harder for older women, especially in rural areas, to register for services. The fact that 73 per cent of Bolivian women over 60 are illiterate – compared to 28 per cent of older men – also makes it harder for them to access information about their rights.

Bolivia has a strong record in shaping legislation to promote good health into old age. Since 1992, older people have had access to universal health insurance, and in 2006 the health insurance provisions were redrafted to improve access in rural areas. The new law includes a monitoring framework consisting of Comités de Vigilancia (civil society organisations that monitor the implementation of municipal governments) and organisations of older people, including women, which have a responsibility to identify the principal obstacles to access.

Between 2002 and 2006, HelpAge International led an ‘Older Citizens Monitoring’ project that trained five older people’s organisations to monitor the financing and delivery of services. It identified key shortfalls, helped to improve the quality of medical services, and acted to increase knowledge of insurance among older people, especially women, as well as local government officials and health providers. The projects put an emphasis on training women in leadership. As Doña Catalina from the city of El Alto explained: “I’ve learned not to be afraid; we can go to offices and coordinate with institutions without any problem.”
public resources, or as less likely to challenge corrupt officials.

Vulnerability to corruption can affect women even if they are not poor. A survey in Bangladesh, for example, found that government accounts clerks who were charging informal ‘speed payments’ to process officials’ claims for allowances and expenses were more likely to target female education officials and teachers because women were generally assumed to have a male provider in their lives. Allowances such as maternity and sickness pay were especially likely to be subject to informal ‘speed payments,’ as women claiming such allowances were either pregnant or ill and thus in a weak position to protest.

**Sexual extortion as a ‘currency’ of corruption**

Sexual exploitation by officials providing essential services is a form of abuse of power that affects women specifically, with demands for sexual services sometimes constituting an informal ‘currency’ in which bribes are paid. Examples range from rape and assault by service providers to sexual harassment and psychological abuse. In India, for example, women in police custody are considered so vulnerable to sexual abuse by security personnel that the criminal law was amended to consider any sexual intercourse involving a woman in custody as rape, unless proven otherwise by the custodian. A growing amount of evidence also relates to violence and sexual abuse in schools across developed and developing countries. In one case involving several schools in Africa, one observer noted: “The average age that girls begin sexual activity is 15, and their first partner is often their teacher.”

The practice of expelling pregnant girls while the teachers responsible suffer no consequences highlights how accountabil-
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**Gender Responsive Budgeting**

The term “Gender Responsive Budgets” (GRB) broadly refers to government budgets that are formulated based on an assessment of the different roles and needs of women and men in society. GRB aims at reflecting women’s demands throughout the budget process policy-making stages, with a view to support increased allocation for gender equality. A wide range of organisations, including UN organisations, bilateral donors, and international and national NGOs, have provided technical assistance for GRB. UNIFEM has contributed to building interest, capacity and commitment to incorporating GRB in budgetary processes in over 30 countries.

In Morocco, for the past three years government departments have been required to prepare a gender report annexed to the annual national budget. In 2007, this analysis covered 17 departments. The gender report is an accountability tool that provides information on budget allocations and sex-disaggregated performance indicators. It also helps to identify areas in need of corrective measures in order to achieve compliance with national commitments to women’s rights. An analysis of the budgetary resources allocated to agricultural extension activities, for example, revealed that in 2004 women represented only nine per cent of the beneficiaries of these services even though women make up 39 per cent of the total number of people engaged in rural economic activity. As a result, the 2007 budget increased support for programs benefiting rural women by over 50 per cent compared to 2005.

In the Philippines, in 2004, UNIFEM supported Women’s Action Network for Development (WAND), a coalition of women’s organisations, to implement local-level GRB projects in two local government units. These women’s groups worked closely with the government to produce gender profiles of the health and agriculture sectors and formulate gender responsive plans that would form part of the multi-year plans of the local government. As a result, the health budget of Sorsogon City increased from 25 million pesos in 2005 to 37 million pesos in 2006. This increase contributed to larger allocations for reproductive health, family planning and prevention and control programmes for HIV/AIDS and other sexually transmitted infections.

In Ecuador, the Free Maternity Health Law was passed in 1998 as a result of demands from women’s groups to guarantee the provision of 55 health services relating to free maternal health care. The law is financed from domestic resources and assigned a specific budget line in the national budget. The National Women’s Council (CONAMU), in collaboration with a civil society group (Grupo FARO),
Accountability for sexual violence in schools is actually reversed. Sanctions against wrongdoing are enforced against victims rather than perpetrators, and it is girls that must pay for the abuse through the loss of years of education. To address this accountability failure, the Forum for African Women Educationalists, a civil society network, has successfully campaigned to expose the discriminatory effects of rules against pregnant pupils, prompting several countries in Africa to reverse the practice of expelling pregnant girls. In Kenya, for example, since 2003 female students who become pregnant have had the opportunity to subsequently apply for re-admission – even at a different school, allowing them to avoid stigmatisation by their former classmates.

This specific accountability failure has been found in international peacekeeping and humanitarian operations as well, with cases of staff members in emergency or post-conflict situations exploiting their control over desperately needed resources such as food to extort sex from women and children. Sexual exploitation and abuse by international security and humanitarian staff members has received a strong accountability response by UN agencies: a code of conduct, investigation of complaints and application of disciplinary measures for UN employees, the appointment of high-level conduct and discipline teams in all UN missions, and in 2008, the introduction of a victim compensation policy (see also Chapter 6).

Social distance

In Pakistan in the early 1990s, family planning services were failing because many women could not get access to the contraceptives they needed. In 1994, the initiative known as the Lady Health Workers Programme was started and the situation began to change. Contraceptive use has been monitoring resource allocation for the law since 2004. In addition, users’ committees were established to support implementation of this law, and monitor allocations, expenditures and quality of the services provided including regional disparities. The users’ committees armed with the data made available by Grupo Faro have become a social oversight mechanism that has exposed delays in transfer of resources, inadequate budget allocation to meet the demand for services, and corruption. The information is publicised and communicated to the Ministry of Finance to encourage the Government to address the issues.

Today, more than 15 countries have systematically introduced gender responsive budgeting guidelines, and built the capacity of planning and budgeting staff to apply a gender perspective to their work. In South Korea, according to the 2006 National Finance Act, the submission of a gender budget and gender-balanced reports will be mandatory from the 2010 fiscal year. In anticipation of this, in its budget guidelines for 2007-2008, the Ministry of Strategy and Finance has instructed that every ministry specify gender-related demands and use special formats that incorporate gender.

GRB initiatives have placed extensive emphasis on ensuring that the existing national budgetary accountability mechanisms work for women. To achieve this, gender equality advocates have worked closely with members of parliament to ensure that parliamentarians play their budget oversight role by monitoring how budgets address women’s priorities and investigate whether government expenditures benefit women and men in an equitable manner. Rosana Sasiea, a Member of Parliament from Peru, captured the growing momentum around GRB in a recent statement: “Gender budgeting makes sense in all walks of life,” she said, “because women in our country do more work for lower pay and have been contributing to the economy without due recognition, so what we want is simply that part of the State’s financial resources be devoted to overcoming inequalities that are holding women back. That is all – the simplest thing in the world!”
rates more than doubled over the 1990s, and immunisation rates and maternal and child health are also improving. One reason efforts like the Pakistan Lady Health Workers Programme succeed in bringing health and fertility services closer to women is that they bridge the social gap between women clients and service providers, often by involving educated women from local communities as volunteers or paid workers. Local community health workers are more likely to be available and approachable for women service users, as well as better positioned to understand and respond to their needs.

Another example of shrinking social distance between providers and clients comes from Enugu State in Nigeria, where HIV/AIDS has taken a heavy toll, with up to 13 per cent of the population in rural areas being seropositive. Women, particularly pregnant women, often suffer from discriminatory practices, ranging from mandatory HIV testing in antenatal clinics and breaches of confidentiality to outright denial of care. As a result, many pregnant women stay away from health facilities, which has contributed to an increase in mother and child mortality. In addition, the lack of adequate medical treatment and health care options has put the burden of looking after sick family members largely on women. To address this issue, UNIFEM supported the development of a gender-responsive HIV/AIDS policy for health care facilities in Enugu State, the first of its kind in the country. The policy emphasises the need for intensive counseling and information, and underlines the crucial link between home caregivers and health care providers. It also addresses discriminatory practices, especially where pregnant women are concerned, and specifically asserts that women and men are equally entitled to receiving anti-retroviral drugs.

### Conditional Cash Transfers

Conditional cash transfer (CCT) programmes aim to redress poverty and gender biases in access to essential services. They offer loans or grants to eligible households, on condition that families send children to school regularly and participate in immunisation programmes and health examinations, especially for pregnant women. Critical in-depth studies assessing the long-term effectiveness of these programs are still pending, but some research has shown immediate demonstrable benefits. This research suggests that many of the benefits are the result of women’s capacity to treat service provision as a commercial transaction in which they choose between private providers.

The *Oportunidades* programme in Mexico, the *Female Stipend Programme* in Bangladesh and the *Japan Fund for Poverty Reduction* scholarship programme in Cambodia are examples of cash transfer programmes that have contributed to improving girls’ educational opportunities by offering higher payments to families who enroll their daughters in school. A recent analysis of women who participated in Mexico’s *Oportunidades* also found significant improvements in the health of newborns due to better quality prenatal care. The *Oportunidades* programme provided women with education as well as encouragement to be ‘informed and active health consumers.’ It informed women of their entitlements to quality services, clarified their expectations of providers, and gave them skills to negotiate superior care. As a result, women gained self-confidence. One doctor noted that “beneficiaries are the ones who request the most from us.”

However, if quality services are not available, women may not be able to comply with the conditions of the programmes. The *Bolsa Familia* programme in Brazil, for example, raised awareness about the importance of regular health examinations and child immunizations, but evaluations found no effect on immunisation rates. This was also the case with Paraguay’s *Takoporã Programme*. The exact reasons for this have not yet been established, but evidence suggests that services must be conveniently located and available in sufficient quantity for women to take advantage of them. In principle, CCTs should result in better accountability to women because they have the money to choose a service provider and ‘take their business elsewhere’ if they are not satisfied. In practice, however, women living in remote areas, or areas where there is limited choice, are not always able to hold providers accountable through these means.
‘Voice’ and ‘choice’ in service delivery

There is no quick fix to the complex problems of biases against women in public services. Women around the world have engaged in a wide variety of activities along a spectrum of ‘voice’-based (demand) to ‘choice’-based (supply) initiatives to improve the accountability of public-service providers. ‘Voice’-based efforts focus upon the long-term political solution to the accountability problem: women engage with service planners, organising around their interests to build political leverage and ensure that officials answer for deficiencies in the performance of public services. ‘Choice’-based initiatives often seek to introduce market principles to substitute consumer power for more formal incentives to improve service delivery. This is what the World Bank labels the ‘short route’ of accountability, which can complement and sometimes by-pass the longer ‘voice’-based route of articulating policy preferences and mandating public decision-makers to implement them.40

The choice-based route to service delivery

Privatisation has been a major way in which governments and donors have sought to advance the choice-based route to accountability (see Box 3C). For women, this approach has had mixed results, and in some cases the impact has been clearly negative (see Box 3D). One of the main reasons the privatisation of services tends to have negative consequences for women is that they

BOX 3D | Water Privatisation

Every day, millions of women and young girls are vested with the responsibility of collecting water for their families. With a growing list of governments choosing to vest responsibility for providing a life-sustaining service, such as water, in the hands of large companies, how do citizens, especially women, ensure that they receive access to affordable, high-quality and reliable water services?

Like many countries in Latin America, Uruguay encouraged private sector participation in its water and sanitation sectors in order to improve efficiency and service quality. One example of a city where private companies took over the responsibility of water provision is Maldonado. In Maldonado, the majority of residents are workers and their main concern was maintaining community standpipes in the city. The standpipes were the result of efforts made by the public water and sanitation ministry to ensure potable water reached those households that lacked piped water. The municipalities had assumed the cost of these standpipes and they were particularly vital for the poor — especially poor women — who relied on this source to meet their household needs. However, after the private companies assumed responsibility for water provision in Maldonado, they pursued a policy of systematically eliminating community standpipes. Instead, the private companies encouraged people to install household connections, even when this required paying hefty fees.1

The situation was particularly tense in the district of San Antonio III, an area located slightly to the North of the city of Maldonado, where corporate takeover of water provision was almost immediately followed by the cessation of water to community standpipes. This was in turn followed by water connection cut-offs as a result of people’s inability to pay the high water rates. With approximately 90 families in the area, 60 per cent of which were headed by women, the community standpipes were a crucial source of water for many households — particularly in the face of connection cut-offs.1 In protest, the neighbourhood commission of San Antonio III, which was primarily run by women, mounted a successful campaign to maintain the community taps.

As a result of these and other campaigns, as well as a private sector track record of raising water tariffs and poor service quality, the government of Uruguay passed a constitutional amendment in October 2004 prohibiting private sector participation in the water sector, thus making it mandatory for all corporations in the water sector to be state-owned. This resulted in the withdrawal of the concession to major private companies that same year, followed in 2005 by legislation to ensure the participation of users and civil society in the planning, management and control of activities in the water sector.4
have a harder time exercising purchasing power than men because women often have fewer resources. Furthermore, privatisation may not reduce the social and physical distance or gender biases in service design that affect women. Finally, relationships within the family may constrain their capacity to exercise full choice in purchasing services for themselves. In other words, privatisation may increase the number of choices but it does not change the conditions of inequality and dependency that constrain women’s access to services in the first place.

As noted in Chapter 1, women’s choices are often ‘mediated’ by men. Women may have to depend on men to act as intermediaries between themselves, service providers or state officials – whether it is husbands applying for marriage, birth or death certificates on behalf of their wives, or a male relative brought along to provide ‘respectability’ when traveling to a government office. Male ‘intermediation’ contributes, for example, to the fact that more than a quarter of women do not have a say in decisions about their own health care, as shown in Figure 3.8. Thus, even where there are mechanisms for registering complaints or giving feedback, it is likely to be men rather than women who make the choice about desired services, and who communicate and negotiate with service-providers. The introduction of market principles to the delivery of services will not, therefore, overcome the gender biases that often result in inappropriately designed or delivered services which do not fully respond to women’s needs. In effect, by ‘shortening’ the service delivery route and by-passing the political process, women may lose the opportunity to turn improved service delivery for women into an issue that is recognised as being in the broad public interest (see Chapter 2).

The challenge of making ‘voice’ work
‘Voice’-based initiatives to build accountability also suffer from drawbacks. Different groups of women may not share common interests. They may not be able to express their needs effectively when it comes to service provision because they view themselves, and their needs, as less important than those of their children or husbands. Women may also be unable or unwilling to express their own needs, particularly when this runs counter to the perceived interests of male community leaders. As a group of village men in Afghanistan recently remarked in the context of a research project on gender and decision-making at the local level, “Women don’t have any problems.”

User groups are a well-known approach that development agencies have been active in promoting in developing countries to broaden women’s participation in setting priorities and monitoring the delivery of services. User groups include forest or watershed management committees, school management committees, patient representative groups and groups focused on monitoring budgets. They can sometimes make a significant difference at the community level, but often they are dominated by men and can emphasise consensus, thus masking dominance by powerful community members. In addition, formal user groups and consultative processes frequently involve sacrifices of time that make it costly for women to participate.

Decentralisation of service delivery is another classic means of building women’s ‘voice’ by making it easier to engage in local priority-setting and resource allocation. In the Indian state of Kerala, for example, 10 per cent of local planning funds are earmarked for women to allocate, which they do in all-female consultations organised by elected councillors, and which has resulted in increased local spending on services women want. However, for women, decentralisation can also sometimes have the opposite effect. In South Africa, for example, where women at the community level frequently mobilise around issues related to service delivery, decentralised services are now delivered in part via traditional councils. These government-sponsored ‘traditional development centres’ primarily appoint men as the gatekeepers to local service for women. Although the
Traditional Leadership Act specifies that at least one-third of the ‘traditional community’ leaders must be women, enforcing this provision has been challenging.48

In short, improvements in services for women can neither depend exclusively on choice and privatisation of services, nor on women raising their voices at the point of delivery. ‘Choice’-based approaches inevitably privilege those with market power, and do not deal with the way gender relations affect women’s purchasing power. ‘Voice’-based approaches must give expression to the diversity of women’s interests, and even when women organise effectively to represent their concerns and to engage in direct oversight of providers, the result can be frustration and alienation if traditional interests control service delivery or if states lack the capacity to respond.

**What needs to happen to improve accountability to women in services?**

Common points of emphasis from the many examples in this chapter add up to a gender-sensitive approach to the reform of public service institutions. This approach includes both ‘voice’ and ‘choice’-based efforts, but favours the more wide-ranging ‘voice’-based initiatives because these build on collective action and, in the process, strengthen women’s rights and their capacities to shape the broader public interest and political agenda.

**New mandates to serve women**

Public-sector organisations need to have a specific mandate to ensure they promote women’s rights and gender equality goals. Two elements should be in place for a gender-sensitive mandate to be established: First, service providers must recognise that women have specific needs regarding service delivery. Second, this recognition must be supported by commitment to action.

Mandates to serve women are often the result of women’s citizen action, based on research or information that has brought to light new and startling evidence about gender inequalities or service failures or abuses. Alternatively they can be the result of external pressures by aid donors or global civil society mobilising around women’s rights. For instance, targets regarding service improvements that emerged out of the Education For All and Millennium Development Goal initiatives have been significant means of ensuring that governments formally recognise and address problems of gender inequality.49 Mandated reform to make gender equality central to the remit of institutions works best when all institutional actors recognise that gender equality is ‘mission critical’ – that it makes a central contribution to the effectiveness of the institution.

Mandates to serve women must be supported by commitment to action. This may take the form of policy and legislative changes, new programmes or projects, or establishing incentives for service-providers to listen and respond to, women’s needs. In Timor-Leste and South Africa, for example, women’s groups organised to develop Women’s Charters — published statements about
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**FIGURE 3.8 | Women’s Role in Health Decisions, 1999-2005**

More than 1 in 4 women does not have a final say in decisions related to her own health. A woman’s role in making healthcare decisions is a strong measure of her autonomy, and thus of her ability to access services.

Women’s participation in decision-making on issues related to their own health, by marital status: regional averages

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Region</th>
<th>Married</th>
<th>Unmarried</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Latin America &amp; Caribbean</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>East Asia &amp; Pacific</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>South Asia</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CEE/CIS</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Middle East &amp; North Africa</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sub-Saharan Africa</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Notes: Regional averages were calculated using available DHS country information and classified according to regional groupings. Original information included a fourth category where information was not available for some countries. These percentages were recomputed to add 100% considering only these three categories.

Source: UNFEM elaboration based on DHS database.
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government responsibilities to women — to promote gender equality across the public sector during periods of national reconstruction. In India, police forces have developed charters that specify their responsibilities and practices towards ensuring women can access justice. Similarly, in Georgia, new laws against domestic violence recently marked a new mandate for public action to address violence against women in the private sphere.

**New incentives**

Improving material incentives (such as civil servants’ pay) may improve service-provider performance, particularly in contexts where public servants receive low salaries. Material incentives, including performance-related compensation, have not often been used to improve responsiveness to women service clients, in part because of a lack of resources. However, non-material incentives to orient public sector ethos or organisational cultures towards more gender-sensitive service delivery demonstrate considerable promise in bringing more accountability to women. For example, efforts to bring service-providers into closer, more regular contact with the women using the services may enable dialogue about which services are valued, help to create a sense of mission or purpose, and re-orient service provider values in a way that raises the moral cost of misconduct.

Innovative public health programmes to reduce infant mortality in Ceará in Brazil in the 1980s and 1990s demonstrated the value of non-material incentives. Local women grassroots health workers took enormous pride in their work in spite of low salaries because of substantial investment in non-material rewards such as public recognition. Media coverage and distinctive uniforms helped to build an esprit de corps. As a result, health workers felt motivated to expand their own roles in response to the needs of poor households, contributing to a leap in preventive health coverage from 30 per cent to 65 per cent of the state’s population, and a 36 per cent drop in infant mortality rates.

**Monitoring performance and assessing results**

Regular performance monitoring of the day-to-day aspects of service delivery is a crucial element of stronger incentives for frontline service providers. Performance accountability is about enabling improvement in services and assessing both successes and failures. Meaningful gender-sensitive indicators for performance monitoring, however, are not easy to find. Indeed, in many cases, gender-disaggregated data is not routinely collected even at national, let alone local, levels. One estimate for Andhra Pradesh in India, for example, suggested that as many as 66 per cent of maternal deaths went unrecorded, making it impossible to track progress – or deterioration – in the provision of safe motherhood services.

Even if better basic data is available, it is still often difficult to use this to monitor the quality of services. For example, while the performance of public health and sanitation officials may be judged against how many latrines have been installed, it is typically harder to assess whether these work, do not leak, are located in places that women can access safely, and are being used. In most obstetric programmes, while there may be some monitoring of early registration of pregnant women, tetanus injections and distribution of iron supplements, there is far less emphasis on postnatal home visits or on continuity of care.

**Conclusion**

This chapter finds that gender biases affect the design, delivery, and accountability systems of public services in many countries. Resource scarcity is often blamed for poor quality services. Making services work for women is challenging in countries where abundant resources exist; there is no doubt that under conditions of illiteracy, remoteness, under-resourcing, corruption, and patriarchal social conditions it is even more difficult. But as the case of girls’ improved access to education in poor countries shows, it is possible to improve service delivery even in resource-scarce contexts. This chapter shows that mechanisms of accountability that
enable women service clients to participate in monitoring and review of service quality can generate information that providers need to improve delivery. This engagement can also build the leverage of women service users to generate social and political pressure for change to service delivery systems.

- Gender, class and urban biases shape public services, but ‘voice’-based initiatives that enable women to interact with service providers, improve delivery methods, provide feedback about service quality, and monitor and review performance can create the conditions to get services right for women. Improving public services has been an important focus of women’s collective action or ‘voice’. Voice-based initiatives are a point of interaction between citizens and the state in which women have developed a distinctive political position.

- Accountable, gender-responsive service delivery reflects a system of governance that is sensitive to the need to answer to women.

Good services for women are also the litmus test of government commitment to the national and international agreements they have made to gender equality and women’s rights.

- ‘Voice’ and ‘choice’- based approaches can complement each other, but ‘choice’ is sometimes not an option for women when their purchasing power is limited.

- Practical means for accountability in service delivery include gender-sensitive mandates that bring gender equality into the remit of every public service and its agents; incentives to reward responsive performance and to impose sanctions for neglect of women’s needs, performance measurements; and monitoring to ensure that outputs benefit women – all accompanied by systems to gather feedback from women service clients and to engage women directly in oversight functions. A citizen’s right to information is an essential tool supporting women’s efforts to monitor service improvement.
Guatemala, 1992: Was your Shirt Made by Guatemalan Women earning $3 a day?
Women engage in a wide range of markets in the course of their economic lives. From local markets where they buy and sell food for their families, to jobs in the city or overseas, women join global chains of production and exchange that stretch from micro-enterprises to large factories. Economic activity has been a crucial means by which women, particularly poor women, have gained access to the public domain and have been empowered to take on new roles.

There are markets for goods and services, capital and labour, and in each of these women face important accountability challenges if their rights are abused. The expanding range of markets on which today all countries depend for economic survival is challenging the ability of states to meet their commitments to social development and human rights, including women’s rights. Gender biases in labour markets have meant that women’s productive potential is less

"My name is Shamima, I am from a remote village in Bangladesh. My husband was a farmer. He had a piece of agricultural land. He used to cultivate rice and vegetables on that land. We had a hardship to run our family. We couldn’t profit from agriculture anymore because we had to buy seeds, fertilizer, insecticides at a higher cost from companies. My husband discussed the possibilities for him to work [abroad]. My relative suggested sending me instead... He said if I go abroad the cost will be less than that of my husband. My husband agreed and send me. I used to work hard from dawn to dusk. I was not allowed to rest and had no leave. Moreover, my mistress always treated me badly. I was not given food and was mistreated by the children. I decided to run away. When I came back to my country I was offered training from a migrant organisation. There I met many women with different stories of exploitation. I got back and learnt to stand up again. Now we are more than 200 women working together so that no more women face the same conditions. We are also running a collective income generation project to earn money for ourselves."

Chapter 4
Markets
effectively tapped than men’s (Figure 4.1) and that women have been more concentrated than men in informal, subsistence and vulnerable employment (Figure 4.2). In the last decade, more than 200 million women have joined the global labour force. In 2007, there were 1.2 billion women in paid work, compared to 1.8 billion men. An indicator of the accountability challenge they continue to face in formal employment is the gender wage gap, standing at a global average of about 17 per cent (Figure 4.3), and which tends to be higher in private than in public sector employment (Figure 4.4).

This chapter examines how women have mobilised in order to make governments, employers and businesses more accountable for protecting their rights so that markets are managed in the interests of gender and social equality. It looks at the contradictions between human rights legislation that is meant to protect women’s rights and the uncertain status of gender equality in trade legislation. It argues that if women’s rights are to be upheld, then both the public and private sectors must make commit-

FIGURE 4.1 Men’s Productive Potential is Tapped to a Greater Extent than Women’s

Across all regions, employment-to-population ratios are significantly higher for men compared to women, particularly in South Asia and the Middle East & North Africa.

Employment to Population Ratios by Sex (%)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Region</th>
<th>Male Employment</th>
<th>Female Employment</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Sub-Saharan Africa</td>
<td>77.2</td>
<td>77.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>South Asia</td>
<td>77.6</td>
<td>77.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Middle East &amp; North Africa</td>
<td>70.4</td>
<td>70.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>East Asia &amp; Pacific</td>
<td>78.1</td>
<td>78.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Latin America &amp; Caribbean</td>
<td>73.8</td>
<td>73.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CEE/CIS</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Developed Regions</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Notes: The employment to population ratio is defined as the number of employed persons, calculated as a percentage of the working-age population. This indicator provides some information on the efficacy of the economy to create jobs. Weighted regional averages were calculated by ILO using UNIFEM’s regional groupings. The value labels shown are for 2007.

Sources: ILO (2008); ILO Key Indicators of the Labour Market database; and estimates provided by ILO to UNIFEM on request.

FIGURE 4.2 Women are a Smaller Proportion of Salaried Workers than Men

In most developing regions, about one half to two thirds of women hold vulnerable employment. Although the percentage of women in vulnerable employment has dropped since 1997 in most regions, a disparity between men and women exists, specially in the Middle East & North Africa and Sub-Saharan Africa.

Status in Employment as Share of Total Employment (%)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Region</th>
<th>Total employment by status, 2007</th>
<th>Vulnerable employment</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Sub-Saharan Africa</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>South Asia</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Middle East &amp; North Africa</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>East Asia &amp; Pacific</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Latin America &amp; Caribbean</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CEE/CIS</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Developed Regions</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Notes: Wage and salaried workers are also known as “employees” or persons in paid jobs, where the incumbent holds an explicit or implicit contract and receives a basic remuneration that is not directly dependent on the revenue of the unit for which they work. Own-account workers are persons who are self-employed with no employees working for them. Contributing family workers are own-account workers who work without pay in an establishment operated by a relative living in the same household. Vulnerable employment is calculated as the sum of own-account workers and contributing family workers. Weighted regional averages were calculated by ILO using UNIFEM’s regional groupings. The value labels shown are for 2007.

Sources: ILO (2008); ILO Key Indicators of the Labour Market database; and estimates provided by ILO to UNIFEM on request.
ments to gender equality that are monitored and enforced. The challenges of remaining competitive in the world economy must not become an excuse for governments to suppress women’s labour rights.

**Market forces and women’s rights**

**Accountability and globalisation**

Accountability mechanisms in private sector markets are based on different principles than those in the public sector. In the public sector, as we have seen in earlier chapters, a social contract between the state and its citizens governs the rights and obligations of both parties. In the market, by contrast, accountability is based on individual contracts between the employee and the employer or between service providers and clients. As noted by Kurt Hoffman, Director of Shell Foundation: “Corporations are accountable to the market. If they don’t succeed in providing their customers what they want, they go out of business… that’s the model. You find out what the customer wants and then they respond by voting for what you provide.” Where demand is not met or contracts are not honoured, the theory goes, individuals may choose an alternative provider or employer.

There are well-known flaws in this logic. Women may get paid less than men for the same work, or be denied access to better-paid jobs because of entrenched attitudes that incorrectly assume men are the main breadwinners and need to earn more. Or women may not be able to compete equally with their male colleagues because they cannot invest an equal amount of time in work when they remain responsible for the greatest share of household and child-rearing tasks. In other words, women’s unequal status can restrict their ability to choose to leave a job as an accountability strategy if their rights are infringed.

Whether as farmers, factory employees or home-based workers, women’s employment increasingly takes place as part of ‘global supply chains’ that stretch from the

---

**FIGURE 4.3 Women are Paid 17% Less Than Men**

According to public information collected by the International Trade Union Confederation (ITUC), the gender pay gap ranges from 3% to 51% with a global average of 17%. Information available only for selected countries.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Country</th>
<th>Gender Pay Gap (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Costa Rica</td>
<td>-2.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Qatar</td>
<td>-2.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Georgia</td>
<td>17%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kazakhstan</td>
<td>30%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Armenia</td>
<td>35%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Japan</td>
<td>35%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Korea (Republic of)</td>
<td>35%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Paraguay</td>
<td>35%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Canada</td>
<td>35%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Singapore</td>
<td>35%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Madagascar</td>
<td>35%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Estonia</td>
<td>35%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cyprus</td>
<td>35%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Botswana</td>
<td>35%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>United States, Germany, Slovakia, Colombia</td>
<td>35%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Austria, Finland, United Kingdom, Iran</td>
<td>35%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Switzerland, El Salvador</td>
<td>35%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Netherlands, Czech Rep., Brazil</td>
<td>35%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Denmark, Israel</td>
<td>35%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mongolia, Norway, Sweden, Latvia, Mexico</td>
<td>35%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jordan, Lithuania, Occupied Palestinian Territories</td>
<td>35%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Australia, Luxembourg, Bulgaria, New Zealand</td>
<td>35%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spain</td>
<td>35%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Egypt, Poland</td>
<td>35%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>France, Croatia, Hungary</td>
<td>35%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Greece, Romania</td>
<td>35%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spain</td>
<td>35%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thailand, Ireland, Italy, Portugal</td>
<td>35%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Slovenia</td>
<td>35%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sri Lanka, Belgium</td>
<td>35%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Philippines</td>
<td>35%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Panama</td>
<td>35%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Malta</td>
<td>35%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Notes: This graph includes only information for those countries included in the regional groupings used throughout this report. For this reason, the global average of 17.03% is slightly different from the 15.6% reported by ITUC (2008). The graph does not show the information corresponding to Costa Rica and Qatar, where a negative gender pay gap of 2.2% was reported — these numbers were, however, included in the average estimations.

woman sewing a skirt in South Asia or Latin America to the consumer buying it in an up-scale department store in one of the world’s metropolises, with many steps in between for bringing a product from conception to final consumption. Yet while supply chains are spread across vast geographic spaces, they are also socially embedded. Production occurs within national markets that are shaped by social norms and gender inequalities that can systematically disadvantage women.4

Supply chains therefore reflect women’s lower status in the labour market, while at the same time illustrating the reasons why companies find it so attractive to rely on female labour in the new global economy. They capture two important, parallel trends in the labour market: feminisation and informalisation.5 As we shall see, both trends have consequences for accountability.

The feminisation of the labour force

Globalisation has led to an unprecedented demand for women workers in certain key sectors. For instance, women now make up 60 to 90 per cent of the fresh produce and clothing labour force at the labour-intensive stages of the supply chain in developing countries;6 they are also a major presence in the new tertiary outsourced service sectors, for example, call centres and financial services.7 As one economist observed, “Women have emerged as the flexible labour force par excellence for the highly competitive labour-intensive sectors of the global economy.”8

There are at least three reasons why women are attractive to employers.9 First, they are often free of the ‘fixed costs’ of an organised labour force — namely, employer-provided benefits and social security contri-
In most regions female employment is concentrated in either services or agriculture, with fewer women than men employed in industry (ranging from 7 to 23% in all regions, compared to 12 to 34% for men). The only region where men and women have similar patterns of employment by sector, both in relative composition and in trend, is East Asia & Pacific.

In 2006, the Government passed a new labour code, after 12 years of deliberation and activism. It applies to all workers, and the new sections relevant to the garment industry include written contracts and identity cards, timely payment of wages, revised minimum wage, paid maternity leave and explicit laws against sexual harassment.

Despite this progress, there is still much to be done to improve women worker’s rights. In May 2006, tens of thousands of workers, men and women, took to the streets to protest irregular wage payments and demand a higher minimum wage. The government agreed to a new rate, though it falls short of the 3000 takas (US$44) per month regarded as a minimum living wage. As Shefali, a female garment leader, said: “Earlier it used to be much more difficult to make the workers understand about different issues. But now they understand the importance of organizations…about the ILO convention and the law, and they ask for information.”

Notes: Weighted regional averages were calculated by ILO using UNIFEM’s regional groupings. The value labels shown are for 2007.

Sources: ILO (2008); ILO key indicators of the Labour Market database; and estimates provided by ILO to UNIFEM on request.
Almost 100 million of the world’s migrants are women, and as Figure A shows, they form nearly half the total migrant population. Evidence shows that women now dominate the categories of migrants with tertiary education (Figure B), and this represents a feminised brain drain that can undermine the female leadership base of affected countries. For some women, whether migrating for domestic or professional work, migration offers the chance of economic independence and empowerment. But for many, migration can involve loss of means of holding abusive employers accountable or demanding redress for violations of their rights.

World Bank estimates for 2004 indicate that remittances received by developing countries amounted to US$ 126 billion, almost twice the amount of Official Development Assistance (ODA) and approximately 75 per cent of the total foreign direct investment. There is no sex-disaggregated data to show women’s contribution to these remittances, but there is evidence that in some countries it is significant. In the case of Dominicans working in Spain, for example, as much as 78 per cent of all remittances were sent by women, even though they accounted for 61.4 per cent of migrants. In the Philippines, 97 per cent of migrants send at least some money home, with women sending about 45 per cent of their income on average.

Since the 1994 International Conference on Population and Development, governments have addressed international migration at various United Nations conferences, but provisions for the protection of the human rights of women migrants remain inadequate. The International Convention on the Protection of the Rights of All Migrant Workers and Members of their Families, which came into force in 2003, is the most comprehensive instrument for protecting migrant worker’s rights; however, as of 2007 only 37 countries had ratified the Convention, none of them among the top 10 migrant-receiving countries in the world.

Legislation and policies on migration rarely take into account the specific problems encountered by migrant women. For example, labour legislation rarely considers domestic employment. To address this challenge, UNIFEM in the Arab States has worked with 19 recipient and labour-exporting countries to support legal measures to prohibit exploitation of women migrants.

Trade unions and non-governmental organisations (NGOs) have also worked to support the rights of women migrants. The Asian Domestic Workers Union (ADWU) in Hong Kong was formed to fight for stronger protection and accountability. Filipino women have established NGOs linked to transnational networks, including the United Filipinos in Hong Kong, which monitors the working conditions of women’s jobs to informal employment with no job security or benefits. There is a strong link between insecure informal employment, especially home-based work, and poverty. This has been a powerful driver of women’s increased rate of migration for employment (see Panel: The Weakest Voices: Women Migrating in a Globalised World).

**Women and informal employment**

Because of a growing demand for flexible labour that can be drawn in or dropped depending on market pressures, women’s entry into the paid workforce in greater numbers has coincided with trends towards outsourcing, subcontracting or relegating their job to informal employment with no job security or benefits. There is a strong link between insecure informal employment, especially home-based work, and poverty. This has been a powerful driver of women’s increased rate of migration for employment (see Panel: The Weakest Voices: Women Migrating in a Globalised World).

**‘Managing the market’ in the interest of women’s rights**

The economic spheres in which women operate as workers, consumers, entrepreneurs and investors can all be regulated in ways that enhance social well-being in order better to protect women’s rights. This involves an array of public actors and institutions. These include officials responsible for monitoring and enforcing labour laws;
factory inspectors charged with implementing workplace safety and operational directives; public-health officers empowered to ensure that minimum environmental quality standards are met; and anti-trust authorities responsible for protecting vulnerable groups from collusive business practices. The recent food crisis, for example, demonstrates the importance of market regulation in the interest of gender equality as a development goal along with other goals, as highlighted in Box 4A.

Efforts to manage market forces, however, are not always driven by social justice agendas. Governments have responded to public demands for regulation by adopting or enforcing labour laws designed to provide a safety net against market failure, or to correct abusive practices such as child labour, environmental degradation or gender discrimination. They have also tailored economic policy decisions to support national industries, increase economic growth and enhance private accumulation. In the past, this often took the form of efforts to protect infant industries through, for instance, raising the cost of competing imports. In the current era of open economies and market deregulation, the emphasis has shifted to creating a market environment designed to attract investors.

Efforts to attract investment do not have to conflict with managing the market for social ends. For instance, research has shown that investment in women’s education pays off not only for women and their families, but also in terms of a skilled labour force that can attract business investment. However, the costs of labour rights protections, the high state capacity it requires, and the empowered labour force that results, are sometimes seen as a constraint on capital. These con-
cerns are advanced by supporters of eco-

nomic globalisation and are used to justify

measures such as cuts in public services and

a weakening of workers’ claims to minimum

labour standards. For women, the negative

impact of these policies is compounded by

existing inequalities in gender relations, fur-

ther eroding women’s ability to claim equal

rights, whether in the family, society, public
decision-making or the economy.

Women, accountability
and the global economy

Governments argue that their ability to pro-
tect workers’ rights and perform a market
oversight role is shrinking as corporations
become larger and more powerful. They also
point to the fact that they are restricted by
the terms of bilateral, regional and interna-
tional free-trade arrangements they have
signed. While this is indeed sometimes the
case, it is evident that the low cost of labour,
especially female labour, is part of the ‘draw’
that attracts foreign capital. Because there is
little transparency, to say nothing of account-
ability, in some of the arrangements govern-
ments make to attract foreign investment,
there is limited opportunity for poor women,
or indeed any other social group, to assert
their labour rights in investment agreements.

This is especially true for the millions of
women who work in Export Processing
Zones (EPZs). Export Processing Zones are
special enclaves that are exempt from labour
and environmental regulations, and as Figure
4.6 shows, they have proliferated in the past
30 years. The range and nature of these
exemptions are rarely made public, while
accountability measures, including griev-
ance procedures and provisions for sanc-
tioning errant managers, are often obscured
in the name of official secrecy or commercial
confidentiality. Industries in these Zones
often show a marked preference for female
labour, as shown in Figure 4.7.

Trade unions

Constraints on independent trade union
organising are bad news for women, as they
have been able to achieve important gains
through collective bargaining agreements
between workers and management. In most
countries, fewer than 40 per cent of employed
people join labour unions and women are
almost always found in lesser numbers,

Women Protesting the World Food Crisis

On 30 April 2008, more than 1,000 women gathered outside Peru’s Congress in Lima, banging empty pots and pans, demanding accountability and action from their government to mitigate the food crisis.1 The same crisis led Haiti’s poorest women to make biscuits out of mud, salt and vegetable shortening.2

From the beginning of 2008, in over 34 countries around the globe, there were protests over food prices that were spiralling out of reach even for people with average incomes.3 This represents a long-term shift in food production patterns in develop-
ing countries. In 1960, developing countries had an overall agricultural trade surplus of almost $7 billion per year; by 2001, the surplus had been transformed into a deficit of more than $11 billion.4 The World Food Programme (WFP) calls this the worst crisis in 45 years, and has flagged countries in which more than 50 per cent of a household’s income is spent on food as being especially vulnerable to growing food insecurity.5

This has had a severe effect on women, who not only assume primary responsibility for feeding their families but also contribute significantly to food production in many regions (see Figure 4.5).6 However, while women’s involvement in the agricultural sector is critical, their control over the means of agricultural production is weakening with globalisation of the food industry. A recent study by the Institute for Food & Development Policy points out that the lack of women’s ownership over the land they farm may well lead to a massive eviction of female subsistence farmers from areas turning to commercial crops.7 Food security will not be achieved without accountability of all the major actors in agriculture markets to the poor in general and to women in particular.
adding up to a global average of about 19 per cent of union membership (Figure 4.8). Union membership for women is strongly linked to a lower gender wage gap (Figure 4.9), demonstrating the connection between collective action and better private sector accountability to women.

With ever-growing numbers of women in the paid labour force, unions are intensifying efforts to recruit them. Successful campaigns to organise women workers have been run by the Council of Trade Unions in Australia, the National Organisation of Trade Unions in Uganda, the Confederación de Trabajadores de Honduras and the Confederación Sindical de Comisiones Obreras in Spain, among others. In each of these cases, the organisation focused on a single issue of importance to women, such as gender gaps in pay, protection for mothers, or child care.15

Growing numbers of casual and home-based workers are also organising for their rights. In 1996, the International Labour Organisation (ILO) adopted the Convention on Home Work16 as the result of a long campaign17 led by SEWA (Self Employed Women’s Association) in India, the world’s largest union of women in informal work, and coordinated by HomeNet, an international network for home-based workers.18 So far, the Convention has been ratified by only five governments — Ireland, Finland, the Netherlands, Argentina and Albania — but in principle it provides a platform to demand accountability for the world’s estimated 300 million homeworkers.19

In India, where a large informal economy employs the vast majority of workers, SEWA has developed a model of organising that addresses women’s unpaid work in caregiving as well as their paid work in the labour force. With a membership of almost one million women,20 SEWA is now formally recognised as a trade union and is an affiliate of the International Trade Union Congress.21 Elsewhere, on May 1, 2006, organisations of home-based workers in Asia, Europe and Latin America announced the formation of the Federation of Homeworkers Worldwide to demand equal treatment with workers in

**FIGURE 4.6 Export Processing Zones: An Increasing Trend**

- EPZs
- No. EPZs or similar type of zones
- No. countries with EPZs

Notes: ILO defines EPZs as industrial zones with special incentives to attract foreign investors, in which imported materials undergo some degree of processing before being re-exported.


**FIGURE 4.7 Women Dominate Employment in Most Export Processing Zones**
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Note: * Data for Haiti is for apparel only.

more formal employment.\textsuperscript{22} Their demands include recognition for home-based workers’ rights, including the right to organise and government-provided social protection, particularly for health, maternity and old age.

UNIFEM supported this effort in 2004-2006 through its extended technical and financial support to HomeNet in South and Southeast Asia under a regional program funded by the Dutch Trade Union, \textit{Federatie Nederlandse Vakbeweging} (FNV).\textsuperscript{23} The programme offers education and training, access to resources such as credit, skills training, and access to technology and markets.

\textbf{International human rights law and trade agreements}

A key path for women to realise their rights in employment is to ensure that companies adhere to national labour legislation and international agreements. These include the International Labour Organisation’s (ILO) Declaration on Fundamental Principles and Rights at Work, the Universal Declaration of Human Rights, the Convention on the Elimination of all forms of Discrimination against Women (CEDAW), the Covenant on Economic, Social and Cultural Rights (ICESCR) and the Declaration on the Right to Development (DRtD). However, in parallel with international human rights law, there is a body of trade law under the auspices of the World Trade Organisation (WTO), as well as in regional trade agreements and economic partnership agreements. While gender equality is well defined in human rights law, it is on an uncertain footing in trade laws. This leaves women with a major accountability challenge.

International and regional trade regimes often have strong accountability mechanisms, such as the WTO’s Dispute Settlement Body (DSB) and the World Bank’s International Centre for the Settlement of Investment Disputes (ICSID). Both can punish those in violation of agreed trade rules, but they rarely give adequate emphasis to gender equality.\textsuperscript{24} The WTO’s Trade Policy Review Mechanism, where member states review each other’s
trade policy, is also not concerned with the social impacts of trade. Some effort has gone into devising ways of bringing gender equality concerns to both the DSB and the Review Mechanism, for instance by justifying protective measures to encourage women’s businesses on the basis of CEDAW. However, the significant technical and financial costs of legal processes within trade treaty bodies have been major constraints in fully implementing this initiative.

Instead, women’s groups have focused on amassing data to assess the effects of trade on women. In Jamaica, UNIFEM supported a study in 2004 by Women’s Edge Coalition on the impact of free trade agreements. It found job losses outweighing job gains for women in agriculture, food processing, garments and services. In Central America, UNIFEM’s program ‘Women’s Economic Agenda’ focuses on gender analysis of the impact of trade agreements on women’s economic opportunities. It also develops women’s economic planning leadership and influence in new trade negotiations.

The cases of Ilona and Krisztina illustrate the fact that ETA’s impact is necessarily limited. Even when a favourable ruling is passed, the women who brought the complaint are not personally eligible for compensation. ETA can mediate between the victim and the company, fine the company and issue a statement reprimanding them and prohibiting further discrimination. It can also prevent the company from receiving state subsidies or funding from European Union sources. But ETA has no resources to monitor whether or not the company stops discriminatory behaviour when asked to do so. While ETA may encourage victims seek compensation or restitution through the local courts, it cannot provide concrete financial or legal assistance.
To overcome resource constraints, women are building alliances with other social justice groups. The Tanzanian Gender Networking Program (TGNP), for example, submitted a brief to the International Centre for the Settlement of Investment Disputes (ICSID) in a case brought by Biwater, a United Kingdom-based water company, against the Government of Tanzania. These efforts have yet to yield a major success in changing trade practices and policy in ways that support women’s rights; however, women’s activism in parallel or shadow trade summits, as well as street protests, has resulted in a greater recognition of gender issues in civil society consultations on trade policy.

Another avenue for promoting accountability to workers, including women, is to demand that labour standards are included in bilateral or regional trade agreements, together with provisions to enforce them. Known as social clauses, these can impose punitive trade sanctions through higher tariffs or outright bans on exporters with unacceptable labour standards. There is some evidence that these can make a difference if there are positive incentives for compliance. For example, the ‘Better Factories Cambodia’ program, developed under the bilateral trade agreement between the United States and Cambodia in 1999, linked market access to improvements in labour standards, and has been supported by several large multinational corporations. Two recent International Labour Organisation factory inspection reports indicated that the program has led to better compliance with the minimum wage, ‘no forced labour’ and overtime provisions, as well as improvements in employers’ efforts to raise awareness of labour laws and workplace entitlements.

**National and regional labour standards and laws**

National, and sometimes regional or local, courts can provide a forum for prosecuting claims against corporations for denying labour rights to women, provided that these rights are embedded in national or local legislation. But national courts may find they have no jurisdiction to address labour abuses by multinational corporations because of the legal separation between the parent company and its subsidiaries.

---

**BOX 4C | Quotas for Women on Corporate Boards**

On 1 January 2008, it became compulsory for Norwegian companies to have at least 40 per cent female membership on their management boards. Publicly listed firms that failed to comply could be closed down. The measure affects 487 public companies, ranging from StatoilHydro ASA, Norway’s largest company by stock market value at $99 billion, to Exense ASA, an Internet consulting firm valued at $9.5 million.

Today, women fill almost 38 per cent of the 1,117 board seats at companies listed on the Oslo stock exchange. This is up from under seven per cent in 2002. It is twice as many as in Sweden, four times as many as in Denmark and nearly seven times the number in Iceland. It is also well above the average of nine per cent for big companies across Europe, 11 per cent for companies listed among Britain’s FTSE 100, or 15 per cent for United States companies listed among the Fortune 500. The Government of Norway can proclaim this policy a success, and it has provoked a vital debate about women and work.

However, while supporters believe tough government-enforced measures work better than initiatives from within companies, critics argue that ‘playing the numbers game’ with gender in business will not succeed in altering entrenched corporate culture. The rule, they argue, risks sacrificing qualifications for quotas. The Confederation of Norwegian Enterprise, for example, has declared shareholders should pick board members, and measures should be voluntary. Supporters of quotas counter that the law on gender equality in business was put into effect because voluntary measures to increase the representation of women in business failed, and decisive legislative intervention was necessary.
in a different country, thereby limiting parent company liability. An emerging ‘foreign direct liability’ legal instrument has been used to hold parent companies to account in their home countries in high-profile cases involving dereliction of duty to protect the environment or workers’ health. So far, however, foreign direct liability instruments have not been used to prosecute corporate abuses of women’s rights.

**Regional oversight bodies**

In some instances, governments have been required to honour their commitments to labour and human rights standards through national or regional oversight bodies. In the European Union, for example, member states must adopt legislation that prohibits discrimination and establish agencies to monitor compliance, such as Equal Opportunities Commissions and Equal Treatment Authorities, to review cases of discrimination, as Box 4B illustrates in the case of Hungary (see page 63).

**National legislation**

Where efforts to bring gender balance into corporate governance have been endorsed by national governments – most strikingly in the case of Norwegian national legislation obliging companies to bring women onto company boards (see Box 4C) – they have been successful. Data on numbers of women in executive posts around the world shows that positive action such as this is essential to breaking the ‘glass ceiling’ keeping women from senior enterprise management. Figure 4.10 shows that the share of women in senior positions around the world remains low and is not correlated with numbers of women in full time jobs. There is, however, an evident relationship

---

**FIGURE 4.10 | There is 1 Woman for Every 9 Men in Senior Management Positions in Firms**

While the number of men in senior management positions per total share of full time employment is relatively stable (between 1 in 6 to 1 in 9), the number of women is dramatically lower, ranging from 1 in 26 women in sub-Saharan Africa and in Latin America and Caribbean, to 1 in 62 women in East Asia and Pacific. The percentage of women in senior positions ranges from 3 to 12%, despite the fact that the share of full time female employment ranges from 17% to 49%.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Region</th>
<th>Share of women (%)</th>
<th>Share of full time female workers (%)</th>
<th>Share of women in senior positions (%)</th>
<th>Firms with female participation in ownership (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>South Asia</td>
<td>18.8</td>
<td>12.6</td>
<td>1 in 9</td>
<td>1 in 8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Middle East &amp; North Africa</td>
<td>17.8</td>
<td>17.4</td>
<td>1 in 9</td>
<td>1 in 8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>East Asia &amp; Pacific</td>
<td>39.7</td>
<td>23.3</td>
<td>1 in 6</td>
<td>1 in 9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sub-Saharan Africa</td>
<td>49.3</td>
<td>28.6</td>
<td>1 in 6</td>
<td>1 in 9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CEE/CIS</td>
<td>11.6</td>
<td>26.5</td>
<td>1 in 26</td>
<td>1 in 9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Latin America &amp; Caribbean</td>
<td>35.8</td>
<td>32.2</td>
<td>1 in 8</td>
<td>1 in 9</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

1 in every... full time workers belongs to senior management

- Senior manager
- Male full time workers
- Female full time workers

Notes: Unweighted regional averages were estimated. Complete information for developed regions was unavailable. Estimates on the number of persons in senior positions per full time workers are UNIFEM calculations. These estimates were produced using the percentages from the left side graph, and assuming that 10% of the full time employees are in senior positions. If the actual percentage is different from the assumed 10%, the actual number of persons estimated would also change, but the female to male ratio would remain the same.

Commission resolved nearly 22,000 claims of gender-based discrimination, but only 22 per cent resulted in outcomes favourable to those bringing charges.34

Corporate social responsibility and voluntary labour codes
Given the limited capacities of states to regulate industry, given consumer complaints about the abusive and environmentally damaging conditions under which some services and goods are produced, and given pressure from workers themselves, corporations are offering voluntary self-regulation as a means of addressing a range of concerns about environmental impact, natural resource depletion, and a

Class action suits
Women employees in some countries have taken collective legal action against corporations through ‘class action’ suits. A class action suit is a legal procedure applied in cases that involve large numbers of people whose complaints have enough in common for them to be treated as a group (see Box 4D). However, class-action certification of discrimination cases is rare, because it requires plaintiffs to demonstrate common and systematic patterns of civil rights violations. In the United States in 2007, the Equal Employment Opportunity Commission resolved nearly 22,000 claims of gender-based discrimination, but only 22 per cent resulted in outcomes favourable to those bringing charges.34

Women are demanding accountability from the world’s largest corporation in the biggest civil rights class action lawsuit ever filed in the United States. The case, Dukes v. Wal-Mart Stores, was filed by six current and former female Wal-Mart employees in 2001, and certified as a class action suit in June 2004.35 It now represents an estimated 1.5 million female workers employed by Wal-Mart or its affiliates since December 1998. Wal-Mart is the world’s largest public corporation, with $350 billion in revenues in 2006 and 1.2 million employees in 3,500 stores across the United States.36

The plaintiffs in the Wal-Mart case are asking for punitive damages (no amount has yet been set), recovery of lost wages and benefits, and an order to reform Wal-Mart’s employment practices. They claim gender-based discrimination in decisions affecting promotions, job assignments, pay and training. For example, one employee testified that when she asked her manager why men in her position earned more than women, he told her, “Men are here to make a career and women aren’t. Retail is for housewives who just need to earn extra money.”37

Statistical analysis of Wal-Mart’s personnel database conducted by expert witnesses for the case found that from 1996 to 2002 women represented 65 per cent of hourly employees, but only 33 per cent of management positions. In addition, women earned less than men in the same positions: female hourly workers earned about $1,100 less annually than men in hourly positions, and female managers earned $14,500 less than male managers, for an average of $5,200 less overall in 2001.38

Since the lawsuit, Wal-Mart has voluntarily established a $25 million private equity fund to support women and minority-owned small businesses, begun implementation of diversity goals tied to incentive bonuses for managerial positions, and established an Employment Practices Advisory Panel composed of experts to advise senior management on developing and meeting diversity and equal employment opportunity initiatives.39

In 2005, Wal-Mart appealed the class action decision to a Circuit Court, which upheld the class-action certification. Wal-Mart has since asked a larger panel of judges on the Court of Appeals to rehear the case and issue a new decision on the class certification. For this to happen, all 27 judges must vote for a rehearing. If the court denies the rehearing, or grants it and the rehearing upholds the class-action certification, Wal-Mart is expected to appeal to the U.S. Supreme Court.

Wal-Mart has disputed the certification of the case as a class-action suit on the grounds that it does not meet the requirement of exhibiting common issues and practices, and that the certification hinders the company’s ability to respond to defendants’ claims individually.39

between female enterprise ownership and women in senior management.

Seeking to Hold Wal-Mart Accountable for Gender Discrimination

In 2001, the Equal Employment Opportunity Commission resolved nearly 22,000 claims of gender-based discrimination, but only 22 per cent resulted in outcomes favourable to those bringing charges.34
range of equity concerns including those linked to gender equality.

What are known as ‘corporate social responsibility’ (CSR) arrangements are varieties of self-regulation by companies, with compliance regimes that vary from fairly lax self-assessment and reporting, to oversight by independent external agencies. Corporate social responsibility regimes have now been adopted by an estimated 10,000 companies. Proponents see CSR as a way of supplementing public regulation and fostering a culture of corporate accountability – in effect, a marketised version of accountability.

The test of the value of CSR protecting women’s employment rights, however, is whether it genuinely changes conditions for working women. Evidence on this is mixed. In the United Kingdom, the Ethical Trading Initiative (ETI) – which is managed by companies, NGOs and trade unions – has developed a Base Code grounded in ILO conventions. This allows organisations such as the Kenya Women Workers Organisation (KEWWO) to pressure companies to provide women with more stable and fairly remunerated work in the Kenyan flower industry. In collaboration with other workers’ rights organisations, the British-based NGO Women Working Worldwide established clear supply chain links between farms in Kenya and supermarkets in the United Kingdom, and was then able to use ETI grievance procedures to take reports of workers’ grievances directly to British buyers.

By contrast, interviews with maquiladoras in Central America found little evidence that voluntary labour codes made a difference. Women workers felt the codes did not deliver what they needed: higher wages, child care and physical safety for the late night commute home from the factory. They did acknowledge some changes, notably to the working environment, with more fresh air, light, more attention to cleanliness and emergency exits. But inspectors did not talk to women workers or did so only in the presence of employers, and there was no complaint mechanism enabling women to raise concerns between inspections. In Nicaragua, where women have been instrumental in the adoption of a code known as “Employment Yes, But with Dignity,” Sandra Ramos and Marie Elena Cuadra of the Nicaraguan Women’s Movement, said: “Of course we know that the code will not solve our problems. It is just a mechanism to help us. The underlying problems of poverty and unemployment are what make workers accept poor conditions and bad wages.”

In 2007, in response to a request by the Government of Egypt, UNIFEM, in partnership with the World Bank and the International Center for Research on Women (ICRW), launched an enterprise certification program that promotes women’s access to employment, training and career advancement in private firms. The Gender Equity Model Egypt (GEME) project draws on the World Bank-financed Mexico Gender Equity Project (Generosidad – Generosity), and addresses gender barriers in the business environment in order to improve the overall management of human resources in private firms. The model enables firms to document inequalities between men and women in the workplace; make efforts to correct gender bias; and promote a better work environment. GEME offers a certification scheme for firms that are in compliance with the GEME system. This enables firms to brand themselves as advocates of women’s rights.

Consumer awareness and ethical trade

At the retail end, companies often respond to consumers, many of whom are socially aware women, who are concerned about the conditions under which the products they buy are produced. Corporations know that promoting an ethical image is good for business. As Dan Henkle, Senior Vice President for Social Responsibility for the clothing company The Gap, Inc., put it: “Acting in an ethical way is not only the right thing to do — it also unlocks new ways for us to do business better.” Women have also deployed their consumer power to...
demand respectful treatment by companies of female consumers themselves. A recent case in Guatemala, described in Box 4E, featured women’s protests over inappropriate product advertisements.

The growth of ethical consumption, coupled with the campaigns of trade unions and NGOs for workers’ rights, is obliging more companies to take account of the labour conditions throughout their supply chains, and indeed in their own internal structures, including in the composition of corporate governing bodies. For example, the Global Reporting Initiative (GRI), which has a network involving 30,000 business, civil society, labour and professional organisations in dozens of countries, has developed what is seen as the global ‘industry standard’ for corporate self-reporting on social, economic and environmental issues. However, it suggests only a limited range of gender equality indicators against which corporations should report. These include the gender composition of the labour force, the ratio of men to women on governing bodies and the gender wage gaps between women and men by employment category. None of these indicators assess a company’s impacts on women’s rights.

Conclusion

An increasing amount of evidence suggests that poor women on the margins of formal economies are falling into an accountability gap between governments and private national and transnational economic actors. One potential solution is to enhance accountability mechanisms at the international level, but international trade institutions have rarely acknowledged obligations to defend women’s rights. As Chapter 6 shows, international financial, development and security institutions are often only as accountable to women as are the member states that make up their governing structures. Accountability to women in the market therefore demands that economic and trade policymakers consult civil society and open up channels for women’s participation.
Governments are responsible for building the market in the interest of social well-being and gender equality and must be held to account for meeting domestic and international labour and other market standards. Neither women’s activism on its own, nor corporate self-regulation, will achieve this end. In order to protect their human rights commitments to women, governments must apply standards of accountability on market institutions.

Those responsible for making policy on trade must reform the mandate of institutional actors explicitly to incorporate gender equality. Anti-discrimination measures and agreements in human rights treaties must be applied consistently across national and regional institutions responsible for trade policy. Collecting gender-disaggregated data on the gender impacts of trade should become an inherent part of trade policy design.

Women’s participation in the negotiation of trade agreements and domestic economic development planning is essential to enable women to assess the value and impacts of these agreements and processes. The extent to which governments drive a hard bargain in the public interest at the time deals are being struck with multinational firms, or with their trading partners in the World Trade Organisation or other bodies, must be subjected to close public scrutiny by women’s rights groups and civil society.

Temporary special measures are needed to build the numbers and influence of women in executive positions in the private sector. This is crucial in order to build a corps of women in economic leadership positions. Since the proportion of women in senior management does not appear to increase in step with the proportion of women in employment, quotas or other enforceable measures may be necessary. Women’s capacities to take economic leadership roles may be under threat in some countries where the ‘brain drain’ of professionally qualified women is particularly high.

In order to tackle inequality in all its dimensions, women must be heard. Hence, under my Government, two National Conferences involving more than 300,000 women in all Brazil were held in 2004 and 2007 to formulate the guidelines of the National Plan of Policies for Women and evaluate its implementation. With participation and commitment we are advancing towards women’s increased economic autonomy, the implementation of their rights and, the fight against gender-based violence.

Luiz Inácio Lula da Silva
President of Brazil
South Africa, 1986: Women Making Links Against Apartheid
In courtrooms around the world, women have challenged and overcome gender-based injustices. The 1991 Unity Dow case, in which the Botswana Citizenship Act was found to discriminate against women, or the case of Amina Lawal in Nigeria, whose sentence to death by stoning for alleged adultery was overturned by the Sharia Court of Appeal in 2003, are examples of cases that make the news and change legal history. Women’s groups around the world have made domestic violence, lack of inheritance rights, marital rape and sexual harassment public issues, not matters to be resolved in private. As human rights activist Eleanor Roosevelt, wrote:

“Where, after all, do universal human rights begin? In small places, close to home – so small that they cannot be seen on any maps of the world... Unless these rights have meaning there, they have little meaning anywhere. Without concerted citizen action to uphold them close to home, we shall look in vain for progress in the larger world.”

Women’s contribution to building the accountability of the judicial system to all citizens has come in large part from the insistence that justice starts at home, and that courts and the judiciary have a critical role to play in ensuring that the legal framework is applied fully, justly and evenly to benefit all individuals: rich and poor, young and old, women and men.

The justice system — which encompasses the legal framework, judiciary, ministry of justice, prosecution and investigative authorities, lawyers’ associations, traditional systems and customary practices — is of particular importance for accountability to women, for two main reasons. First, the judiciary’s essential role as the final arbiter of complaints against other accountability systems (electoral systems, legislatures, public administration) has made it a critical...
arena in which abuses against women in the public sphere can be addressed—such as sexual harassment by public officials, a gender-biased distribution of public goods, or flawed electoral processes. Second, because women are more susceptible than men to the arbitrary exercise of power in the family and community, the law and judicial process have proven critical to demonstrating that relationships between women and men are not beyond the reach of justice. The justice system therefore upholds the rule of law as the basis for accountability in the exercise of public authority as well as private power.

This is the ideal. The reality experienced by women—particularly those who are poor—is often very different. (see Panel: Discrimination against Women). This chapter examines how women have used the justice system, both nationally and internationally, formally and informally, to claim their rights. It shows how both formal and informal justice systems often fail to take gender into account, and how this affects women both in the home and in the public arena. It reviews the three broad directions that have been taken by women to eliminate gender bias and achieve accountability:

- the normative—seeking changes in the remit or mandate of the judicial system in terms of the constitution and legal framework;
- the procedural—ensuring the imple-

Data shows that discriminatory practices prevail in almost all parts of the world. The 2004 Cingranelli-Richards Human Rights Dataset assesses women’s social and economic rights, as legally assured and practically enforced. Figure A shows regional disparities in women’s social rights, which include rights to: equal inheritance; marriage on a basis of equality with men; travel abroad; obtain a passport; confer citizenship to children or a husband; initiate a divorce; own, acquire, manage, and retain property brought into marriage; participate in social, cultural, and community activities; and finally, the right to education.

Figure B assesses discrimination in accessing economic rights, which include: equal pay for equal work; free choice of profession or employment and the right to gainful employment without the need to obtain a husband or male relative’s consent; equality in hiring and promotion practices; job security (maternity leave, unemployment benefits, etc.); and non-discrimination by employers. Also included are rights to be free from sexual harassment in the workplace; work at night; work in occupations classified as dangerous; and work in the military and the police force. The graph shows that women’s economic rights tend to have a firmer footing in the law than social rights.

An enabling legal context is needed for women’s empowerment. Cueva’s 2006 index of the enabling legal environment for women’s empowerment is based on the Cingranelli-Richards scores on government commitment and capacity to enforce women’s social, economic and cultural rights with the addition of variables on international rights instruments. Assessed against the Gender Empowerment Measure (GEM), which measures women’s economic decision-making, political representation, and female share of income, a significant correlation emerges (Figure C). It shows that the existence of an enabling legal context is a necessary, although not sufficient, condition for improvements in women’s economic and political position and empowerment.
mentation of legal changes through institutions such as the judiciary and the police that enforce those laws, and in their operating procedures, including rules of procedural fairness, evidence and admissibility;

- the cultural — changes in the attitudes and practices of those responsible for protecting women from the arbitrary exercise of power.

Finally, the chapter raises the question of accountability to women in the context of informal justice systems, which represent most women’s experience of justice but where national and international human rights standards may carry little authority.

The chapter concludes with a brief overview of some of the strategies women have used to leverage international human rights norms in order to achieve greater accountability at the national level.

The normative level: Gender equality in the law

The past few decades have seen remarkable progress in the number and scope of laws aimed at furthering women’s rights within formal justice systems. A major achievement has been to challenge the barrier between public and private rights, insisting, for example, that the state’s duty to protect extends to protection from...
violence in the home and equal rights in marriage (see Box 5A: The Family Code in Morocco).

Implementing international standards and obligations

A universal standard for gender equality has been in existence since 1945, when the United Nations Charter declared the effort to achieve “respect for human rights and for fundamental freedoms for all without distinction as to... sex” as a purpose of the United Nations. The 1948 Universal Declaration of Human Rights and subsequent human rights treaties are also unequivocal about women’s equality with men. The Convention on the Elimination of All Forms of Discrimination Against Women (CEDAW), adopted 1979, provides the definition of sex-based discrimination and sets out the measures required for its elimination and the achievement of gender equality. CEDAW is a binding source of international law for those states that have become parties. Other important new regional human rights standards, such as the African Charter’s additional protocol on women’s human rights, strengthen the legal framework of women’s human rights entitlements.

The CEDAW Committee, which consists of 23 independent experts assigned to monitor performance in aligning national laws and practices with CEDAW provisions, is empowered to conduct enquiries when there is evidence to suggest a pattern of consistent and gross violations of women’s rights. Countries are required to report to the Committee on their progress every four years and to act on the recommendation they receive from the Committee, including by aligning national legislation and policies with CEDAW (see Panel: Bringing Women’s Human Rights Law Home). The CEDAW Committee can also receive complaints from individuals and groups. Since a complaints procedure was set up under the new Optional Protocol in 1999, the Committee has handed down decisions in five cases.4

Figure 5.1 summarises regional patterns in the ratification of CEDAW and the Optional Protocol, and indicates regional patterns in reservations. Figure 5.2 breaks down the reservations to CEDAW by category. In the Middle East and North Africa, UNIFEM has supported an ‘Equality without Reservation’ campaign to help states harmonise national legislation with the Convention through the removal of reservations and to encourage ratification of the Optional Protocol.

Constitutions

A national constitution is a country’s legal ‘birth certificate.’ When the process of adopting a constitution emphasizes the democratic participation of all political and civil society stakeholders, it can yield important achievements for women’s rights.5 The 1996 South African Constitution, for example, is widely considered a model of a constitution adopted on the basis of a participatory process.6 This resulted in the
inclusion of important provisions on gender equality, including the prohibition of discrimination on the grounds of gender, sex, pregnancy, marital status and sexual orientation. Similarly, in Rwanda, the Preamble to the 2003 Constitution goes beyond stating the need to ensure respect for equality, human rights and fundamental freedoms to specifically mention equality between men and women, and introduces concrete thresholds for women’s political representation. However, there are still countries across all geographical regions where gender equality is not specified in the constitution, where there are exceptions to the prohibition of sex discrimination, or where it has only recently been included.

The constitution can provide courts with a useful tool for the proactive elaboration of definitions and standards regarding gender equality. For example, in India, the Supreme Court took the groundbreaking step in 1997 of implementing the Constitution in the absence of legislation on sexual harassment in the workplace. Drawing on the Constitution’s guarantee of gender equality, and in recognition of the binding nature of CEDAW,

---

**FIGURE 5.2** Reservations to CEDAW

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Region</th>
<th>Number of countries with reservations, 2008</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Sub-Saharan Africa</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>South Asia</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Middle East &amp; North Africa</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>East Asia &amp; Pacific</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Latin America Caribbean</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CEE/CIS</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Developed Regions</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Notes: “International Arbitration” refers to reservations made against the requirement to submit intrastate disputes on the interpretation and execution of CEDAW to arbitration (Article 29 (1)). A state is only classified in this category if this is the only reservation it makes. “Rights in Marriage” encapsulates reservations to CEDAW’s provisions of rights in marriage and guardianship of children, including the transmission of citizenship from mother to child. “Compatibility with Traditional Codes” indicates that a state finds some provisions of CEDAW incompatible with traditional codes; States that protect minority traditions over their own national laws fall into this category, as well. “Equality in Employment” indicates reservations to provisions on equality in employment. “Other Concerns” encompasses states that either make multiple types of reservations to CEDAW, or that register a general reservation regarding the whole treaty.

Source: UNIFEM systematisation based on UNDAW website.
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**FIGURE 5.1** Strong Endorsements but Multiple Reservations

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Region</th>
<th>Number of countries, 2008</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Signatories of CEDAW (considering all UN Member States)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Developed Regions</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CEE/CIS</td>
<td>30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Latin America Caribbean</td>
<td>24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>East Asia &amp; Pacific</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Middle East &amp; North Africa</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>South Asia</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sub-Saharan Africa</td>
<td>46</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Signatories of Optional Protocol (considering all CEDAW signatories)</th>
<th>Number of countries, 2008</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Not signed</td>
<td>14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Signed but not ratified</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ratified</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Countries with Reservations (considering all CEDAW signatories)</th>
<th>Number of countries, 2008</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Not signed</td>
<td>22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Signed but not ratified</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ratified</td>
<td>14</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Notes: “Not signed” refers to states that have not signed, ratified, acceded, or succeeded to CEDAW. “Signature not ratified” refers to states that have signed the treaty but not ratified or acceded to it. “Ratified” here refers to Accession, Ratification, or Succession to CEDAW, all of which legally bind countries to implement the provisions of the treaty. The same classification of signatures and ratifications applies to the Optional Protocol. CEDAW permits ratification subject to reservations. “No reservations” refers to states that have not presented reservations to CEDAW to the Committee on CEDAW at any time. “Active Reservations” refers to the number of countries that still have any reservations to CEDAW on record. “Reservations withdrawn” refers to states that presented reservations to the convention at the time of ratification, but have subsequently withdrawn all their reservations.

Source: UNIFEM systematisation based on UNDAW website.
the Court outlined definitions and standards for monitoring and sanctioning harassment in the workplace.9

Legislation

A substantive approach to gender equality requires legislative frameworks to be restructured in order to ensure that constitutional commitments are reflected in national legislation. For example, in criminal law, provisions that allow for the impunity of perpetrators of rape within marriage must be repealed,10 while new laws that criminalise rape within marriage must be passed, as some countries have done. As Figure 5.3 shows, laws on sexual assault and marital rape, as well as laws on sexual and domestic violence, are greatly in need of development across all geographic regions.

Women’s groups across the world have played an important role in lobbying for reform of the legal system. In Turkey, women’s groups lobbied for a new Penal Code, passed by the Turkish Parliament in 2004, which introduced higher sentences for sexual crimes, criminalised marital rape, addressed ‘honour killings,’ and criminalised sexual harassment in the workplace. Women’s groups also played an important role in shaping the Domestic Violence Law in Mongolia (2004),11 the Protection from Violence Act in Spain (2004)12 and the Maria da Penha Law (2006) in Brazil, which represents the culmination of a prolonged campaign by women’s organisations involving domestic, regional and international bodies, such as the Inter-American Commission on Human Rights.

The procedural level: Implementation and enforcement

Changing laws is not enough to bring justice for women. De facto impunity for abuses of women’s rights is often set within a context of a failure of accountability in public institutions across the board. For women in many parts of the world, the experience of the justice system is therefore likely to suffer from all the problems associated with poor service delivery, including corruption and lack of access, which can

---

**FIGURE 5.3** Few Countries have Specific Legislation on Sexual Harassment and Marital Rape

A 2003 UNIFEM study shows that rape and sexual assault are widely recognised as crimes, although in 44 countries legislation is yet to be developed or data is missing. The legal situation for domestic violence is similar, but more laws are needed in Sub-Saharan Africa and the Middle East. Sexual harassment and marital rape show a very different scenario, with a high proportion of countries with no provisions (or where data is missing).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Region</th>
<th>Rape / Sexual Assault</th>
<th>Domestic Violence</th>
<th>Sexual Harassment</th>
<th>Marital Rape</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>World</td>
<td>25% 44%</td>
<td>31% 79%</td>
<td>20% 59%</td>
<td>27% 73%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Developed Regions</td>
<td>12% 43%</td>
<td>15% 46%</td>
<td>8% 45%</td>
<td>11% 43%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CEE/CIS</td>
<td>3% 22%</td>
<td>10% 28%</td>
<td>6% 11%</td>
<td>4% 11%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Latin America &amp; Caribbean</td>
<td>7% 15%</td>
<td>12% 28%</td>
<td>4% 12%</td>
<td>2% 12%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>East Asia &amp; Pacific</td>
<td>13% 13%</td>
<td>6% 12%</td>
<td>4% 12%</td>
<td>6% 10%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Middle East &amp; North Africa</td>
<td>12% 12%</td>
<td>5% 11%</td>
<td>4% 11%</td>
<td>3% 8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>South Asia</td>
<td>2% 4%</td>
<td>3% 11%</td>
<td>2% 4%</td>
<td>1% 4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sub-Saharan Africa</td>
<td>34% 11%</td>
<td>79% 3%</td>
<td>3% 11%</td>
<td>2% 3%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

0% 25% 50% 75% 100% 25% 50% 75% 100% 25% 50% 75% 100% 25% 50% 75% 100%

Specific legislation in place Non-specific legislation in place Specific legislation planned Non-specific legislation planned No provisions/Unknown

Notes: Legislation information at a country level was taken from appendix 1 of UNIFEM (2003); this information was organised according to the regional groupings used in this report.

One of the first obligations states parties to CEDAW undertake – as required under Article 2a of the Convention – is to reform their constitutional and legal systems to entrench women’s human rights. Some of the key steps that the CEDAW Committee has recommended include:

1. **Enshrining specific gender equality guarantees in the national constitution and translating constitutional provisions into new legislation:** Many constitutions around the world provide general rights to ‘equality,’ and general prohibitions against ‘discrimination.’ However, against a backdrop of widespread gender-based discrimination, general guarantees often fail women. A ‘gold standard’ for constitutional reform therefore requires that women’s rights be entrenched directly into national systems by including explicit gender equality guarantees in the nation’s constitution. This is the practice that in 2007-8 the Committee commended Luxembourg, Belize, Brazil, Mozambique and Serbia for adopting.¹

In light of this standard, the CEDAW Committee has recently advised several countries to review their constitutions in order to explicitly include a guarantee of equality between men and women, as well as a definition of discrimination against women that is aligned with Article 1 of the Convention. According to Article 1, “‘Discrimination against women’ shall mean any distinction, exclusion or restriction made on the basis of sex which has the effect or purpose of impairing or nullifying the recognition, enjoyment or exercise by women, irrespective of their marital status, on a basis of equality of men and women, of human rights and fundamental freedoms in the political, economic, social, cultural, civil or any other field.”²

2. **Moving from ‘formal’ to ‘substantive’ equality:** Many legal systems around the world still operate with an older definition of discrimination, based on what is known as ‘formal’ equality. This means that discrimination is only said to occur where the law singles out a particular group for inferior treatment. Accordingly, where the same laws are being applied to all groups, equality is said to be achieved. By contrast, ‘substantive’ equality, as defined by CEDAW, requires an approach focused on outcomes, not merely equal processes.

For instance, according to a purely formal understanding of ending discrimination, a government would have successfully eliminated discrimination against women in political participation once it has repealed laws prohibiting women from voting or running for office. However, under CEDAW, the government would not have fulfilled its obligations until comparable numbers of women and men are actually voting and being elected.³ The constitutions of South Africa, Rwanda and Canada operate on the basis of substantive equality.⁴ UNIFEM is currently supporting the integration of CEDAW provisions to new or reformed constitutions in Serbia, Kosovo, Bosnia-Herzegovina and Montenegro.⁵

3. **Building understanding about international and national laws on gender equality:** The CEDAW Committee has underlined that local officials, particularly in rural areas, should be included in outreach programmes, and that special efforts be made to reach the most disadvantaged groups of women, including members of racial minorities and indigenous populations. UNIFEM’s work in seven countries of Southeast Asia is an example of advocacy to build both the capacities of governments to implement CEDAW and the capacities of civil society organisations (CSOs) to use CEDAW in order to achieve better accountability for women. For example, in Viet Nam, UNIFEM organized a training in 2006 for a network of 20 local non-governmental organisations (NGOs) known as GenComNet. This network subsequently prepared the first-ever shadow report on CEDAW implementation to emerge from Viet Nam.⁶

4. **Providing the necessary financial and human resources:** While CEDAW requires that constitutions and laws be aligned with the Convention, this does not complete the state’s obligation. CEDAW requires their effective implementation. The implementation status of new laws and policies is therefore a major focus for discussion in the CEDAW Committee’s dialogue with states parties.

A major constraint is often a state’s failure to provide the necessary financial and human resources for implementation. To address this challenge in Cambodia, UNIFEM advocacy related to CEDAW in 2006 contributed to the Prime Minister issuing a directive for all line ministries to implement the Convention’s Concluding Observations. The directive assigned concrete tasks for each ministry and provided budgetary allocations for the dissemination of the CEDAW Committee’s Concluding Observations to all provincial governments.⁷ In Nigeria, UNIFEM supported a study reviewing CEDAW’s impact on the national legal system in order to identify and overcome challenges related to providing the appropriate legal and policy frameworks for full implementation and application of the provisions to protect and promote women’s rights.⁸
make a mockery of de jure guarantees of equal rights.

Women seeking justice

For women, several factors compound the barriers in seeking justice commonly encountered by the poor and by disadvantaged groups. A woman may have difficulty using the courts because her evidence does not have the same weight as a man’s; she may face time limits for bringing a case forward; she may fear retribution for standing up against perceived male prerogatives; or she may not be able to reach the courts because of the distances involved or because the costs may be prohibitive. As a result of these access barriers, it is difficult for women to bring cases to formal courts.

Legal standing: Courts are one of the most important spaces in which to evaluate the accountability of the justice system to women. But they can also be the place where enforcement stops, or the reason that it never starts, because women may lack the same legal rights as men. Rules of legal standing, for instance, can prevent women from litigating against their spouses in cases of domestic abuse. An important aspect of legal reform for women has been recognition of their locus standi – their right to take a case to court – a reflection of their legal personhood under the law. In Bolivia, for example, it was not until 1995 that wives could press charges against husbands for domestic violence, because Section 276 of its Criminal Procedure Code provided that “no penalty will be applied when injuries… were inflicted by the husband or wife.” In terms of rules of procedure and evidence, it is still the case that, in some countries, courts weigh a woman’s testimony as equivalent to one half of a man’s.

Time limits: Another barrier embedded in many legal systems is the imposition of time limits for bringing a case to court. This can discriminate against women who seek to prosecute cases of sexual abuse that may have happened long ago, but where it has taken the survivor time to overcome the psychological, social or financial costs involved.
in naming perpetrators and coming to court. Research suggests, for example, that rape survivors often do not seek justice until years after the assault, when evidence is more difficult to obtain and courts may be barred from hearing the case. Women’s rights activists have therefore argued that justice systems should recognise rape as a crime for which there is no time limit, similar to murder and kidnapping cases.\textsuperscript{15}

**Risk of suffering further violence:** The specific constraints that women face when reporting and then seeking prosecution for crimes of sexual violence have attracted protective measures. The International Criminal Tribunals for Rwanda (ICTR) and the former Yugoslavia (ICTY), for instance, have witness protection measures for survivors of sexual attack that include the use of pseudonyms, private hearings, scrambling victims’ voices and withholding their names from alleged attackers. These measures are meant to prevent women from having to choose between justice and personal safety.\textsuperscript{16} Speaking out against perpetrators can nevertheless be difficult. Women rights’ activists in the Democratic Republic of the Congo, for example, face death threats and intimidation for gathering evidence about sexual violence to relay to international courts and tribunals.\textsuperscript{17}

**Physical access:** Key justice institutions such as courts, registries, police and prosecution services tend to be concentrated in urban areas and are therefore difficult to access. To address the problems of access to justice for women and the poor in South Africa, the Thuthuzela Care Centres – a Xhosa word for ‘comfort’ – provide 24-hour one-step services to survivors that include police, counselling, doctors, court preparation and a prosecutor.\textsuperscript{18} In India at least two states have founded mobile courts – buses complete with computers, records and seating that are stationed in remote towns on a rotating basis.\textsuperscript{19} In Indonesia, mobile courts have also been used in the wake of the 2004 tsunami, which destroyed the
state’s capacity to deal with routine tasks like land ownership claims. It bears repeating that men must be advocates for change and reform with regard to accountability for women. Male dominance of judicial and law enforcement positions can intimidate women. More women in the judiciary will not necessarily solve this problem if they are not gender-sensitive, but evidence suggests that increased female representation tends to make courts more accessible to women. Both men and women judicial personnel require training and skills upgrading in gender equality to implement new women’s rights legislation. UNIFEM has supported the efforts of the International Association of Women Judges (IAWJ) to train 1,400 women and men judges on jurisprudence for gender equality in Southern Africa, and in the process, has sought to encourage more women to enter the legal profession. As Figures 5.4 and 5.5 show, however, justice systems still have much progress to make in recruiting women at all levels.

Gender-responsive law enforcement

For gender-responsive laws to be implemented and enforced, law enforcement institutions may need to be reformed to eliminate gender bias. If the police do not internalise changed perspectives on women’s rights, particularly in relation to domestic and sexual violence, obstacles to effective investigation and prosecution of crimes against women may be reinforced. Such obstacles include under-reporting by victims and witnesses, pressure to treat instances of violence against women as domestic disputes which should be settled outside the criminal justice system, and the tendency to blame, shame or isolate the victim. When it comes to domestic or intimate partner violence, police sometimes fail to respond or are hostile to the women who report such incidents. Worse, the police themselves may perpetrate crimes against women, ranging from sexual harassment on the streets to sexual assault in police cells. These problems have spurred innovations in gender-responsive institutions to reform law enforcement systems (see Panel: Police Reform and Accountability to Women).

Little investment in justice for women

Gender-responsiveness in the implementation and enforcement of the law requires concrete efforts to facilitate women’s access to the courts and to legal advice, sensitivity to the social and physical risks they face, and changes in the ways crimes are prosecuted and laws enforced. Some of these changes imply significant costs, such as providing adequate legal aid, family courts, enabling physical access, setting up family units in police stations, and recruiting and retaining women personnel. Accountability to women in the justice sector should therefore include efforts to provide adequate resources to improve women’s access to justice and gender-responsive police services.

State-funded legal aid, including paid paralegal officers to assist with simple procedures, such as filling out standard forms that do not require a lawyer, can go a long way in supporting women’s efforts to claim legal entitlements, such as child support. In the United States, some cities like New York and Washington, DC provide government funds to support free or subsidized day care services close to court premises to enable mothers to attend court and facilitate women’s access to justice. In Egypt, until 2004 domestic disputes were heard by criminal courts. To create a more family-friendly environment, accessible and non-threatening for women and children, UNIFEM assisted in launching specific Family Courts, whose staff include social workers.

No systematic global analysis of funding to the ‘rule of law’ sector from a gender perspective is available. However, an analysis of World Bank’s rule of law projects may be indicative of funding priorities. Figure 5.6 shows that World Bank lending for ac-
tivities that mention rule of law as a theme comprises a small share of total lending. An analysis of the World Bank lending project database shows that gender is listed as a sub-theme in less than one per cent of the total lending for projects with a rule of law theme.²⁵

Informal justice systems

In some countries, particularly in the developing world, most women will never come into contact with the formal justice system. Their experience of justice will be through traditional or informal mechanisms, which often present women with a difficult dilemma. On the one hand, they tend to be closer, cheaper and often more efficient than formal justice systems, and decisions may also enjoy greater legitimacy among the local community.²⁶ On the other hand, the common perception of informal justice institutions is that they are barely, if at all, answerable to women. Too often, their approach to upholding women’s rights is rooted in traditional views of gender roles that may, in fact, perpetuate discrimination.

Informal justice and gender equality

The term ‘informal or traditional justice institutions’ describes a continuum of customary or religious forums that deal with a wide range of issues, including resolving disputes, recording marriages, and allocating land ownership and land-use rights. At one end of the continuum are community-initiated systems that have little or no visible relationship with formal state structures. Examples include mediation processes within and between families, such as the *shalish* in Bangladesh, which means literally ‘the practice of gathering village elders for resolution of a local dispute,’ where the village elders and the influential members of the community are in charge of delivering a verdict after listening to both sides.²⁷ At the other end of the continuum are ‘quasi-judicial’ forums that are sponsored or created by the state, but empowered to apply rules such as customary or religious law rather than laws enacted by the national parliament. The officials serving on these forums are usually appointed by the state, perhaps in consultation with the community. An
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example of this are land adjudication committees in Kenya that mediate title disputes and are made up of local village elders and a government land adjudication officer.28

How can informal justice institutions be held to account for protecting women’s rights? In the case of state-sponsored or state-created forums, it can be argued that constitutional principles of gender equality and non-discrimination apply to the informal justice institutions as well. In Uganda, for example, Local Council Courts (LCCs) that apply customary law are operated by elected councilors.29 This means that they fall under the jurisdiction of both the ministry responsible for local government and the judiciary. However, the search for a mandate for gender equality gets more complicated the closer we get to the community-based forums with a more tenuous connection to formal state structures.

Accountability to women in informal justice systems

Informal justice systems in some contexts are responsive to changing community circumstances in ways that sometimes enable departure from rigid rules that exclude women. For instance, in the Akamba community in Eastern Kenya, the seemingly entrenched rule that daughters are not entitled to a share of family land has given way (in the face of a new social reality of the fragility of marriages) to a practice of setting aside a portion of the family land for daughters who might return after a marriage breaks up.30 On the other hand, informality also allows greater room for the subjective prejudices of decision-makers, while the exclusion of women challenges the ideal of reaching not merely just decisions but just decisions through a just process. In most countries, traditional justice
mechanisms are made up of male elders, and reflect their interpretation of customary law, which often favours men. Some innovations by women’s rights groups working with informal justice forums have created room for women to engage in the decision-making process and even take up leadership roles. In Eastern Nigeria, for example, the advocacy of women’s groups has ensured the appointment of women as ‘red cap chiefs’ who engage in local dispute adjudication. In Timor-Leste, Centro Feto, a local NGO in the province of Oecusse, works with informal systems on “finding good solutions for women.” It seeks to educate villagers on issues related to gender-based violence, such as rape, domestic violence and forced marriage. The group also lobbies for women rather than their families to be compensated directly when they are victims of domestic violence.

However, because it is so difficult to apply constitutionally recognised human rights standards to informal justice systems, such systems rarely guarantee women’s right to substantive equality. In Zambia, Zimbabwe and elsewhere, the application of customary and religious law in matters of family, whether by formal courts or informal forums, is officially exempt from constitutional scrutiny. Even in countries like Kenya, Tanzania, India or Uganda where customary and religious forums are subject to constitutional principles, in practice it can be difficult for the constitution’s reach to extend to those forums. In recent years, there have been several landmark decisions in these countries that have invoked international and constitutional human rights standards against customary practices to justify rulings in favour of securing women’s property, inheritance and

safer registering their complaints and taking steps to prosecution.

In Rwanda, when a distraught mother discovered that her daughter had been repeatedly raped by her guardian, the Gender-based Violence Desk at Rwandan National Police Headquarters provided the help that was desperately needed. Officers, trained in sensitive handling of sexual violence survivors arranged for the girl’s free medical treatment, in the course of which evidence was preserved. The case was then sent to the Ministry of Justice to initiate proceedings; the accused was arrested and taken into custody. Referrals to two non-governmental organisations secured free legal advice to the victim and her family. Court statistics highlight the UNIFEM and UNDP-supported Gender Desk’s effectiveness: in 2006, Rwandan Police referred 1,777 rape cases to the prosecution, resulting in 803 convictions. In each case, the Gender Desk helped to investigate and ensure that proper evidence was before the court. According to Deputy Commissioner of Police Mary Gaethodire, this technical support “has facilitated quick reporting and response, and increased awareness among the police and community of gender-based violence as a human rights issue.”

In a sample of 13 countries shown in this figure, only 2 have police forces with female participation greater than 25%. The rest have less than 20% participation of women.

Notes: Information corresponds to 2006 except for: Sweden, Jamaica and Czech Republic (2001), Venezuela and Australia (2002), Finland (2004) and Romania (2005). Information for the United States was reported originally as ranging from 12% -14%; an average of 13% was used for this graph.

Source: Denham (2008).
marriage rights, but there are few if any mechanisms for ensuring compliance with these decisions. Appeals to higher courts by women dissatisfied with the decision of informal forums are often the only avenue for inviting constitutional scrutiny.

In some post-conflict states, the urgent need to prosecute the massive number of human rights abuses and the limited capacity of the formal justice system has led many governments to rely on informal or traditional justice systems. Traditional dispute resolution systems like Mato Oput in Uganda, Gacaca in Rwanda, or Bashinga-tahe in Burundi, all of which primarily handle lower level crime, such as property disputes and theft, and were never meant for trying crimes of such severity as manslaughter, torture or sexual violence, nevertheless offer the justice system much needed help in identifying cases for the formal system and in adjudicating less complex cases. They also provide something invaluable: truth-telling and elements of reconciliation which are critical elements of restorative justice. These mechanisms can however have ambiguous outcomes for women. On the one hand, engagement in public truth-telling

---

**BOX 5B Gacaca and Transitional Justice in Rwanda**

Gacaca, Rwanda's traditional, community-based conflict resolution system, was used historically to adjudicate local property crimes and civil disputes. In the aftermath of the 1994 genocide, the Rwandan government revived and revised this indigenous mechanism to assign jurisdiction over some genocide crimes. While controversial because it does not adhere to international legal standards, particularly with regard to the rights of the accused, Gacaca is seen by many as an imperfect but necessary response to the challenges of post-genocide transitional justice. Conducted outdoors in more than 10,000 local jurisdictions that meet weekly for hearings, Gacaca handles thousands of cases that the regular judicial system cannot process. With an emphasis on truth telling, Gacaca is designed to establish individual accountability and promote reconciliation.

As the majority of survivors and witnesses, women's participation has been an important element of the Gacaca system. For example, although in the past women were not permitted to serve as Gacaca judges, the government has required that at least 30 per cent of the judges be female. According to one scholar, "The community basis of Gacaca allows women to participate on various levels, recognizes their role in the reconciliation process, and gives them an identity beyond that of victims." Local and international activists have also been successful in calling attention to the pervasive use of sexual violence as a tool of genocide. While the exact numbers may never be known, it has been estimated that "almost all" girls and women who survived the genocide were either "direct victims of rape or other sexual violence, or were profoundly affected by it." The United Nations Special Rapporteur on Rwanda found that during the genocide, "rape was the rule and its absence the exception." Recognising the brutality, frequency, and genocidal intent of these crimes, the government classified sexual violence as a Category One crime under Gacaca law, along with the other most serious violations, including planning the genocide. Concerns have been raised about whether the elevation of rape and sexual violence to Category One has improved accountability to women. Though initial testimony and evidence is collected in community Gacaca hearings, prosecution of Category One crimes takes place in the formal judicial system. Although these courts deliver official rulings and hand down more severe sentences than Gacaca courts can, they are slower moving and more difficult for victims to access, in terms of both travel time and expense. By elevating Category One crimes to the formal judicial system, their seriousness is recognised, but the local community is cut out of deliberations about sexual violence, the responsibility to protect, and accountability. Survivors' and human rights groups have documented cases of witness intimidation across the country, and there have been reports of reprisal killings of those who testify. Social and cultural norms, as well as fear, continue to prevent women from testifying about rape and therefore from accessing justice. In Rwanda, there have not been – as there were during South Africa's Truth and Reconciliation Commission – special all-women hearings to focus on gender-based violence. Such hearings, if they were conducted before the end of the transitional justice process, could effectively highlight the challenges of ensuring accountability.
can enable women to take on new public roles as well as demand redress for gender-specific war-time atrocities. On the other hand, if special arrangements to protect women survivors (and witnesses) and to include women as judges are not consistently made, women are unlikely to take advantage of this opportunity. For example, the very process and principles of informal justice systems—public confrontation and conciliation between the victim and the offender—inhomently challenge principles that are essential for the safety and dignity of sexual violence survivors. Box 5B details these ambiguities in perhaps the best known of these informal transitional justice mechanisms, the Gacaca courts in Rwanda.

Watching the watchdog: Holding the justice system to account

When domestic justice systems have failed to provide a remedy for their grievances, women have sometimes brought them to the attention of regional or international human rights bodies. The disappearance and murder of more than 300 women in Ciudad Juarez, Mexico, since 1993, for example, came to the world’s attention thanks to the actions of women’s rights NGOs that took up the matter before the regional InterAmerican Commission for Human Rights and the United Nations CEDAW Committee. The CEDAW Committee made recommendations for action to be undertaken by the Mexican Government, giving the government six months to report back on progress. In 2005, the Mexican Government put various accountability mechanisms in place, including the ‘Victims’ Support House,’ a Trust Fund to assist relatives of the victims, and the Special Commission for the Prevention and Eradication of Violence against Women to investigate the murders. In the case of Maria da Penha v. Brazil, decided on April 16, 2001, the Inter-American Commission of Human Rights held that the Government of Brazil was account-
situation by the entire system only serves to perpetuate the psychological, social, and historical roots and factors that sustain and encourage violence against women.” The Maria da Penha Law, which creates multiple mechanisms, including specialised tribunals and psychosocial assistance for victims, was subsequently passed in 2006 and represents one of the most advanced examples of domestic violence legislation.

International courts have pushed the boundaries of the law in relation to war crimes, notably in the serious treatment of sexual violence as a war crime in the Rome Statute of the International Criminal Court. (See Box 5C.)

**Conclusion: Accountability and gender justice**

Women have shown that judicial accountability for women requires that so-called ‘private’ crimes become matters of public concern. Yet there are continual difficulties for courts and legislators in plugging the transmission gap between international human rights and constitutional provisions on equality, and entrenched ideas about dispute resolution that tend to reflect traditional gender roles. For justice systems to work for women, they must provide a forum where women can secure accountability whenever and wherever their rights are infringed. This means addressing gender biases in the normative, procedural, and cultural dimensions of justice systems, both formal and informal.

- **Normative, substantive law reform** in both formal and informal justice systems is needed to establish that rights are guaranteed to women without discrimination, and to rescind contradictory laws or practices. Even when states have harmonized national legal frameworks with human rights principles, vigilant monitoring must ensure that these laws are implemented at the national level.

  *Procedural changes must ensure that:*

- **Courts are accessible to women socially, physically and financially.** The justice system fails a woman whenever she is less likely to gain access to a court than a man. Legal literacy training, “barefoot” community lawyers, childcare services, mobile courts and legal aid can minimize the economic, social and physical distance between women and the legal system.

- **Promoting more women to positions in the judiciary and police is an important way of improving accountability to women.** Women-specific recruitment days can encourage more women to apply to join the police force, creating a less threatening environment and challenging the assumption that security is ‘men’s work.’ In the same way that the Inter-Parliamentary Union (IPU) monitors the number of women in national parliaments, gender parity on the benches of national courts and in traditional justice settings should be tracked and regularly reported.

- **Institutional changes in law enforcement (police, prisons, and national human rights offices, equality commissions and other complaint bodies) are needed to eliminate gender bias in their structure and practices.** Standard operating procedures must be reviewed to ensure that everyday practices of law enforcement bodies institutionalise efforts to assess and address women’s security situation. Law enforcement officials need training in how to support women survivors of crime and to eliminate gender biases in the investigation and prosecution of crimes. Support should be given to women’s units to respond to domestic violence and other crimes against women.

- **The cultural dimension of justice systems requires efforts towards long-term change in social attitudes, including a firm commitment to eliminate violence against women in the home.** Public education campaigns are important because legal advances that outpace social values can generate backlash. Community-
In 2006 I was granted the great honour of being the first woman to be elected President of Chile. I remember the day I took office: hundreds of thousands of women took to the streets wearing a presidential sash, symbolizing that political power, up to now almost exclusively in the hands of men, was now shared by all. One of my main objectives on coming to office was to stop placing ‘women’s issues’ as a subfield of public policy. In all we have done as a government, in education, pre-school care, health, housing, domestic violence, and certainly in our historic pension system reform, we have incorporated a gender sensitive approach across the board, adopting specific measures that benefit women. In so doing, women’s policy has become transversal and part of a larger goal – the struggle for greater equality. Moreover, we have worked for a greater inclusion overall. We need more women in politics, more women in business, more women participating in social organizations, and more women in the labour force. To that end we have worked consistently and made sustained progress. This has not been easy, but we have not let that stop us. I am confident that, in the end, we will have induced a great cultural shift, which will translate into more justice and greater welfare for the citizens of Chile.

Dr. Michelle Bachelet
President of the Republic of Chile

Based monitoring projects that track judgments in formal and traditional systems and their impact on women’s lives could fill an important analytical gap in comparative law and help move towards a jurisprudence of equality. While customary law practices remain in effect in many jurisdictions, there are increasing examples where courts clearly found customary laws do not apply in cases where they discriminated against women, notably in a range of recent cases from the Pacific Islands. Global research to better understand the challenges and opportunities formal and informal justice systems present for women should be financed. Such mapping and monitoring provides a concrete platform for women to ‘watch the watch-dog.’

To paraphrase Dr. Martin Luther King, Jr., “The arc of history is long, but it bends towards justice.” In recent years, women’s legal activism at the national and international levels has helped bend history. The idea that justice is possible, that the rule of law can be re-established in the wake of conflict or crisis, that brutality will be punished and victims vindicated, is an idea that creates hope and bolsters confidence in public institutions, and is at the heart of accountability.
Beijing at 10, 2005: Achieving gender equality, development and peace
For the past 30 years women’s organisations have engaged with government and international policy-making institutions to secure consensus on global agreements that lay out concrete areas of action to achieve gender equality and women’s empowerment. The agreements are vast and visionary. From the United Nations Convention on the Elimination of All Forms of Discrimination against Women (CEDAW) adopted in 1979 and now ratified by 185 countries, to the Beijing Platform for Action formulated at the United Nations Fourth World Conference on Women in 1995, to Security Council Resolution (SCR) 1325 passed in 2000 and SCR 1820 adopted in June 2008, there is no shortage of globally-agreed commitments to advance gender equality as part of inter-linked efforts to achieve development, security and human rights (see Figure 6.1).

Multilateral organisations and international security institutions have a critical role to play in supporting countries to enhance their accountability to implement national commitments and track investments in gender equality. At the same time, the commitment of these organisations to adequately resource and implement their own gender equality policies needs strengthening. Their accountability could be enhanced if they agreed to a coherent system to track and report on allocations and expenditures for gender equality. The need to address this accountability gap is particularly critical in a changing aid environment, marked by concrete targets and indicators to measure progress toward the Millennium Development Goals.

This chapter examines the changing context of aid and the role of international development and security organisations in assisting countries to meet promises to achieve gender equality in development and build sustainable peace. It questions whether the institutional and decision-
making ‘architecture’ for gender equality has adequate positioning, authority and resources to be able to support better implementation of and accountability for gender equality commitments, especially to the most excluded women. It presents examples of the ways in which gender equality advocates working within and outside of international organisations are building alliances to strengthen calls for greater accountability to advance women’s human rights and gender equality in view of the Paris Declaration on Aid Effectiveness, in the United Nations reform process, and the mandates adopted by the United Nations Security Council.

Development assistance: Where is the money?

Where is the money to finance progress towards the commitments that countries have made to advance gender equality? Official Development Assistance (ODA) is a critical part of the overall picture in any assessment of accountability of international institutions for supporting gender equality. In 2006, net disbursements of ODA from donors to recipient countries stood at roughly US$103.9 billion – equivalent to 0.3 per cent of developed countries’ combined national income.1 The bulk of ODA is delivered through bilateral agreements between individual donor countries and a recipient country. About 30 per cent of aid is delivered through international organisations, such as the United Nations, the World Bank and global funds like the Global Fund on HIV/AIDS, Tuberculosis and Malaria. Accountability for ensuring that ODA advances gender equality and that international organisations support gender equality efforts in individual countries is the focus of this chapter.

Government budgets are the largest single source of financing for gender equality and women’s empowerment in most countries. It is through national and sub-national budgets that government promises are translated into policies and programmes.2 ODA covers on average 5 to 10 per cent of a recipient country’s budget,3 and the way it is spent can be revealing about power and accountability relationships, not just between donors and recipients, but also between governments and citizens.

FIGURE 6.1 Landmark Resolutions & Commitments to Gender Equality, 1921-2005

Out of 48 instruments agreed upon, only 6 have time-bound, measurable targets

Health and Development [a] 2 out of 7
Violence Against Women 0 out of 6
Conflict 0 out of 5
Gender Equality [b] 3 out of 11
Marriage 0 out of 4
Political Rights 0 out of 2
Trafficking 0 out of 4
Human Rights [c] 1 out of 3
Labor 0 out of 6

Notes: The resolutions with measurable targets are: (a) United Nations Millennium Declaration [2000]; International Conference on Population and Development (ICPD) Programme of Action [1994]; (b) Beijing Declaration and Platform for Action [1995]; Solemn Declaration of Gender Equality in Africa [2004]; Jakarta Declaration and Plan of Action for the Advancement of Women in Asia and the Pacific [1994]; (c) The Vienna Declaration and Programme of Action [1995]

Source: See Annex 2.
Accountability for financing development

In 2008, the Development Assistance Committee (DAC) of the Organisation for Economic Cooperation and Development (OECD) published, for the first time, indicative forward spending plans for gross country programmable aid (CPA)\(^4\), covering 22 donor countries in the DAC, the "soft" funds of the World Bank, African, Asian and Inter-American Development Banks, the United Nations Children's Fund (UNICEF), the United Nations Development Programme (UNDP), the United Nations Population Fund (UNFPA), the Global Fund on HIV/AIDS, and the Global Environment Facility. These donors accounted for US$60 billion of CPA in 2005, with predictions that the amount will rise to US$72 billion in 2010. CPA from countries outside the DAC (for instance, Middle Eastern funds, China, India, Russia, Brazil, Mexico, Singapore and Thailand) that support international development is estimated at between US$6 billion and US$8 billion in 2005 and is also expected to rise sharply in the coming years. In addition to official donors, private grants are becoming more significant, including major private foundations (whose ODA-type spending in 2006 was roughly US$5 billion) and other NGOs (whose spending from their own resources in 2006 was some US$10 billion).\(^5\)

Global agreements at United Nations-sponsored conferences – from the Monterrey Consensus at the 2002 International Conference on Financing for Development (FfD, 2002) to the Millennium Declaration and the Millennium Development Goals (MDGs) agreed to at the Millennium Summit (New York, 2000) – increasingly highlight concrete targets to be reached in both development financing and performance as a means for achieving greater accountability. While the financing commitments that donors have made are far from being met, the growing use of targets and indicators to signal development priorities has led to a stronger emphasis on costing tools and estimates for meeting agreed requirements.

Gender equality advocates and specialists have been reluctant to put a price tag on the complex project of advancing gender equality. Costing tools to do so, however, are beginning to emerge at country, regional and global levels. A study commissioned by the World Bank, for instance, identified the minimum resource envelope needed to meet the goals of gender equality and women’s empowerment. The study proposes that interventions directly aimed at promoting gender equality would cost on average US$7 to US$13 per capita from 2006 to 2015. Presenting a number of scenarios and projections for costing, the study notes that the gender equality financing gap was between US$12 billion and US$30 billion in 2006 and is expected to rise to between US$24 billion and US$83 billion by 2015.\(^6\) These global estimates are now being validated through tools for national level analyses.\(^7\) In addition, an increasing number of countries, including recipient countries, are strengthening their ability to use gender-responsive budgeting (GRB) to track allocations and expenditures for gender equality priorities.

Costing estimates and GRB provide measures against which to assess fulfilment of commitments at the national level. There are also emerging examples of efforts to account for allocations and expenditures on gender equality by bilateral donors that are members of the OECD. Many of these donors code their ODA programmes according to the Gender Equality Marker (GEM) system (see Figures 6.2, Figure 6.3 and 6.4). Analyses of the GEM suggests that of the US$26.8 billion in ODA disbursements that donors using the reporting system accounted for in 2006, US$7.2 billion (roughly 27 per cent) is allocated to programmes that have gender equality as either a principle or significant objective.

The GEM represents an important step forward in efforts by the international community to account for financing gender equality. But gaps remain. An analysis of Figures 6.2, 6.3 and 6.4 raises three areas for further exploration. First, though donors
have clear guidelines for designating projects as having gender equality as a ‘significant’ or a ‘principal’ objective, they do not yet indicate the specific financial portion that targets gender within a given project tagged as having a ‘significant’ gender focus. Second, analysis of the ‘principal’ and ‘significant’ gender-marked funds shows that less is allocated in the economic infrastructure sector than in areas like health, education and social infrastructure. Finally, despite gaps, funding appears to be increasing under the gender-marked category (Figure 6.2). The results and lessons learned from the GEM hold the potential to inform the efforts of those bilateral agencies and multilateral agencies that have yet to institute a system for tracking expenditures on gender equality. An agreement by the entire bilateral and multilateral community to use a consistent system to track allocations and expenditures would go a long way toward enhancing their accountability for gender equality and would be consistent with principles of the Paris Declaration on Aid Effectiveness discussed later in this chapter.

Accountability for financing gender equality in the multilateral system

Defining the accountability of international organisations to support gender equality with the 30 per cent of aid that is delivered through them is a complex task. This chapter primarily uses examples from multilateral organisations – the United Nations, International Financial Institutions (IFIs) and global funds. These organisations are not necessarily more or less accountable than others, but information on gender equality is more easily accessible from them.

Accountability in multilateral institutions varies according to a number of factors: their governance structures, mandates, leadership, as well as the leverage and internal positioning of gender-equality advocates within the organisation, and the access
points and influence of external gender-equality advocates. Indeed, the authority, positioning and resources of gender equality staff and units in these institutions can be treated as indicators of accountability. With regard to financing for gender equality as an indicator of accountability to women, glaring gaps remain. For instance:

- Virtually every multilateral organisation has a policy and/or strategy committing them to support gender equality in their programmes and policies. Yet, **virtually no multilateral organisation** has set up a tracking system to regularly account for their revenues, allocations and expenditures on this. Nor have the governing boards to which they are accountable required this. Incipient models for tracking what percentage of budgets is allocated and spent on support to countries to advance gender equality and women’s empowerment are currently being tested by the International Labour Organisation (ILO), UNDP, UNFPA, the Office of the United Nations High Commissioner for Refugees (UNHCR), and the World Health Organisation (WHO). However, there is no system-wide United Nations agreement or standard that would make tracking resources a routine activity.

- There is evidence that the amount of aid reaching women’s rights groups through
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mainstream international organisations is declining. A 2007 study by the Association for Women’s Rights in Development (AWID) raised serious concerns about the flow of resources to support women’s organising. AWID’s survey of 729 women’s organisations – which, in 2005, had a collective income of US$77 million – showed that the largest source of income for these organisations came from private foundations (increasingly, from independent women’s funds, as well as from foundations like the Ford or MacArthur Foundations) and international NGOs (such as Oxfam International or the Humanist Institute for Cooperation with Developing Countries (HIVOS)). Among multilateral organisations, only the European Commission, UNIFEM and UNFPA were identified among the top 20 donors to women’s organisations in 2005.

Enhancing accountability for gender equality through the Paris Declaration on Aid Effectiveness

The 2005 Paris Declaration on Aid Effectiveness presents a framework for the management of ODA, and advocates have focused on this as a key entry point for strengthening accountability for financing gender equality. The Declaration has been heralded as a commitment to change the development ‘architecture’ as we know it and lays out a set of five principles (see Box 6A), with corresponding targets and indicators that are intended to encompass the responsibilities of partners.

At the heart of the Paris Declaration is the principle of national ownership of development planning, priority-setting, and oversight processes. It reflects the recognition that recipient governments must be accountable to their citizens for results agreed through broad-based national consultations. They must also be accountable to donors for efficient management of aid. Donors, for their part, must support national priorities and deliver aid in a timely and predictable manner.

The aid effectiveness agenda represents an important shift in the development architecture, signaling the intention to channel an increasing amount of funds through a country’s treasury, rather than through specific programmes negotiated by individual donors with specific ministries. Donors also pool their funds in support of specific sectors through Sector-Wide Approaches (SWAs) or ‘basket funds.’

The mutual accountability of donors and recipient countries is a subject of intense debate and lies at the heart of the aid effectiveness agenda. Who is accountable to whom for meeting international commitments to gender equality? Will the principle of national ownership take into account the nationally-owned policies, strategies and laws that countries have instituted to advance women’s empowerment and rights? And what role will multilateral organisations play in this process? These questions are far from receiving clear answers. A study commissioned by the
Principle 1: NATIONAL OWNERSHIP: Partner countries exercise effective leadership over their development policies, and strategies and co-ordinate development actions

Gender Equality Advocates Recommend:

- Partner countries should create opportunities for gender equality advocates and national women’s machineries to participate in and shape decisions about aid delivery at country level.
- Donor and partner countries should strengthen the capacities, resources and authority of national women’s machineries to monitor the impact of national development planning and spending on gender equality and women’s rights.
- Indicators for monitoring and evaluating national ownership tend to check for the presence of Poverty Reduction Strategies. They need to measure how far these strategies integrate the national gender equality priorities.

Principle 2: ALIGNMENT: Donors base their overall support on partner countries’ national development strategies, institutions and procedures

Gender Equality Advocates Recommend:

- Donors should support partner countries’ efforts to align their Poverty Reduction Strategies with existing gender equality and women’s empowerment commitments, including National Action Plans on Gender Equality, and to translate these plans into budget-linked and results-oriented operational programmes.
- Partner countries should adopt Gender Responsive Budgeting as a tool to enhance results-based management and accountability and ensure financial allocations for gender equality priorities.

Principle 3: HARMONISATION: Donors’ actions are more harmonised, transparent and collectively effective

Gender Equality Advocates Recommend:

- Division of labour and planning among donors (e.g. Joint Assistance Strategies) and between donors and partner countries (Poverty Reduction Strategies) should promote mutual accountability for national policies and commitments to gender equality.
- Donors should undertake joint analysis and reviews of implementation gaps at national and sectoral levels in order to improve dialogue, decision-making, implementation and monitoring of gender equality commitments.
- Joint assessment missions in fragile states and conflict countries should integrate gender analysis and develop specific interventions in support of gender equality and women’s empowerment.

Principle 4: MANAGING FOR RESULTS: Managing resources and improving decision-making for results

Gender Equality Advocates Recommend:

- Donors and partner countries should invest more in building the capacities and strengthening the systems for collection, analysis and use of sex disaggregated data in aid management as a way to measure the impact of aid on gender equality.
- Donors and partner countries should agree to track resources invested in gender equality and women’s empowerment as part of performance assessment frameworks.

Principle 5: MUTUAL ACCOUNTABILITY: Donors and partners are accountable for development results

Gender Equality Advocates Recommend:

- Donors and partner countries should integrate gender responsive indicators and targets in performance assessment frameworks for monitoring results and impact of development assistance.
- Donors and partner countries should agree to assess the extent to which international agreements on gender equality are being translated into national-level policies, and the extent to which these efforts are supported by donor funding.
OECD-DAC Network on Gender Equality in 2006, for example, found that:

“While the MDGs and the Paris Declaration have facilitated the promotion of gender equality, [it is] difficult to monitor gender equality results in sector-wide approaches and to hold program implementers accountable...Program-based approaches have tended to make ministries of finance particularly powerful actors in determining development actions, and these ministries often are unaware of...gender equality as a development issue, as are many staff on the donor side.”

International women’s rights networks have raised concerns about the lack of gender equality indicators in the Paris Declaration. This limits the demand for data on the impact of aid on gender equality, women’s rights, or social justice. In addition, the fact that assessments about national readiness for budget support are based on World Bank evaluation mechanisms may likewise reduce attention to gender equality issues. The influence of donors and International Financial Institutions (IFIs) on support for national development priorities, and the reliance on aid modalities such as budget support and joint assistance strategies, could limit the space for participation of all stakeholders in influencing development plans and funding priorities.

In response, networks of gender equality advocates in the United Nations, the European Commission, the OECD-DAC, and many government and non-governmental

---

**BOX 6B | The Kenyan Gender Equality Basket Fund**

The Gender and Governance Programme in Kenya was developed by a group of donors, women leaders and gender equality-focused community support organizations. Funders of this basket fund include the Canada, Denmark, Finland, the Netherlands, Norway, Spain, Sweden, and the United Kingdom. These donors are also members of the basket fund steering committee. UNIFEM provides programme and financial management support.

The programme is based on the principle of stakeholder engagement and ownership. Its 30 constituent civil society organisations are viewed as implementing partners and are, equally importantly, key stakeholders in programme development, monitoring and evaluation. In 2005 and 2006 more than US$2.5 million was allocated for implementation of this programme. In 2006-2007, the donor commitment to this programme was over US$6.6 million.

The programme aims to ensure that gender equality is addressed in national planning processes and governance structures and to ensure women’s leadership and participation in development planning and policy implementation.

The basket fund modality has been an important vehicle for donor harmonisation. It has enabled coordinated and long-term support for women’s participation in democratic governance. Its outreach component engages 2520 community mobilisers as well as media in 188 constituencies. It seeks to encourage women’s political participation as voters and candidates and it has contributed to increased numbers of women running for public office. In 2002 there were only 44 women parliamentary candidates; while in 2007 there were 269. A similar increase was seen in women candidates for local elections (increase from 382 in 2002 to 1478 in 2007).

The programme has also advocated for important policy initiatives, such as the issuance of the presidential directive requesting the public sector to ensure at least 30 per cent representation of women in public positions, the establishment of Women’s Enterprise Support Fund by the government and political party manifests on gender equality.
organisations have been working together since 2005 to develop a common agenda to lobby for a more explicit commitment to accounting for gender equality in the aid effectiveness agenda when it is reviewed at the Ghana High Level Forum on Aid Effectiveness in September 2008 (see Box 6A).

Making aid work for women: Better data and a stronger ‘voice’

Evidence from recent studies undertaken by the EC/UN Partnership on Gender Equality for Development and Peace\(^{14}\) identified two major challenges to making aid effectiveness work for women: obtaining data on gender equality spending, and ensuring that women’s rights advocates — including government ministries or units dedicated to gender equality, as well as women’s NGOs and networks — have the capacity and voice to secure commitments to gender equality in national priority-setting. Securing a seat at the table remains a key challenge. In Ghana, for instance, the Gender Equality Sector Group advocated for the Ministry for Women and Children to be included, with other Ministries, in the Multi-Donor Budget Support negotiations in 2006. However, the Ministry received only observer status, and was unable to put gender equality issues on the agenda.\(^{15}\)

A strategy for addressing this gap is calling for more systematic and rigorous analysis of funding provided for programmes to advance women’s empowerment. Thus, making available sex disaggregated data on revenues, allocations and expenditures for gender equality is a key area of support that multilateral organisations could offer. In Ghana, a recent case study of development assistance found that while specific projects aimed at gender equality were reflected within the Social Protection, Gender and Vulnerability Sector, it was impossible to determine the total amount of aid spent on gender equality and women’s empowerment. During 2004-2006, the Social Protection, Gender and Vulnerability sector received 0.1 per cent of total donor assistance of over US$3 billion. If one were looking for individual projects focusing on gender equality, the percentage would be even smaller: Of the US$3.21 million allocated to the Social Protection, Gender and Vulnerability Sector, only US $390,000 was set aside for stand-alone projects on gender equality.\(^{16}\)

Learning from successful examples is important for creating stronger accountability for gender equality in the aid effectiveness agenda. In Kyrgyzstan, women’s-rights campaigners succeeded in integrating the National Action Plan for Achievement of Gender Equality (2007-2010) in the key development results of the Country Development Strategy (2007-2010), with corresponding costing estimates and indicators for measuring progress.\(^{17}\) In Cambodia, where gender equality was made central to achieving the MDGs at the national level, the National Strategic Development Plan includes specific gender targets and indicators.\(^{18}\) In Kenya, Cameroon, Suriname, and Indonesia, donors have joined together to create multi-donor or “basket” funds to support different aspects of gender equality (see Box 6B). There have also been proposals to experiment with Sector Wide Approaches for Gender Equality or to End Violence Against Women, in recognition of the fact that dedicated financial support flows to sectors, not to ‘cross-cutting issues’ like gender equality.\(^{19}\)

There is growing demand from gender equality advocates – including those from national, bilateral and multilateral institutions – for greater investments in gender equality at the national level, but they are sorely in need of concentrated support from powerful advocates in donor countries who focus on accountability in development assistance policy and budgets. Gender equality and women’s rights groups in the North have lobbied to increase aid for gender equality, but stronger partnerships are needed between women in the North and the South to have an impact on strategic development assistance in this area. A positive example is the work of Women Thrive, a U.S.-based NGO that advocates for a strong focus in US development assistance policy to bring women out of poverty. Women Thrive is supporting development of an unprecedented piece of legislation in the
U.S. Congress, the International Violence Against Women Act, which will make helping women in poverty and preventing violence against women a priority in the United States government.20

Security

Security, along with development, is an essential pillar of the international commitment to gender equality and the promotion and protection of women’s rights. The passage of Security Council Resolution (SCR) 1325 in 2000, as well as the agreement in 2008 to Security Council Resolution 1820 (see Box 6D), were significant advances in enhancing accountability of international security institutions to women. SCR 1325 on Women, Peace and Security, for example, recognises the impact of conflict on women, their role in preventing and resolving conflict, and calls for their equal participation in international security and peace-making efforts. This should mean that women are no longer absent from peace tables and that peace-building must prevent sexual and gender-based violence experienced by women and girls.

### BOX 6C | Resolutions 1612 and 1325

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Monitoring and reporting mechanism</td>
<td>System-wide Action Plan to stop recruitment of children and other violations. Contains an agreed monitoring and reporting mechanism.</td>
<td>System-wide Action Plan lacks agreed indicators for effective monitoring. Focuses on United Nations agency implementation plans, not on violations or on programming results.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>‘Answerability’ mechanism: exposing perpetrators</td>
<td>Secretary-General’s report to the Security Council includes lists of parties in violation of the Resolution.</td>
<td>None</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Regular procedures for review</td>
<td>Working Group of the Security Council consisting of all 15 members, and chaired by a permanent member of the Council, meets bi-monthly, reviews the reports of the compliance mechanism, reviews progress in the development and implementation of action plans by parties to armed conflict.</td>
<td>Up to 2008, no formal mechanism beyond one annual Open Debate, an annual report and oral briefings from the United Nations Secretary-General on request of Security Council members, and informal Council meetings on the subject.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Member State accountability</td>
<td>Parties to armed conflict are expected to prepare concrete time-bound action plans to halt the recruitment of children in close collaboration with United Nations peacekeeping missions and United Nations Country Teams.</td>
<td>National Action Plans currently exist for 12 countries. These are not a requirement of parties to armed conflict, nor are they reviewed by a Council Working Group or any United Nations entity.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Focal Point/Leadership within the United Nations</td>
<td>Special Representative of the Secretary-General for Children and Armed Conflict.</td>
<td>Office of the Special Advisor on Gender Issues plays a coordinating role but without adequate resources or cooperation from an operational counterpart.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Compliance mechanism</td>
<td>United Nations Country Team or country-level Task Force on Children and Armed Conflict to monitor rates of child soldier recruitment and to press violators to comply with the resolution. Support from UNICEF.</td>
<td>None. Support in some contexts for women’s peace coalitions, women’s access to peace talks, services for survivors, provided by a range of United Nations entities, not coordinated. No compliance mechanism.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Accountability gaps: SCR 1325

Eight years after the adoption of SCR 1325, these new standards for peacemaking are a long way from being met. International and regional security institutions have remained somewhat resistant to accountability for gender equality and women’s empowerment, including in ensuring women’s leadership and participation, protecting women from violence, and allocating budgets needed to support implementation of the resolution.

Leadership: As of April 2008, there was just one woman heading a United Nations peacekeeping mission, as the Special Representative of the Secretary-General in Liberia, and there were just four women Deputy Special Representatives in UN peacekeeping missions. Women represented 17 per cent of senior staff in the United Nations’ Department of Peacekeeping Operations, averaged 1.9 per cent of the military personnel contributed by Member States, and 7.6 per cent of police. The Secretary General has made it a priority to bring gender balance to this situation, declaring to a June 2008 meeting of the Security Council: “I am eager to deploy more women worldwide, not just as police, military and civilian personnel but also at the highest levels of mission leadership.”

Representation of women in peace negotiations is also poor. In 2007 and 2008, peace processes to resolve conflicts in northern Uganda, Darfur, and Somalia, showed remarkably little progress in supporting women’s inclusion on negotiating delegations or even among observers. In the peace talks for Northern Uganda in 2007-2008, for instance, there were never more than two women out of 17 negotiators on the delegations of either the government or the Lord’s Resistance Army.

A dramatic illustration of the accountability deficit for SCR 1325 emerges from a direct contrast between its accountability mechanisms and those for another important thematic resolution, SCR 1612 (2005) on Children and Armed Conflict, which comes equipped with the accountability tools stressed throughout this report: leadership, mandate reform, incentives, monitoring, reporting systems, and a compliance regime (see Box 6C.)

Accountability gaps: SCR 1820

Gender-specific aspects of conflict – including widespread and systematic rape – have not triggered a protection response commensurate with other actions considered national and international security threats. This is despite growing evidence that the nature of conflict is changing, and that armed forces, insurgents, and rebels now target women and children for sexual violence as a tactic of warfare. The statistics on sexual violence are staggering: in South Kivu in the Eastern Democratic Republic of the Congo (DRC) alone there were 27,000 reported rapes in 2006; in 2005 in Liberia levels of sexual violence in camps for internally displaced persons were so high that almost 80 per cent of women and girls had been subject to attack. The brutality of these rapes is so severe and the intention to inflict permanent harm is so manifest that the “destruction of the vagina” is being treated as an officially recorded war injury in the DRC. Reports of high levels of sexual mutilation, sexual slavery, and forced pregnancy in conflicts in the North Kivu province of the DRC as well as in Darfur suggest that the absence of a preventive response creates a climate of impunity in which such abuses multiply. Nevertheless, strategies for protecting women are not systematically instituted.

A number of United Nations agencies, including UNIFEM, are working jointly via a coordination mechanism, United Nations Action Against Sexual Violence in Conflict, to build the coherence and impact of the United Nations’ efforts to prevent sexual violence and support survivors. UNIFEM identified a gap in the United Nations’ peace-keeping practice in this regard: in those peacekeeping missions that currently have a mandate to protect civilians, there is little concrete guidance for peacekeepers on how to prevent widespread and systematic sexual violence, particularly where it is deployed as a method of warfare. UNIFEM worked with the United
Nations Department of Peacekeeping Operations, United Nations Action Against Sexual Violence in Conflict, and the governments of Canada and the United Kingdom, to review operational guidance for troops to enable them to identify women’s needs for protection and to deploy forces and use response tactics that prevent attacks on women. This work became part of the groundswell of concern in early 2008 to take action to prevent sexual violence in conflict. The outcome of this groundswell was SCR 1820, a resolution with the potential to bring about strong accountability measures (see Box 6D).

Unfortunately, the credibility of United Nations peacekeepers in preventing widespread sexual violence has been undermined by individual cases of peacekeepers engaging in serious human rights violations, from trafficking in women and girls to sexual exploitation. These abuses have received an accountability response from the United Nations Department of Peacekeeping Operations, which followed up a high-level investigation of sexual exploitation and abuse in 2004-2005 with conduct and discipline reforms, pressure on Member States to prosecute perpetrators sent home in disgrace from peacekeeping missions, a victim compensation programme, and the appointment of senior staff to field missions in order to ensure attention to the issue. The effectiveness of these measures depends on the commitment of Troop Contributing Countries to prosecute repatriated peacekeepers, and on the willingness of survivors to report the abuse. Much more needs to be done by international actors to ensure that local communities have confidence in the system.

A former United Nations force commander recently noted that “it is more dangerous to be a woman than to be a soldier in Eastern DRC.” In contemporary conflicts, women are increasingly on the front-line. Sexual violence against displaced women collecting fuel has become so common that camp workers in Darfur have abbreviated the phenomenon to ‘firewood rape.’ But is the sexual violence they suffer a matter for the world’s foremost peace and security body? On 19 June 2008, the United Nations Security Council answered that question with a resounding yes – voting unanimously for a resolution that describes sexual violence as a tactic of war and a matter of international security. SCR 1820 (2008) stands as an essential complement to the full implementation of SCR 1325 on women, peace and security. Among other provisions, the Resolution:

- recognises that efforts to prevent and respond to sexual violence as a tactic of war may be linked to the maintenance of international peace and security – underlining that, as a security issue, it deserves a security response and therefore rightly belongs on the Council’s agenda;
- affirms the recognition of sexual violence in conflict as a war crime, crime against humanity and constituent act of genocide, and hence a matter that can be referred to the sanctions committee;
- strengthens the prohibition on amnesty for such crimes;
- calls for stronger and clearer guidelines to United Nations peacekeepers to prevent sexual violence against civilians;
- calls for more systematic and regular reporting on the issue; and
- asserts the importance of women’s participation in all processes related to stopping sexual violence in conflict, including their participation in peace talks.

BOX 6D Security Council Resolution 1820: Sexual Violence as a Tactic of Warfare
Enhancing multilateral organisations’ accountability to gender equality

A 2006 review[30] of the extent to which several United Nations agencies have incorporated accountability for gender equality in their policy and programming guidance makes the following important points:

- A major focus of United Nations reform has been to link accountability to results-based management (RBM). Under RBM, the main area for which agencies and staff are accountable is managing for results, rather than delivering them. Development results are the responsibility of countries themselves. So staff are accountable for the processes underpinning achievement of gender equality – including gender mainstreaming – but not gender equality results.
- Even with regard to processes, none of the policies and plans reviewed indicated any consequences for poor performance on gender equality or requirements to undertake tracking of financial commitments.
- While it is often highlighted as a lead strategy, there is no agreement on a minimum United Nations standard for assessing performance of staff or agencies in applying gender mainstreaming.

There is a similarly ambiguous accountability chain for gender equality in the International Financial Institutions (IFIs). All have gender equality policies, though they differ in enforceability. The IFIs are becoming increasingly vocal in presenting plans supporting stronger commitments to gender equality. The World Bank’s Global Monitoring Report 2007 advocates for better monitoring and mainstreaming of women’s empowerment and equality in international assistance. The World Bank calls on IFIs to use their considerable capacity of analysis, coordination and high-level policy
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**FIGURE 6.5** Gender as a Sub-theme in World Bank Lending, 2002-2007

Gender is identified as a sub-theme in less than 5% of lending projects between 2002 and 2007. This does not mean that projects fail to incorporate gender, but rather that gender equality objectives are not indicated amongst the main thematic focus area of these programmes.

Notes: In order to assess whether projects had a gender focus, the database was analyzed to incorporate all projects mentioning the “Social development, gender and inclusion” theme and within it, the “Gender” sub-theme. This graph shows information for proportions allocated to projects that (a) do not have a gender sub-theme, regardless of whether they have mentioned the theme “social development, gender and inclusion”, or (b) have a gender focus, that is, have mentioned the theme “social development, gender and inclusion” and have a “gender” sub-theme within it. It is worth mentioning that calculations were made considering all themes (up to five) mentioned by projects, disregarding the order given in the database, hence, a project could be counted more than once within thematic categories.

Source: World Bank Projects Database.
dialogue to take a leadership role in investing resources to include gender equality and women’s empowerment in the results agenda. More systematic gender analysis is needed for the US$43 billion that IFIs disbursed in 2006 and for future years. Some IFIs do currently make an effort to identify areas of lending that have gender equality as a primary target or goal. The World Bank for instance lists gender as a sub-theme amongst a number of others that may be selected by project managers to help classify individual projects. To capture the fact that the primary goal of a project may not be to address women’s empowerment, but secondary goals may include attention to gender issues, project managers have the option of listing individual projects against up to five sub-themes. Figure 6.5 shows the frequency of the identification of gender as a sub-theme in the projects listed in the publically available database. Figure 6.6 is an analysis of the sectoral location of spending in projects with a specific gender sub-theme, and this indicates that, as with OECD gender marked funds, there is a concentration on social sectors.

While the gender sub-theme marker provides project managers with the opportunity to indicate activities targeting or benefitting women, this tool is imperfect as it may not be consistently applied: projects focusing on areas that may well be of enormous significance to women’s rights – such as school infrastructure – may not be identified by their managers as having gender as a primary focus. To capture qualitative elements of gender mainstreaming, Bank staff have developed a quality assessment system that indicates the relative level of gender mainstreaming in project design and project supervision. According to the Bank’s 2006-2007 data, 60 per cent of this sample demonstrate attention to gender issues in the design phase, declining to 45 per cent in the implementation phase. Figure 6.7 shows that the level of gender mainstreaming thus identified is lower in the lending areas of private sector development and infrastructure.
The gender mainstreaming mandate: Is it time for reform?

“Gender mainstreaming,” a strategy that calls for gender analysis in every development intervention to identify different impacts on men and women, was promoted by gender equality advocates at the United Nations Fourth World Conference on Women in 1995.

Reliance on gender mainstreaming as a core strategy for advancing gender equality has had some positive effects in generating better analysis of accountability of international institutions. The World Bank, as noted above, now publishes an annual monitoring report on its Gender Mainstreaming Strategy that assesses the extent to which gender is mainstreamed into country diagnoses, development sectors and bank lending, although it does not include the amount of funds flowing to gender equality. The United Nations Development Group (UNDG) annually tracks the extent to which reports from United Nations Country Teams reflect activities to advance gender equality and women’s empowerment – and has found significant increases over the past three years in reporting on programmes that support ending violence against women, mainstreaming gender equality in HIV/AIDS programmes and in national development strategies, girls’ education, and support for collection of sex disaggregated data – although this analysis still does not capture financial flows.

New Funds for Gender Equality

THE SPANISH MDG ACHIEVEMENT FUND (2007)
A US$700 million fund to stimulate action on the MDGs through the United Nations system. Of this amount, over $100 million was earmarked for joint programming in support of gender equality by United Nations Country Teams.

THE NETHERLANDS MDG3 FUND: INVESTING IN EQUALITY (2008)
A €50 million fund to support activities in priority areas for accelerating achievement of MDG3: women’s property and inheritance rights, women’s formal employment in the labour market, representation of women in politics, and combating violence against women. It is open to non-governmental organisations dedicated to equal rights for women and girls in developing countries, including regional organisations.

THE DANISH MDG3 GLOBAL CALL TO ACTION (2008)
A campaign to deliver a torch to 100 leaders, asking them to “do something extra” to promote gender equality and women’s empowerment. It aims to produce a doubling of development aid targeted to women. Denmark plans to double its own aid for women’s economic empowerment from approximately DKR 200 million to DKR 400 million by 2010.

A combined US $100 million fund to help adolescent girls in developing countries bring social and economic change to their families, communities, and countries.

GOLDMAN SACHS “10,000 WOMEN” (2008)
A US $100 million global initiative to provide at least 10,000 women, mostly in emerging markets, with an education in business and management to support growth of women’s enterprises.

THE UNITED NATIONS TRUST FUND TO END VIOLENCE AGAINST WOMEN
Founded in 1996, it received less than US$10 million in contributions until 2004. For the period 2005-2008, total contributions, including pledges, climbed to nearly US$40 million.
However, some argue that gender mainstreaming has resulted in hiding rather than illuminating efforts, and especially budgets, to achieve gender equality. If every sector—health, education, infrastructure, agriculture—has a gender dimension, this is interpreted to mean that gender equality is itself not a sector and thus needs no separate budget allocation. For example, it is difficult to assess the portion of allocations and expenditures on gender equality in the Multi-Donor Trust Fund established in 2005 to assist Sudan in implementing the Comprehensive Peace Agreement.35 At the Third Sudan Donors’ Consortium in May 2008 in Oslo, one presenter to a forum for women activists estimated that of the US$2 billion committed in 2005 for Sudan’s recovery/reconstruction investment plan, less than 2 per cent is dedicated to programmes that address women’s empowerment.36

In recognition of the limitations of gender mainstreaming as an operational strategy, a stronger focus on direct investments in promoting women’s empowerment seems to be emerging. Major bilateral donors and private sector partners have recently dedicated funds to support programming directly aimed at gender equality and women’s empowerment that could be a harbinger of the future. An indicative list is shown in Box 6E.

Can the gender equality architecture demand greater accountability?

Most international and regional multilateral organisations have a gender ‘architecture’ composed of gender units, networks of gender focal points, and gender advisors. The positioning, authority and resource base of these entities tasked with promoting and monitoring gender equality in international organisations directly shape their capacity to support and monitor system-wide accountability for gender equality. Within the United Nations, the gender architecture consists of four gender-specific entities—the Office of the Special Advisor on Gender Issues (OSAGI), the United Nations Development Fund for Women (UNIFEM) (see Box 6F), the United Nations Division for the Advancement of Women (UNDAW), and the International
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**FIGURE 6.8 Distribution of UN Professional Staff by Sex and by Grades, 2006**

The distribution of UN staff by sex and grade show a very clear pattern: numbers of women staff to men diminish significantly at higher grades, reaching less than a quarter at the highest level.

**BOX 6F UNIFEM: Large Mandate, Scant Resources**

Since 2005, a range of high level decision makers and women’s rights networks have raised questions about whether the United Nations ‘architecture’ has the necessary capacity to make a difference to women’s lives. One of the first such official documents raising this point was produced by an Independent Advisory Panel convened by the Consultative Committee of UNIFEM to assess the structural impediments to UNIFEM’s ability to fulfill its mandate. The panel found that inadequate status, ambiguous authority and insufficient resources constrained UNIFEM’s effectiveness. A clear pattern emerged of a gender equality architecture composed of “marginalised mechanisms that are established but hamstrung from adequately fulfilling their roles.” The resource constraints identified were significant. While not strictly comparable, the report stated that according to 2003 data on staffing levels, UNICEF had 2,794 core staff, UNFPA had 980 core staff and UNIFEM had 47 core staff.
Institute for Research and Training for the Advancement of Women (INSTRAW) – as well as a wide network of gender units, focal points, and advisers in United Nations organisations across the system.

The inability of gender specialists and units to call their own multilateral organisations to account – even to implement the policies and strategies that have been agreed – is a systemic problem. The positioning, authority and resources of gender units in the United Nations and other multilateral organisations need to change so these units have voice and leverage to call for accountability to implement agreed gender equality policies, as well as to monitor allocations and expenditures.

In 2006, the United Nations High Level Panel on System Wide Coherence – composed of 12 high-level decision makers and chaired by the Prime Ministers of Mozambique, Norway and Pakistan – arrived at the conclusion that “the UN needs...a dynamic UN entity focused on gender equality and women’s empowerment. This entity should mobilize forces of change at the global level and inspire enhanced results at the country level”.37 In 200738 and again in 2008,39 the Deputy Secretary-General of the United Nations issued a note to the General Assembly, reiterating that, although the United Nations system has made a significant contribution at the normative and policy levels, deficiencies in coordination, accountability, authority and resources have hindered the provision of adequate support at the national level. The urgency and opportunity to act has also generated a global campaign, Gender Equality Architecture Reform (GEAR), with women’s networks from every region calling for the creation of a stronger, fully resourced women’s entity, headed by an Under Secretary-General and with extensive country presence.40

**The need for unswerving leadership**

Evaluations of the gender equality performance of international – and national – organisations have highlighted the critical role of leadership and the importance of an unswerving message that staff are expected to deliver on the promise to achieve gender equality results in performance improvements.

At the same time, leadership is expected to deliver on its commitment to achieve gender parity in leadership positions in international organisations. On the positive side, the numbers of women in international institutions have been increasing. Figures 6.8 and 6.9 show that in the United Na-
tions numbers of women are up. However, women remain at the bottom of power hierarchies and have only reached the ‘parity zone’ in a few United Nations agencies. While this critical mass at lower levels could bode well for women’s eventual access to leadership ranks, there is concern that women are leaving the system before they reach authority positions because of inadequate incentives to remain, including weak family-friendly policies.

The concrete value of unswerving leadership support for gender equality in words and actions cannot be understated. The United Nations Secretary-General’s decision to launch a global campaign to end violence against women in March 2008 is an important example of a leader of an international organisation demonstrating that he will take a public stand against this pandemic. These types of leadership actions are sorely needed to inspire action.

Conclusion and recommendations

Governments are ultimately accountable for advancing gender equality and women’s empowerment, but multilateral aid and security organisations have an essential role to play in supporting them. This role is increasingly important in a changing environment for aid and security. The analysis in this chapter leads to a number of priorities for enhancing the accountability for gender equality of multilateral organisations and security institutions:

- All key elements of organizational accountability in multilateral institutions — mandates, incentives, performance indicators and monitoring — need an overhaul to build gender responsiveness both in the development aid and peace building resources these institutions provide. Numerous assessments have demonstrated that the progress of multilateral organisations and security institutions in implementing their own gender equality policies and strategies has been too slow. Gaps range from inadequate implementation of SC resolution 1325 to slow progress in meeting targets to achieve gender parity in leadership of most multilateral organizations. The much stronger accountability mechanisms established for other issues – for instance, accountability for SC resolution 1612 on Children and Armed Conflict – demonstrate a way forward for gender equality and women’s rights.

- Multilateral organisations and security institutions must enhance their accountability by regularly tracking and reporting on the resources – human and financial – that they dedicate to gender equality and women’s empowerment. This is an appropriate complement to the principles of the Paris Declaration on Aid Effectiveness. It also complements the growing number of Gender-Responsive Budget initiatives that are part of public financial management reforms at country level. Tracking and reporting is essential to determining whether adequate resources are dedicated to achieving the gender equality and women’s empowerment goals of the MDGs and the Millennium Declaration. Tracking and reporting is practical, achievable, and helps identify areas of under-investment such as economic infrastructure. Continued failure to agree on a coherent system to account for allocations and expenditures on gender equality by multilateral organizations represents an accountability gap that needs to be addressed.

- The debate on the gender architecture of the United Nations is an encouraging sign that policy makers are beginning to recognize the structural impediments to accountability in their practices and policies. There is a growing consensus that gender equality experts within mainstream development and security institutions need a stronger voice, greater authority, and expanded resources to enhance the accountability of their own organizations.

- Alliances between national governmental and non-governmental women’s or-
gansations and networks, international and regional women’s rights networks, and gender equality experts working in regional and international multilateral organisations have been essential to secure pivotal changes in the policies that guide development and security institutions. Pressure from women’s rights advocates and organisations on the Global Fund to Fight AIDS, Tuberculosis and Malaria was an essential step in reaching an agreement to increased allocations to women and girls’ health needs in its next round of grant-making. Partnerships between gender experts in the OECD-DAC, bilateral organisations, United Nations organisations, women’s machineries in recipient countries, global and regional NGO gender equality networks have produced pressure for greater accountability to women’s empowerment in the mechanisms for aid management that are central to the Paris Declaration on Aid Effectiveness.

- More concerted and systematic efforts must be made by women’s rights groups in the North to monitor the extent to which their governments are adequately prioritising and funding gender equality and women’s empowerment through their bilateral and multilateral contributions.

The norms, standards and evidence that underpin the consensus on gender equality and women’s empowerment are often generated through processes facilitated by multilateral organisations. As such, multilateral organisations have a special responsibility to model accountability for efforts to advance this goal. Like the countries that they are mandated to support, meeting the challenge of moving from words to action will be the litmus test of their accountability.

Credit: Created by Juozas Galkus. Collection of Moravian Gallery, Brno.
Who answers to women? The evidence reflected throughout this Report suggests that despite generous formal guarantees of equality, progress for many women, particularly the poorest and most marginal, has been far too slow. Every time legal systems turn a blind eye to injustices experienced by women, every time public service systems respond to women’s needs only in relation to narrowly defined traditional female roles, and every time structures of opportunity in markets favour men’s enterprises or limit women to vulnerable or low-return employment, we are faced with an accountability failure that reinforces gender-based inequality.

Progress 2008/2009 argues that the achievement of gender equality depends upon building the accountability of power holders to women so that power holders are answerable for meeting commitments to women’s rights and gender equality. As the case studies highlighted throughout this Report demonstrate, there has been some progress. In the past decade, there has been marked improvement in national responses to women’s needs in some areas, such as education. Such successes suggest that where there is accountability, progress is possible, even when resources are scarce.

Progress 2008/2009 shows that strengthening accountability is both a technical and a political project. The technical dimension involves practical changes to the remit or mandate of institutions to ensure they respond to women’s needs. It also involves changes in the operating procedures, performance measures, incentive systems and practices of institutions in order to ensure the implementation of these remits. Achieving these technical changes is, however, a political process: political leverage and power are required to see that mandates are translated into changed practices, and
that incentives are created for changes in the ‘deep culture’ of institutions.

A framework for gender-responsive accountability

Progress of the World’s Women 2008/2009 offers a framework for understanding and building accountability to women and accountability for gender equality. Based on the evidence highlighted throughout this Report, it suggests that accountability systems that work for women contain two essential elements:

- Women are participants in all oversight processes
  Gender-responsive accountability institutions must ensure that decision-makers answer to the women who are most affected by their decisions. This means that women must be entitled to ask for explanations and justifications – they must be full participants in public debates and power-delegation processes.

- Accountability systems must make the advancement of gender equality and women’s rights one of the standards against which the performance of officials is assessed
  Power holders must answer for their performance in advancing women’s rights. The standards of due diligence and probity in holding the public trust must include gender equality as a goal of public action.

In order to incorporate these two elements into institutional reforms aiming to build accountability, this Report has focused on changes that have been effective in bringing gender-responsive accountability in three key areas: mandates, procedures, and culture and attitudes.

Mandates: If the formal remit of an institution does not mandate its members to build gender equality, remits may need to be reformed. Just 20 years ago, concrete constitutional and legislative commitments to women’s rights and gender equality were scarce. Today, we see countries adopting laws against domestic violence and female genital mutilation, strengthening social protection policies for informal sector workers, and developing model contracts to protect migrant women.

Procedures: When the normative environment improves but the situation of women – especially the most disadvantaged women – remains the same, this adds up to an accountability crisis. Laws must be translated into instructions and incentives for the responsible officials; changed performance measures, monitoring, review and correction procedures must enable women to participate in assessing public action and demanding answers. Women’s access to oversight processes must be facilitated, including by addressing gender-specific constraints on women’s time, mobility, legal literacy, or disposable income.

Culture and attitudes: A long-term project of gender equality advocates around the world has been to change deeply engrained cultural biases against women. Their starting point has often been to protest against gender biases in informal institutions, such as the family or communities built on kinship, religious, or customary ties.

Women are changing the meaning and methods of accountability

Women around the world have led the way in demanding answers for abuses of their rights, and, in the process, they have changed expectations about accountability and even methods for obtaining it. There are several common patterns in these efforts.

- First, gender equality advocates have asked that gender equality and women’s rights be included amongst the standards against which public actions are assessed. They have done this through changes to national constitutions, judicial review of and legal challenges to
government decisions, and the passing of international conventions on women's rights.

- **Second**, gender equality advocates have fought exclusion from decision-making and oversight forums by asking that *temporary special measures* such as quotas for women be set up at national and local government levels, on corporate boards, and in public administration.

- **Third**, women and their allies have sought *direct engagement in accountability and oversight processes* through mechanisms such as consultations on national development spending priorities, vigilance committees, and user group reviews of the distribution of public or natural resources. They have institutionalized gender-responsive budget analysis at the national and local level, and have fought for the right to participate in traditional justice forums from which their gender alone had previously excluded them.

- **Fourth**, where these attempts have not worked, women and their allies have set up *parallel accountability processes* such as citizens' report cards and public hearings on the allocation of public resources. These parallel forums have been most effective in contexts where citizens have made the right to information an issue of public concern.

**Building women's ‘voice’ to demand change**

Accountability can be built through political pressure for change, or by encouraging competition between public providers and empowering individual citizens, both women and men, to deploy market power to choose among them. While evidence suggests that both of these approaches are showing results, with many reforms combining elements of both, this Report finds that ‘voice’-based approaches are often more promising for women, particularly poor women. This is because women’s ability to exercise choice can be constrained. Women’s collective action has historically been — and is still — a powerful means for women to overcome the constraints imposed by individual male and family control, as well as class and other barriers.

Where does the leadership and leverage for women's voice originate? This Report makes clear that women need to be present at all levels of decision-making — in government, the economy and at the community level. In all of these arenas, the fastest route to overcoming embedded resistance to women’s leadership is often the implementation of temporary special measures, such as quotas. Such affirmative measures have been applied for some time in electoral politics, and more recent application to corporate boards and top management shows them to be an effective way of breaking through the glass ceiling in the private sector. Special measures can even be applied to traditional institutions, as seen in requirements that traditional justice systems in Rwanda include women on the bench of elders (Chapter 5), or in the reservations for women in traditional leaders’ forums in local government in South Africa (Chapter 3). But temporary special measures, however useful, are not sufficient in and of themselves for ensuring that women have influence or leverage over decision-making.

Changes in at least two other institutional arenas must accompany these measures. First, women and men in decision-making must be backed by constituencies that actively demand gender equality. Second, institutional capacity must be built to ensure effective implementation of what can sometimes be perceived as countercultural equality policies. This Report has suggested that women’s mobilisation is often most effective when it becomes apparent to the general public that women’s rights and gender equality are in the broader public interest. The leverage of gender equality advocates is significantly expanded when it is not just women mobilising for women’s rights.
Women around the world consistently perceive higher levels of corruption in public institutions than do men, particularly in the public services with which they have the most contact such as in schools and health facilities (Chapter 1).

At the present rate of increase, women’s political representation in developing regions will not reach the “parity zone” of between 40% and 60% until 2047 (Chapter 2).

Services that respond to women’s needs are the ‘litmus test’ of whether accountability is working for women. They show that women’s needs are addressed, and that women are informing and monitoring the ways public priorities are set and paid for (Chapter 3). The data on maternal mortality – currently decreasing at a rate of only 0.4% per year instead of the 5.5% decrease needed to meet the MDG 5 target – is a sign of a serious accountability crisis.

Women’s experiences and perceptions of corruption should inform anti-corruption efforts to ensure that forms of corruption that affect women in particular are addressed. Women beneficiaries of public services should have access to and roles in institutional oversight processes to enable them to perform a monitoring role. The right to information is a powerful tool to enable effective monitoring.

Temporary special measures such as quotas are needed in order to accelerate the increase in women’s political participation. Beyond numbers, the influence of gender equality advocates in politics can be enhanced through democratization of political parties, building women’s Parliamentary Caucuses, political parties’ commitment to and support of women candidates, and governance reforms that bring gender equality into performance measures and monitoring systems.

Governments need to implement institutional reforms to public services to ensure that these services respond to women’s priorities. Reforms must incorporate women’s specific needs and enable women to engage in oversight through monitoring and performance reviews that orient services to women’s needs. More can be done to improve services for women in key areas: building public and private security for women, support for women’s enterprises (beyond micro-finance), agricultural extension support, and social protection, among others.
Women are under-represented in senior management in both the public and private sector around the world. A lack of accountability for protecting women’s labour rights makes poor women in poor countries a low-cost labour pool for global production chains (Chapter 4). Weakly defended labour rights also fuel the growing numbers of women migrating in professional worker categories. This female “brain drain” from developing countries does not bode well for women’s economic leadership in development.

Judicial accountability cannot work for women as long as many forms of violence against women are not criminalised and as long as law enforcement practice is not responsive to women’s protection needs (Chapter 5). There is serious under-investment in rule-of-law reforms that address women’s needs, and most women have few alternatives to informal justice systems where national and international human rights standards may not apply.

To date, no consistent tracking system exists in multilateral institutions to assess the amount of aid allocated to gender equality or women’s empowerment. Within the OECD there is a gender marker to indicate translation of commitments into allocations, but less than half of the funds eligible for ‘screening’ use this marker. Gender-focused aid shows less investment in economic infrastructure than social sectors (Chapter 6). Current approaches to mutual accountability in the use of aid resources do not adequately ensure women’s engagement in determining national spending priorities.

Governments have a responsibility to “manage the market” in the interest of gender equality.

For women, collective action pays off, with union membership reflected in lower pay gaps and stronger labour rights.

Companies committed to building women’s economic leadership have effectively used quotas for women on corporate boards.

Governments should invest in strengthening judicial systems, including through the establishment of Family Courts, particularly in post-conflict states, to provide women with alternatives to informal justice systems. Law enforcement institutions need to recruit more women and set up gender-sensitive victim support units to ensure that women feel safe to report crimes and feel confident that complaints will be investigated and prosecuted.

International institutions can do much more to meet their own commitments and standards on gender equality. Credible and consistent resource tracking systems to reveal the amount spent on women’s empowerment must be established. The gender-focused aid portfolio should be diversified to include more funds allocated to economic infrastructure and private sector development. National spending priorities should be set in consultation with women. The ‘gender architecture’ of expertise and decision-making within aid and security institutions must be strengthened.
Constituencies actively demanding gender equality play a critical role in supporting decision-makers in building public policy to advance women’s rights. But institutional capacity to implement and answer for new directives on gender equality does not emerge as an automatic next step. The key measures that must be taken to ensure institutional capacity have been a main theme of this Report: they include gender-responsive performance measures, dissemination of information on gender differences in policy priorities and resourcing patterns, incentives to reward gender-responsive performance, and operating procedures that ensure response to women’s needs.

Making gender equality ‘mission critical’ to accountability

The combination of leadership positioning, political leverage and institutional capacity should result in making gender equality ‘mission critical’ – and therefore part of accountability processes at all levels of decision-making and in the distribution of resources. The achievement of the Millennium Development Goals (MDGs) depends on gender equality if targets are to be met on time. Security Council Resolution 1820, passed in June 2008, reflects the recognition that widespread and systematic sexual violence constitutes a national and sometimes international security threat, so that the security of all requires specific steps to ensure security for women. Since gender equality is ‘mission-critical’ to poverty reduction and international peace and security, the mandates, operating practices, and deep cultures of international institutions must be revised where necessary to ensure that gender equality is a top priority in the hierarchy of issues for which they are accountable.

The forward agenda for accountability and good governance, then, is to pursue accountability reforms affirming that women matter. A real test of gender-responsive accountability is a reduction in violence

Women around the world are changing the way we think about accountability and democratic governance. Impatient with inadequate service delivery, with gender biased rulings from judges, and with exclusion from market opportunities and from the ranks of decision-makers, women are demanding that power-holders correct for their failures to respond to women’s needs or protect their rights. There are two essential elements to women’s efforts to reform accountability systems. First, women insist that they be included in systems of oversight at every level. Second, the standards against which the actions of power-holders are judged must include the advancement of women’s rights. When we ask ‘Who answers to women?’ we know who should answer to women but who does not. Women are now asking not only that powers-holders answer to women, but that they answer for gender equality, from now on.

Noeleen Heyzer
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against women. UNIFEM maintains that reducing violence against women should be recognised as an MDG target, as it is a critical step in building the capacity of women to engage fully in economic, political and social life. Accountability to women means that justice and security systems are reoriented where necessary to revise laws and directives so as to mandate prevention and prosecution of cases of violence against women. This means revising operating procedures and incentive structures to defend a vast shift in the workload of police and judicial personnel that would be needed to deal with the enormity of the problem. Above all, it entails political commitment to tackle some deeply engrained cultural preferences that regard violence against women as a male prerogative.

The proof of accountability is in the experiences of women going about their normal lives. Are they living lives free of fear of violence? Can they profit from their own hard work? Can they access services that are responsive to their needs as women, mothers, workers, rural dwellers or urban residents? Are they free to make choices about how to live their lives, such as whom to marry, how many children to have, where to live, and how to make a living? Where accountability systems are rid of gender biases, they can ensure that states provide women with physical and economic security, access to basic services, and justice systems to protect their rights.
Part II of *Progress of the World’s Women 2008/2009* reviews achievements in each of the Millennium Development Goals (MDGs) from a gender perspective. The MDGs are the expression of a global aspiration to eliminate human suffering and promote inclusive development, and they have also become a central element of many national planning systems. The MDGs, with their clear, time-bound targets, provide the core elements of a tracking system, with indicators against which progress can be measured and monitored around the world. In this sense, they form a key element of accountability systems — they outline the outcomes expected from national and international investments in poverty reduction, education, health, and environmental protection. They provide not just shared indicators of progress, but they are reviewed in regular global events — such as the High Level Event on the MDGs in September 2008 — during which progress may be assessed, deficits subjected to scrutiny, and efforts redoubled.

### Millennium Development Goals

1. Eradicate extreme poverty and hunger
2. Achieve universal primary education
3. Promote gender equality and empower women
4. Reduce child mortality
5. Improve maternal health
6. Combat HIV/AIDS, malaria and other diseases
7. Ensure environmental sustainability
8. Develop a global partnership for development
Four new targets were added to the MDGs in 2007, three of them bringing a sharper focus on gender equality. The targets are:

- Achieve full and productive employment and decent work for all, including women and young people;
- Achieve, by 2015, universal access to reproductive health;
- Achieve, by 2010, universal access to treatment for HIV/AIDS for all those who need it;
- Reduce biodiversity loss, achieving by 2010 a significant reduction in the rate of loss.

Some of these new targets address the concerns of gender equality advocates about the need for a more expansive vision of global goals in relation to women’s rights, such as those outlined in the 1995 Beijing Platform for Action, which includes elements that were omitted from the MDGs. Still missing, however, is a target on reducing violence against women, which represents a massive constraint on women’s capacity to contribute to the well-being of families and communities and to poverty reduction.

Three years ago Task Force 3 of the Millennium Project included ending violence against women among their 7 priorities for MDG 3. Marked and significant progress in increasing primary school enrolment rates for girls is a sign that countries can deliver for women even in contexts of significant resource scarcity. Successes in meeting primary education targets also show the value of combining gender-focused investments with efforts to mainstream gender across public institutions. Both are needed to ensure that the needs of girls and women receive adequate response in efforts to improve public service delivery.

This present review takes place at a critical moment in the global effort to achieve the MDGs. We are over the halfway point to the target year of 2015, and are now seeing marked trends of progress and backlog. A number of comprehensive reviews of the MDGs catalogue the challenges ahead for realising these Goals around the world. These reviews note with concern that in some regions women are less likely to benefit from progress than men. Furthermore, many countries still lack information regarding their progress, and many more do not report sex-disaggregated data. For these reasons Part II of Progress 2008/2009 spotlights the gender dimensions of each MDGs.

Women’s empowerment is not a stand-alone goal. It is the driver of efforts to eradicate extreme poverty and hunger, achieve universal primary education, reduce child and maternal mortality, and fight against major diseases like HIV/AIDS and malaria. Women’s empowerment is also a driver of sound environmental management and is, finally, essential for ensuring that development aid reaches the poorest through making women a part of national poverty reduction planning and resource allocation. If women are not benefitting from progress in achieving the MDGs as much as men, this represents an accountability problem for national governments and international aid institutions alike. It is a problem that must be tackled decisively in the next seven years.
More Women in Vulnerable Employment than Men

Under MDG 1, a new target added in 2007 addresses productive employment and decent work for all, including women and young people. This focus on female productive employment acknowledges the contribution of female employment to poverty and hunger reduction at the household level. The connection between poverty and employment is particularly relevant when considering those in vulnerable employment, defined as self-employed workers or those contributing to family work with little or no pay. These informal work arrangements usually lack social protection, and pay is usually too low to generate savings.

Vulnerable employment has decreased globally by three percentage points since 1997. But about 1.5 billion people are still in this category and the share is larger for women at 51.7 per cent. This discrepancy is worse in some regions: Eight out of ten women workers are in vulnerable employment in sub-Saharan Africa and South Asia (Figure MDG1.1).
The employment-to-population ratio (Figure MDG1.2) indicates the extent to which economies use the productive potential of men and women: 60 to 80 per cent of all men, but only 20 to 65 per cent of all women are employed, indicating serious gender gaps across all regions. The female employment-to-population ratio further dips to 34 and 22 per cent, respectively, in South Asia and the Middle East and North America.

Global data on extreme poverty is not disaggregated by sex, and it is therefore difficult to see how far women and girls enjoy recently reported gains in reducing poverty and hunger. There has been a significant reduction in poverty: The proportion of people living on less than US$1 a day fell significantly from 31.6 per cent in 1990 to 19.2 per cent in 2004. One-fifth of the world’s population, however—about 980 million people—still lives in poverty. Recent reports indicate that, in spite of the serious remaining obstacles, it may be possible to meet the 2015 target. Particular attention needs to be paid to the fact that poverty reduction seems to have been accompanied by rising inequality. Child hunger has declined at a much slower pace, from 33 per cent in 1990 to only 27 per cent in 2005; at this rate, it is likely that the 2015 target will be missed.

While global progress is important, national-level data indicate that women are still more likely than men to be poor and at risk of hunger because of the systematic discrimination they face in access to education, healthcare and control of assets. For example, in South Africa, two-thirds of female-headed households are poor, compared to only one-third of male-headed households. In Malawi, there are three poor women for every poor man, and this proportion is increasing. Data on child poverty is not sex-disaggregated, and thus it is impossible to assess girls’ progress in hunger or poverty mitigation.

### INDICATORS
- Proportion of population below US$ 1 (PPP) per day
- Poverty gap ratio
- Share of poorest quintile in national consumption
- Prevalence of underweight children under-five years of age
- Proportion of population below minimum level of dietary energy consumption

### NEW INDICATORS
- Growth rate of GDP per person employed
- Employment-to-population ratio
- Proportion of employed people living below US$ 1 (PPP) per day
- Proportion of own-account and contributing family workers in total employment
National and regional progress in increasing girls’ enrolment in school shows how much can be achieved by governments willing to invest in girls’ and women’s rights. The global net enrolment rate has increased from 80 per cent in 1991 to 88 in 2005. The gender gap in enrolment has shrunk in most regions, and the gender gap in literacy is also narrowing. Still, much remains to be done in relation to girls’ education to ensure that girls finish primary and secondary school, to eliminate violence against girls in school, and to bring more non-enrolled girls into school. Of the estimated 72 million primary-age children that were not in school in 2005, 57 per cent were girls, and this may be an underestimate.

Figure MDG2.1 shows improvements in both enrolment rates and the enrolment gender gap. The pace of change in girls enrolment in primary education in sub-Saharan Africa is accelerating. Youth literacy has increased and the gender gap is narrowing in literacy in all regions (Figure MDG2.2) except the Middle East and North Africa.

**TARGET 2A**
Ensure that, by 2015, children everywhere, boys and girls alike, will be able to complete a full course of primary schooling.
Post-primary education is known to have the greatest impact on women's empowerment. Yet girls' enrolment rates in secondary schools have not experienced the same level of increase as in primary education (Figure MDG2.3). Indeed, gender gaps are widening in Central and Eastern Europe and the Commonwealth of Independent States (CEE/CIS) and South Asia.

**INDICATORS**
- Net enrolment ratio in primary education
- Proportion of pupils starting grade 1 who reach last grade of primary
- Literacy rate of 15- to 24-year-olds, women and men
MDG 3 is central to the achievement of all the other MDGs, yet it has only one target, educational parity. While there is a commitment to track, there are no targets for women's share of wage employment and women's share of representative seats in public decision-making. That concrete targets motivate action is evident from the fact that, of these three indicators for women's empowerment, significant progress has been achieved only in the area of education, which is also the target for MDG 2.

Figure MDG3.1 indicates a slow rate of improvement in women’s share of national parliamentary seats: At the current rate of increase, few countries will reach a critical mass of 30 per cent by 2015. The parity zone between 40% and 60% will not be reached in developing countries for another 40 years. As seen in Chapter 2, quotas and reservations play a positive role in accelerating the rate at which women move into public decision-making. Across the world there is a striking contrast between countries with and without quotas (Figure MDG3.2). This difference can be as significant as 16 percentage points, as is the case in South Asia.
Women’s share of waged non-agricultural employment — which brings significant benefits in terms of women’s capacity to control income and decision-making — has increased in the last decade, but only by three percentage points since 1990, to a total of 39 per cent in 2005 (Figure MDG 3.3). At the regional level, in the Middle East and North Africa and in South Asia, only one woman for every four men has a non-agricultural paid job. In sub-Saharan Africa, the proportion is slightly higher: one woman for every three men.

Women’s share in wage employment in the non-agricultural sector has increased across all regions. Globally, women now account for almost 40% of the total employment in this sector. Despite improvements, women’s share of waged non-agricultural employment is still low in sub-Saharan Africa, at around 30%, and in South Asia and the Middle East and North Africa, at less than 20%.

Women in wage employment in the non-agricultural sector (% of total employment in sector, both men and women), 1990-2006

### FIGURE MDG3.2
Countries with Quotas Have Higher Representation of Women in Parliaments – in All Regions

The use of quotas can be instrumental in accelerating the increase of regional averages and in improving the probability of reaching a critical mass of 30% by 2015, as well as the parity zone of 40% to 60% sooner than the estimated 40 years at the current rate.

Share of women in parliaments (% lower or single house), 1997-2008

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Region</th>
<th>1997, no quota</th>
<th>1997, with quota</th>
<th>2008, no quota</th>
<th>2008, with quota</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Sub-Saharan Africa</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>South Asia</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Middle East &amp; North Africa</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Latin America &amp; Caribbean</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>East Asia &amp; Pacific</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CEE/CIS</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Developed Regions</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>30</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Sources: IPU database; IDEA Global Database of Quotas for Women; and IDEA (2003).

In developing regions, it will take 40 years for women to constitute 40% of parliamentary representation.

### FIGURE MDG3.3
Slow Increase in Women’s Waged Employment Outside of Agriculture

Women’s share in wage employment in the non-agricultural sector has increased across all regions. Globally, women now account for almost 40% of the total employment in this sector. Despite improvements, women’s share of waged non-agricultural employment is still low in sub-Saharan Africa, at around 30%, and in South Asia and the Middle East and North Africa, at less than 20%.

Women in wage employment in the non-agricultural sector (% of total employment in sector, both men and women), 1990-2006

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Region</th>
<th>1990</th>
<th>2006</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Developed Regions</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>46</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CEE/CIS</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>48</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Latin America &amp; Caribbean</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>42</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>East Asia &amp; Pacific</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Middle East &amp; North Africa</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>South Asia</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sub-Saharan Africa</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>40</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Sources: Estimates provided by ILO to UNIFEM on request.

### INDICATORS
- Ratios of girls to boys in primary, secondary and tertiary education
- Share of women in wage employment in the non-agricultural sector
- Proportion of seats held by women in national parliament
Gender equality in primary and secondary education is a goal within reach by 2015 (Figure MDG3.4). Parity in primary schooling has already been reached in Latin America and the Caribbean, East Asia and the Pacific and CEE/CIS. Parity will be more challenging but is achievable in secondary and higher education, where the positive impact of female education has been widely demonstrated (see Figure MDG 3.5). Tertiary education – essential for women’s leadership roles in politics, the economy, and administration – presents a different picture, with ratios of 0.6 and 0.7 in sub-Saharan Africa and South Asia, respectively. Combined with the finding that the brain drain of professionals from developing countries is now increasingly female (see Chapter 4), this has implications for building up a pool of women leaders nationally.
Reduce child mortality

The mortality of girl children is a good indicator of gender equality and women’s rights. Not only are the causes of child mortality (disease, malnutrition) linked to women’s health and education, but if girls do not survive at equivalent or higher rates than boys, this can be a sign of specific gender-based discrimination. Child mortality has decreased globally from 106 per 1,000 live births to 83 in 2005. This is not fast enough. To meet MDG4, the mortality rate must drop to 31 per 1,000 live births by 2015. Figure MDG4.1 shows that all regions are seeing a drop in child mortality, but at the current rate of decrease, MDG4 will not be met until 2045.

There are significant regional variations in infant and child mortality rates particularly from a gender perspective. In South Asia and in East Asia and the Pacific, more girls die before their fifth birthday than do boys (see Figure MDG3.5). There has been little deviation from this gender gap since 1990. According to Action Aid, various factors are behind the missing millions of girls and women, including sex-selective pregnancy termination, as well as neglect and discriminatory access to food and medicine.

Women’s education levels – especially secondary and higher – significantly affect child survival and well-being. Figure MDG3.5 shows the link between under-five mortality and immunisation coverage for measles and women’s education. Changes in child mortality levels are strongly differentiated across socio-economic status according to the Millennium Development Goals Report 2007. The most substantial reductions in child mortality have been observed in the richest 40 per cent of households, where mothers have higher levels of education and better access to basic healthcare.
This constitutes the most off-track of all MDGs. Globally, over half a million women every year die during pregnancy or childbirth, and over 90 per cent of these largely preventable deaths occur in developing countries. The link between the MDGs and accountability is nowhere clearer than here: governments that answer to women would invest in preventing these deaths.

Figure MDG5.1 shows that there has been a decrease of less than 7 per cent in maternal deaths between 1990 and 2005. This translates into a decrease in the global maternal mortality ratio from 430 (deaths per 100,000 live births) in 1990 to 400 in 2005. According to recent estimates by the World Health Organisation (WHO), this rate (roughly less than 0.4 per cent per year at the global level) falls far short of the 5.5 per cent annual reduction in maternal deaths required to achieve the global target.

Figure MDG5.1 also illustrates striking regional differences in maternal mortality ratios, which are disproportionally high in sub-Saharan Africa.
at around 920 (deaths per 100,000 live births) in 2005, down only slightly from 1990. On average one in 22 women dies in this region from pregnancy-related causes. High maternal mortality ratios are also prevalent in South Asia, but an important decrease has occurred in this region, from 650 (deaths per 100,000 live births) in 1990 to 500 in 2005. Currently, one in 59 women in the region faces a risk of dying from maternal causes during her lifetime. By contrast, developed regions have a lifetime risk of maternal death of one in 8,000 women (see Chapter 3).

Figure MDG5.2 examines the proportion of births attended by skilled health personnel (doctors, nurses, midwives), the most effective way of preventing maternal death. This proportion has remained virtually unchanged in sub-Saharan Africa over the past 15 years.

According to the UN MDG report 2007, the prevention of unplanned pregnancies could, on its own, reduce maternal deaths by around one quarter, including those that result from unsafe terminations. In this sense, the inclusion of a new target related to universal access to reproductive health care is important, especially when accompanied by indicators such as the unmet need for family planning and the contraceptive prevalence rate. According to the United Nations Statistics Division, 137 million women in the world still have an unmet need for family planning, and contraceptive prevalence has increased from 55 per cent in 1990 to 64 per cent in 2005. Another 64 million are using traditional methods of contraception, which can have high failure rates.
Recent estimates show that there has been a steady increase in the number of HIV-positive women and men. Figure MDG6.1 shows that among all adults living with HIV/AIDS, the share of women living with HIV has increased from 45 per cent in 1990 to 50 per cent in 2007. In developed countries, it can reach 30 per cent. But in regions where the problem is most serious, the pandemic has become feminized. In sub-Saharan Africa the share of women among adults living with HIV/AIDS has increased from 54 per cent in 1990 to over 60 per cent in 2007. In the Caribbean, this has grown from 24 per cent to 43 per cent.

Of particular concern is the elevated level of HIV prevalence among young women (Figure MDG6.2), who are two to three times more likely to be infected with HIV than men in the same age group in high prevalence environments. One reason for this is the lower proportion of young women than men with access to comprehensive and correct knowledge about HIV/AIDS. Figure MDG6.3 shows a considerable gender gap between young women and men who demonstrate a comprehensive and correct knowledge of HIV.

According to WHO, violence is both a cause and consequence of HIV infection. In some countries, the percentage of women reporting that their first sexual experience was forced — one of the reasons for increasing infection rates among young women — is as high as 30 per cent. Infected women sometimes experience further violence from their partners and communities, due to stigma and discrimination. This is one of the most clear-cut connections between eliminating violence against women and achievement of the MDGs.

The new target and indicator on provision of treatment for HIV infection is essential but must be properly focused on women. In 2005 only 11 per cent of pregnant women in low and middle-income countries who were HIV-positive were receiving services to prevent the transmission of the virus to their newborns. HIV/AIDS prevention amongst women is clearly tied to improved reproductive health services, information access, and enforcement of women’s sexual and reproductive rights.
Chapter 3: Services

3 of every 5 adults living with HIV in sub-Saharan Africa are women.

The feminisation of HIV/AIDS infection is increasing in other regions.

INDICATORS
- HIV prevalence among population aged 15-24 years
- Condom use at last high-risk sex
- Proportion of population aged 15-24 years with comprehensive correct knowledge of HIV/AIDS
- Ratio of school attendance of orphans to school attendance of non-orphans aged 10-14 years
- Incidence and death rates associated with malaria
- Proportion of children under 5 sleeping under insecticide-treated bed nets
- Proportion of children under 5 with fever who are treated with appropriate anti-malarial drugs
- Incidence, prevalence and death rates associated with tuberculosis
- Proportion of tuberculosis cases detected and cured under directly observed treatment short course

[NEW] INDICATORS
- Proportion of population with advanced HIV infection with access to antiretroviral drugs

---

Notes: Various household and demographic surveys are used to collect information on men and women with comprehensive knowledge on HIV/AIDS. The complete list of surveys can be accessed through the UNSD website.

Sources: UNAIDS (2008).

---

FIGURE MDG6.2 | Prevalence of HIV Is Increasing Dramatically among Young Women

Young women are two to three times more likely to be infected with HIV than men of the same age group, in selected countries with high HIV prevalence.


<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Country</th>
<th>Male</th>
<th>Female</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Swaziland</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Botswana</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lesotho</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>South Africa</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zambia</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Namibia</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mozambique</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Malawi</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zimbabwe</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Central African Republic</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cameroon</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Uganda</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gabon</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chad</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Equatorial Guinea</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Sources: UNAIDS (2008).

---

FIGURE MDG6.3 | Better Understanding of HIV/AIDS among Young Men than Young Women

Proportion of population aged 15-24 years old with comprehensive correct knowledge of HIV/AIDS in selected countries, 2005-06

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Country</th>
<th>Male</th>
<th>Female</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Congo</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Niger</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Guinea</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cote d’Ivoire</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Djibouti</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Senegal</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>India</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ethiopia</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>44</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nepal</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>44</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Uganda</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>44</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Haiti</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zambia</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>46</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Moldova</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>54</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Viet Nam</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rwanda</td>
<td>51</td>
<td>54</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Notes: Various household and demographic surveys are used to collect information on men and women with comprehensive knowledge on HIV/AIDS. The complete list of surveys can be accessed through the UNSD website.

Sources: UN Statistics Division Millennium Indicators database.
Data is scarce on the impact of environmental degradation and climate change on poor women, but as women often ensure household food security and do the bulk of water and household fuel collection, their time burdens will increase if drought, floods, erratic rainfall, and deforestation undermine the supply and quality of natural resources.

Women and children are usually in charge of fetching and carrying water, an activity that is among the most time- and energy-consuming of household tasks, especially in rural areas (Figure MDG7.1). It is estimated that women and children in Africa alone spend 40 billion hours every year fetching and carrying water – a figure equivalent to a year’s labour for the entire workforce of France.  

The lack of access to improved water in households results in a high time burden for women

**TARGET 7A**
Integrate the principles of sustainable development into country policies and programmes and reverse the loss of environmental resources

**NEW** **TARGET 7B**
Reduce biodiversity loss, achieving, by 2010, a significant reduction in the rate of loss

**TARGET 7C**
Halve, by 2015, the proportion of people without sustainable access to safe drinking water and basic sanitation

**TARGET 7D**
Achieve, by 2020, a significant improvement in the lives of at least 100 million slum dwellers

**FIGURE MDG7.1**
Women Tend to Be the Primary Water Collectors in Households

---

In all but four of the countries reporting on water use, adult women are in charge of water collection in more than half of the households. Women’s responsibility for water collection tends to coincide with poor access to water, thus suggesting a high time burden on women.

**Primary water collector reported, 2006 (% of households)**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Country</th>
<th>Adult Women</th>
<th>Female Child (&lt;15)</th>
<th>Male Child (&lt;15)</th>
<th>Adult Men</th>
<th>No Data</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Guinea Bissau</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gambia</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Somalia</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Togo</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cote d’Ivoire</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bangladesh</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Uzbekistan</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kyrgyzstan</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Montenegro</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thailand</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rep. Macedonia</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vietnam</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Iraq</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bosnia</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Belarus</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Serbia</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jamaica</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cuba</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kazakhstan</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Source:** UNIFEM elaboration based on UNICEF MICS 2004.
Most regions in the world are on track to halve the proportion of people without access to safe drinking water, with global access to improved sources of water up from 78 per cent in 1990 to 83 per cent in 2004.\textsuperscript{25} Still, more than one billion people lack access, most of them in sub-Saharan Africa.

Access to sanitation is also a critical issue for women and girls, as survey data from schools in developing countries shows that the absence of appropriate sanitary facilities often discourages female attendance, especially of girls in puberty. Inadequate sanitation also exacerbates family health risks and women’s vulnerability to violence. In the absence of latrines, women are often expected to wait until dark to relieve themselves, which poses risks of sexual violence and harassment.\textsuperscript{26}

Accountability for the protection of the environment and sustainable use of resources is an important gender issue. Women have less control over natural resources than do men because of power disparities. Yet their responsibilities for family well-being mean that women suffer most directly from environmental degradation. In this context, an increased rate of engagement by women in decision-making over the use of natural resources must be supported.
With uneven progress of the gender equality dimensions of all of the MDGs save education, and significant regional disparities, the message for developing country governments and international aid institutions is clear: investment in gender equality and women’s empowerment is vital for improving economic, social and political conditions in developing countries within the framework of sustainable development. The effectiveness of aid depends on this.

It is a good sign that disbursements of OECD Official Development Assistance (ODA) for gender equality have tripled in 2006 compared to 2002, going up from US$ 2.5 billion to US$ 7.2 billion. This has meant an increase in the proportion of total ODA from 6 to 8 per cent (see Figure MDG8.1).

Although this proportion has increased in most regions of the world, as Figure MDG8.2 shows, improvements vary greatly within regions. The proportion of gender equality-focused bilateral aid (of donors marking for gender)\(^\text{27}\) ranges from over one-third in Latin America and the Caribbean, South Asia and sub-Saharan Africa, to under one-fifth in the Middle East and North Africa and East Asia and the Pacific. These regional differences are clearer when considering the proportion of total ODA. As shown in Figure MDG8.3, this proportion has increased in all regions but the Middle East and North Africa, where the proportion of aid focused on gender equality is less than half of that in any other region.
Another element to consider is the sectoral distribution of the gender equality-focused aid. As shown in Chapter 6, this category of aid is still concentrated in social sectors, while allocations of gender-marked aid on economic infrastructure and private sector development are relatively small.

Although a great deal of international attention is being paid to aid effectiveness, the importance of addressing gender inequality through aid and governance has not been adequately recognized in the largely technical agenda of the Paris Declaration. To date, no consistent tracking system of investment on gender equality aid exists in multilateral institutions; an exception is the OECD gender marker, but less than half of the funds eligible for ‘screening’ use this marker. One step toward improving accountability in this area would be for international aid and security institutions – including multilateral agencies – to agree to a coherent monitoring system for marking aid flows by gender, building on the OECD Gender Equality Marker (GEM). Another step would be to intensify support for collection of sex-disaggregated data, at least across all of the MDGs and also in key ‘missing’ MDG areas such as violence against women. Finally, alliances between gender equality champions within and outside of international institutions must focus their efforts on the OECD Gender Equality Marker (GEM). This graph shows ODA recipients organised according to UNIFEM regional groupings; these also include commitments for multi-country initiatives within specific regions. ODA with no specified recipients or targeting the countries that are not included in the UNIFEM regional classification are listed as ‘Multi-country and others’. Unspecified multi-country aid represents 90% or more of this group. Estimates are based on ODA disbursements (current US$).

Note: See notes in Figure MDG8.1. This graph shows ODA recipients organised according to UNIFEM regional groupings; these also include commitments for multi-country initiatives within specific regions. ODA with no specified recipients or targeting the countries that are not included in the UNIFEM regional classification are listed as ‘Multi-country and others’. Unspecified multi-country aid represents 90% or more of this group. Estimates are based on ODA disbursements (current US$).

Sources: OECD Credit Reporting System (CRS) database 2008.
## Annex 1.

### UNIFEM Regional Groupings

#### Developed regions

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Region</th>
<th>Country 1</th>
<th>Country 2</th>
<th>Country 3</th>
<th>Country 4</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Andorra</td>
<td>France</td>
<td>Japan</td>
<td>Norway</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Australia</td>
<td>Germany</td>
<td>Liechtenstein</td>
<td>Portugal</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Austria</td>
<td>Greece</td>
<td>Luxembourg</td>
<td>San Marino</td>
<td>Spain</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Belgium</td>
<td>Iceland</td>
<td>Malta</td>
<td>Sweden</td>
<td>Switzerland</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Canada</td>
<td>Ireland</td>
<td>Monaco</td>
<td>United Kingdom</td>
<td>United States</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Denmark</td>
<td>Israel</td>
<td>Netherlands</td>
<td>United Kingdom</td>
<td>United States</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Finland</td>
<td>Italy</td>
<td>New Zealand</td>
<td>United Kingdom</td>
<td>United States</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### Central and Eastern Europe & Commonwealth of Independent States (CEE/CIS)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Region</th>
<th>Country 1</th>
<th>Country 2</th>
<th>Country 3</th>
<th>Country 4</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Albania</td>
<td>Cyprus</td>
<td>Latvia</td>
<td>Serbia</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Armenia</td>
<td>Czech Republic</td>
<td>Lithuania</td>
<td>Slovakia</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cyprus</td>
<td>Estonia</td>
<td>Macedonia (TFYR)</td>
<td>Slovenia</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Azerbaijan</td>
<td>Georgia</td>
<td>Moldova</td>
<td>Tajikistan</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Belarus</td>
<td>Hungary</td>
<td>Montenegro</td>
<td>Turkey</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bosnia and Herzegovina</td>
<td>Kazakhstan</td>
<td>Poland</td>
<td>Turkmenistan</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bulgaria</td>
<td>Kyrgyzstan</td>
<td>Romania</td>
<td>Ukraine</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Croatia</td>
<td></td>
<td>Russian Federation</td>
<td>Uzbekistan</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### Latin America & Caribbean

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Region</th>
<th>Country 1</th>
<th>Country 2</th>
<th>Country 3</th>
<th>Country 4</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Antigua and Barbuda</td>
<td>Colombia</td>
<td>Guatemala</td>
<td>Paraguay</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Argentina</td>
<td>Costa Rica</td>
<td>Guyana</td>
<td>Peru</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bahamas</td>
<td>Cuba</td>
<td>Haiti</td>
<td>Saint Kitts and Nevis</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Barbados</td>
<td>Dominica</td>
<td>Honduras</td>
<td>Saint Lucia</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Belize</td>
<td>Dominican Republic</td>
<td>Jamaica</td>
<td>Saint Vincent and the Grenadines</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bolivia</td>
<td>Ecuador</td>
<td>Mexico</td>
<td>Suriname</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Brazil</td>
<td>El Salvador</td>
<td>Nicaragua</td>
<td>Trinidad and Tobago</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chile</td>
<td>Grenada</td>
<td>Panama</td>
<td>Uruguay</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Venezuela (Bolivarian Republic of)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### East Asia & Pacific

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Region</th>
<th>Country 1</th>
<th>Country 2</th>
<th>Country 3</th>
<th>Country 4</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Brunei Darussalam</td>
<td>Korea (Democratic People's Rep. of)</td>
<td>Myanmar</td>
<td>Singapore</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cambodia</td>
<td>Korea (Republic of)</td>
<td>Nauru</td>
<td>Solomon Islands</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>China</td>
<td>Lao People's Democratic Republic</td>
<td>Papua New Guinea</td>
<td>Thailand</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hong Kong, China (SAR)</td>
<td>Malaysia</td>
<td>Viet Nam</td>
<td>Timor-Leste</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fiji</td>
<td>Marshall Islands</td>
<td>Philippines</td>
<td>Tonga</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Indonesia</td>
<td>Micronesia (Federated States of)</td>
<td>Samoa</td>
<td>Tuvalu</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kiribati</td>
<td>Mongolia</td>
<td></td>
<td>Vanuatu</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### Middle East & North Africa

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Region</th>
<th>Country 1</th>
<th>Country 2</th>
<th>Country 3</th>
<th>Country 4</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Algeria</td>
<td>Jordan</td>
<td>Morocco</td>
<td>Saudi Arabia</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bahrain</td>
<td>Kuwait</td>
<td>Occupied Palestinian Territories</td>
<td>Syrian Arab Republic</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Egypt</td>
<td>Lebanon</td>
<td>Oman</td>
<td>Tunisia</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Iraq</td>
<td>Libyan Arab Jamahiriya</td>
<td>Qatar</td>
<td>United Arab Emirates</td>
<td>Yemen</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### South Asia

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Region</th>
<th>Country 1</th>
<th>Country 2</th>
<th>Country 3</th>
<th>Country 4</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Afghanistan</td>
<td>Bhutan</td>
<td>Iran (Islamic Republic of)</td>
<td>Nepal</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bangladesh</td>
<td>India</td>
<td>Maldives</td>
<td>Pakistan</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Sri Lanka</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### Sub-Saharan Africa

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Region</th>
<th>Country 1</th>
<th>Country 2</th>
<th>Country 3</th>
<th>Country 4</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Angola</td>
<td>Congo (Democratic Republic of the)</td>
<td>Liberia</td>
<td>Senegal</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Benin</td>
<td>Djibouti</td>
<td>Madagascar</td>
<td>Seychelles</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Botswana</td>
<td>Equatorial Guinea</td>
<td>Malawi</td>
<td>Sierra Leone</td>
<td>Somalia</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Burkina Faso</td>
<td>Eritrea</td>
<td>Mali</td>
<td>Somalia</td>
<td>South Africa</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Burundi</td>
<td>Ethiopia</td>
<td>Mauritania</td>
<td>Sudan</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cameroon</td>
<td>Gabon</td>
<td>Mauritius</td>
<td>Swaziland</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cape Verde</td>
<td>Gambia</td>
<td>Mozambique</td>
<td>Togo</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Central African Republic</td>
<td>Ghana</td>
<td>Namibia</td>
<td>Uganda</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chad</td>
<td>Guinea</td>
<td>Niger</td>
<td>Zambia</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Comoros</td>
<td>Guinea-Bissau</td>
<td>Nigeria</td>
<td>Zimbabwe</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Congo</td>
<td>Kenya</td>
<td>Sao Tome and Principe</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Côte d’Ivoire</td>
<td>Lesotho</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Year</td>
<td>Resolution</td>
<td>Notes</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------</td>
<td>------------</td>
<td>-------</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1921*</td>
<td>Recommendation concerning Night Work of Women in Agriculture</td>
<td>International Labour Organisation (ILO) General Conference; in order to regulate night time employment of women in agriculture</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1935</td>
<td>Convention concerning Night Work of Women Employed in Industry</td>
<td>ILO General Conference; in order to prevent women from being employed at night. This was modified in the Protocol of 1990 to the Night Work (Women) Convention (Revised)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1948</td>
<td>Convention concerning Night Work of Women Employed in Industry</td>
<td>ILO General Conference; in order to prevent women from being employed at night. This was modified in the Protocol of 1990 to the Night Work (Women) Convention (Revised)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1948</td>
<td>Resolution on the Universal Declaration of Human Rights**</td>
<td>United Nations General Assembly (UNGA); believed to be the world’s most translated document</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1949</td>
<td>Convention for the Suppression of the Traffic in Persons and the Exploitation of the Prostitution of Others</td>
<td>UNGA; against the trafficking of women</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1951</td>
<td>Convention concerning Equal Remuneration for Men and Women Workers for Work of Equal Value</td>
<td>ILO General Conference</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1952</td>
<td>Convention on the Political Rights of Women</td>
<td>UNGA; for women to vote and hold public office without discrimination</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1957</td>
<td>Convention on the Nationality of Married Woman</td>
<td>UNGA; gave women the right to choose their nationality upon marriage</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1958</td>
<td>Convention concerning Discrimination in respect of Employment and Occupation</td>
<td>ILO General Conference</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1960</td>
<td>Convention against Discrimination in Education</td>
<td>United Nations Educational, Scientific and Cultural Organization (UNESCO) General Conference</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1962</td>
<td>Convention on Consent to Marriage, Minimum Age for Marriage and Registration of Marriage</td>
<td>UNGA</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1965</td>
<td>Recommendation on Consent to Marriage, Minimum Age for Marriage and Registration of Marriages</td>
<td>UNGA</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1974</td>
<td>Declaration on the Protection of Women and Children in Emergency and Armed Conflict</td>
<td>UNGA</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1977</td>
<td>Resolution on United Nations Day for Women’s Rights and International Peace</td>
<td>UNGA; the UN began celebrating Women’s Day on 8 March from 1975 onwards, but this resolution was for member states to observe it on any day of the year, in accordance with their own historical and national traditions</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1979</td>
<td>Convention on the Elimination of All Forms of Discrimination Against Women (CEDAW)</td>
<td>UNGA; the keystone women’s rights convention</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1981</td>
<td>Convention concerning Equal Opportunities and Equal Treatment for Men and Women Workers with Family Responsibilities</td>
<td>ILO General Conference</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1989</td>
<td>Convention on the Rights of the Child (CRC)</td>
<td>UNGA; protection of children from early and forced marriage, recognition of adulthood as 18 years, rights to education</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1993</td>
<td>The Vienna Declaration and Programme of Action**</td>
<td>The World Conference on Human Rights; reaffirmed that the human rights of women and of the girl-child are an inalienable, integral and indivisible part of universal human rights</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1993</td>
<td>Declaration on the Elimination of Violence against Women</td>
<td>UNGA; to support and complement CEDAW</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1994</td>
<td>Jakarta Declaration and Plan of Action for the Advancement of Women in Asia and the Pacific</td>
<td>The Second Asian and Pacific Ministerial Conference on Women in Development</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1994</td>
<td>Inter-American Convention on the Prevention, Punishment and Eradication of Violence against Women</td>
<td>Organization of American States (OAS); the Convention is also known as the Convention of Belem do Para</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1994</td>
<td>International Conference on Population and Development (ICPD) Programme of Action</td>
<td>UN global conference; placed women’s rights, health and empowerment at the center of efforts for human rights and sustainable development</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1994</td>
<td>Resolution on Integration of Older Women in Development</td>
<td>UNGA</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1995</td>
<td>Beijing Declaration and Platform for Action</td>
<td>UN Fourth World Conference on Women (FWCW); international commitments to equality, development and peace for women</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1997</td>
<td>Gender and Development - A Declaration</td>
<td>The Southern African Development Community (SADC)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
## ANNEX 2. Selected Landmark Resolutions on Gender Equality (continued)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Resolution</th>
<th>Notes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1998</td>
<td>Resolution on Crime Prevention and Criminal Justice Measures to Eliminate Violence against Women</td>
<td>UNGA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1998</td>
<td>Declaration on Equal Rights and Opportunity for Women and Men and Gender Equity in Inter-American Legal Instruments</td>
<td>OAS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1999</td>
<td>Resolution on Traditional or Customary Practices affecting the Health of Women and Girls</td>
<td>UNGA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1999</td>
<td>Resolution on International Day for the Elimination of Violence against Women</td>
<td>UNGA; in order to designate 25 November</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2000</td>
<td>Resolution on Women in Development</td>
<td>UNGA; reaffirming that gender equality is of fundamental importance for achieving sustained economic growth and sustainable development</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2000</td>
<td>Resolution on Improvement of the Situation of Women in Rural Areas</td>
<td>UNGA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2000</td>
<td>Resolution on Violence against Women Migrant Workers</td>
<td>UNGA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2000</td>
<td>Convention concerning the revision of the Maternity Protection Convention (Revised), 1952</td>
<td>ILO General Conference</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2000</td>
<td>Resolution on Adoption and Implementation of the Inter-American Program on the Promotion of Women’s Human Rights and Gender Equity and Equality</td>
<td>Inter-American Commission of Women (CIM) and OAS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2000</td>
<td>United Nations Millennium Declaration**</td>
<td>UNGA; sets out an international development agenda, Goal 3 is to promote gender equality and empower women</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2000</td>
<td>Security Council Resolution 1325 on Women, Peace and Security</td>
<td>UN Security Council (SC); first SC resolution that specifically addresses the impact of war on women, and women’s contributions to conflict resolution and sustainable peace</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2001</td>
<td>Resolution on the Follow-up to the Fourth World Conference on Women and the outcome of the twenty-third special session of the General Assembly</td>
<td>The United Nations Economic and Social Commission for Asia and the Pacific (ESCAP); recommitting to gender equality and empowering women across the Asia-Pacific region</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2001</td>
<td>The Phitsanulok Declaration on the Advancement of Women in Local Government</td>
<td>UN ESCAP; at the first ever summit of women in local government</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2002</td>
<td>Convention on Preventing and Combating Trafficking in Women and Children for Prostitution</td>
<td>The South Asian Association for Regional Cooperation (SAARC)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2003</td>
<td>Resolution on the Roles of Women and Men in Conflict Prevention, Peacebuilding and Post-Conflict Democratic Processes – a Gender Perspective</td>
<td>5th European Ministerial Conference on Equality between Women and Men</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2003</td>
<td>Protocol to the African Charter on Human and Peoples’ Rights on the Rights of Women in Africa</td>
<td>The Organization of African Unity or the African Union (AU)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2004</td>
<td>Resolution on Conflict prevention and resolution: the role of women</td>
<td>Council of Europe’s Parliamentary Assembly</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2004</td>
<td>Solemn Declaration of Gender Equality in Africa</td>
<td>The African Union (AU)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2004</td>
<td>Beirut Declaration - Arab Women Ten years After Beijing: Call for Peace</td>
<td>The Arab Regional Conference; on the role of women in peace building</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2005</td>
<td>Improvement of the status of women in the United Nations system</td>
<td>UNGA; on representation of women within the UN system</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2005</td>
<td>Resolution on Trafficking in Women and Girls</td>
<td>UNGA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2005</td>
<td>Resolution on the Elimination of All Forms of Violence against Women, including crimes identified in the outcome document of the twenty-third special session of the General Assembly, entitled “Women 2000: Gender Equality, Development and Peace for the Twenty-first Century”</td>
<td>UNGA</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
## Annex 3.
### Women in Politics and Reservations to CEDAW

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Afghanistan</td>
<td>27.7 - 3.7</td>
<td>●  ●</td>
<td>●</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Albania</td>
<td>7.1 - 6.7</td>
<td>●  ●</td>
<td>●</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Algeria</td>
<td>7.7 3.2 10.8</td>
<td>●  ●</td>
<td>●</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Andorra</td>
<td>25.0 7.1 37.5</td>
<td>●  ●</td>
<td>●</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Angola</td>
<td>15.0 9.5 6.3</td>
<td>●  ●</td>
<td>●</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Antigua and Barbuda</td>
<td>10.5 5.3 9.1</td>
<td>●  ●</td>
<td>●</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Argentina</td>
<td>40.0 27.6 23.1</td>
<td>●  ●</td>
<td>●</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Armenia</td>
<td>9.2 6.3 5.9</td>
<td>●  ●</td>
<td>●</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Australia</td>
<td>26.7 15.5 24.1</td>
<td>●  ●</td>
<td>●</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Austria</td>
<td>32.8 26.2 38.5</td>
<td>●  ●</td>
<td>●</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Azerbaijan</td>
<td>11.4 12.0 6.7</td>
<td>●  ●</td>
<td>●</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bahamas</td>
<td>12.2 15.0 8.3</td>
<td>●  ●</td>
<td>●</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bahrain</td>
<td>2.5 - 4.3</td>
<td>●  ●</td>
<td>●</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bangladesh</td>
<td>- 9.1 8.3</td>
<td>●  ●</td>
<td>●</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Barbados</td>
<td>10.0 10.7 27.8</td>
<td>●  ●</td>
<td>●</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Belarus</td>
<td>29.1 - 6.5</td>
<td>●  ●</td>
<td>●</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Belgium</td>
<td>35.3 12.7 23.1</td>
<td>●  ●</td>
<td>●</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Belarus</td>
<td>29.1 - 6.5</td>
<td>●  ●</td>
<td>●</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Belgium</td>
<td>35.3 12.7 23.1</td>
<td>●  ●</td>
<td>●</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bosnia and Herzegovina</td>
<td>11.9 - 0.0</td>
<td>●  ●</td>
<td>●</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Botswana</td>
<td>11.1 8.5 27.8</td>
<td>●  ●</td>
<td>●</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Brazil</td>
<td>9.0 6.6 11.4</td>
<td>●  ●</td>
<td>●</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Brunei Darussalam</td>
<td>- - 7.1</td>
<td>●  ●</td>
<td>●</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bulgaria</td>
<td>21.7 10.8 23.5</td>
<td>●  ●</td>
<td>●</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Burkina Faso</td>
<td>15.3 9.0 14.3</td>
<td>●  ●</td>
<td>●</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Burundi</td>
<td>30.5 - 29.6</td>
<td>●  ●</td>
<td>●</td>
<td></td>
<td>●</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cambodia</td>
<td>19.5 5.8 6.9</td>
<td>●  ●</td>
<td>●</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cameroon</td>
<td>13.9 5.6 11.6</td>
<td>●  ●</td>
<td>●</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Canada</td>
<td>21.3 20.6 16.0</td>
<td>●  ●</td>
<td>●</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cape Verde</td>
<td>18.1 11.1 35.7</td>
<td>●  ●</td>
<td>●</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Central African Republic</td>
<td>10.5 3.5 12.5</td>
<td>●  ●</td>
<td>●</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chad</td>
<td>5.2 2.4 17.2</td>
<td>●  ●</td>
<td>●</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chile</td>
<td>15.0 7.5 40.9</td>
<td>●  ●</td>
<td>●</td>
<td></td>
<td>●</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>China</td>
<td>21.3 - 8.6</td>
<td>●  ●</td>
<td>●</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Colombia</td>
<td>8.4 11.7 23.1</td>
<td>●  ●</td>
<td>●</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Comoros</td>
<td>3.0 0.0 -</td>
<td>●  ●</td>
<td>●</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Congo</td>
<td>7.3 - 13.2</td>
<td>●  ●</td>
<td>●</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Congo (Democratic Republic of the)</td>
<td>8.4 - 12.1</td>
<td>●  ●</td>
<td>●</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Costa Rica</td>
<td>36.8 15.8 29.4</td>
<td>●  ●</td>
<td>●</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Croatia</td>
<td>20.9 7.9 23.5</td>
<td>●  ●</td>
<td>●</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cuba</td>
<td>43.2 22.8 18.8</td>
<td>●  ●</td>
<td>●</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cyprus</td>
<td>14.3 5.4 18.2</td>
<td>●  ●</td>
<td>●</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Women in National Parliaments (% seats in lower or single house)

2008/2007

*Women in Ministerial Positions (% 2008)

Existence of quotas for women’s political representation:

- Type 1: Quota for women in National Parliaments
- Type 2: Quota for women in Ministerial Positions
- Type 3: Quota for women in other public offices
- Type 4: Quota for women in public offices in general
- Type 5: Quota for women in public offices in specific fields

CEDAW Status:

- Protocol
- Optional Protocol

Reservations to CEDAW:

- Type 1
- Type 2
- Type 3
- Type 4
- Type 5

Protocol

- Optional Protocol

Reservations to CEDAW
## ANNEX 3. Women in Politics and Reservations to CEDAW (continued)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Country</th>
<th>Women in National Parliaments (% seats in lower or single house)</th>
<th>Women in Ministerial Positions (%)</th>
<th>Existence of quotas for women’s political representation</th>
<th>Convention on the Elimination of All Forms of Discrimination against Women</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2008a</td>
<td>1997a</td>
<td>2008b</td>
<td>Type 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Czech Republic</td>
<td>15.5</td>
<td>15.0</td>
<td>12.5</td>
<td>●</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Côte d'Ivoire</td>
<td>8.9</td>
<td>8.0</td>
<td>12.5</td>
<td>●</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Denmark</td>
<td>38.0</td>
<td>33.0</td>
<td>36.8</td>
<td>●</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Djibouti</td>
<td>13.8</td>
<td>9.1</td>
<td>21.4</td>
<td>●</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dominican Republic</td>
<td>19.7</td>
<td>11.7</td>
<td>14.5</td>
<td>●</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ecuador</td>
<td>25.0</td>
<td>3.7</td>
<td>35.3</td>
<td>●</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Egypt</td>
<td>1.8</td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>6.5</td>
<td>●</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>El Salvador</td>
<td>16.7</td>
<td>15.5</td>
<td>38.9</td>
<td>●</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Equatorial Guinea</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>8.8</td>
<td>14.0</td>
<td>●</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eritrea</td>
<td>22.0</td>
<td>21.0</td>
<td>17.6</td>
<td>●</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Estonia</td>
<td>20.8</td>
<td>10.9</td>
<td>23.1</td>
<td>●</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ethiopia</td>
<td>21.9</td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>9.5</td>
<td>●</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fiji</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>4.3</td>
<td>8.3</td>
<td>●</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Finland</td>
<td>41.5</td>
<td>33.5</td>
<td>57.9</td>
<td>●</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>France</td>
<td>18.2</td>
<td>10.9</td>
<td>46.7</td>
<td>●</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gabon</td>
<td>16.7</td>
<td>8.3</td>
<td>16.7</td>
<td>●</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gambia</td>
<td>9.4</td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>27.8</td>
<td>●</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Georgia</td>
<td>6.0</td>
<td>6.9</td>
<td>17.6</td>
<td>●</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Germany</td>
<td>31.6</td>
<td>26.2</td>
<td>33.3</td>
<td>●</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ghana</td>
<td>10.9</td>
<td>9.0</td>
<td>15.9</td>
<td>●</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Greece</td>
<td>14.7</td>
<td>6.3</td>
<td>11.8</td>
<td>●</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grenada</td>
<td>26.7</td>
<td>20.0</td>
<td>50.0</td>
<td>●</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Guatemala</td>
<td>12.0</td>
<td>12.5</td>
<td>6.7</td>
<td>●</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Guinea</td>
<td>19.3</td>
<td>7.0</td>
<td>15.8</td>
<td>●</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Guinea-Bissau</td>
<td>14.0</td>
<td>10.0</td>
<td>25.0</td>
<td>●</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Guyana</td>
<td>29.0</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>26.3</td>
<td>●</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Haiti</td>
<td>4.1</td>
<td>3.6</td>
<td>11.1</td>
<td>●</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Honduras</td>
<td>23.4</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>●</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hong Kong, China (SAR)</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>●</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hungary</td>
<td>11.1</td>
<td>11.4</td>
<td>21.4</td>
<td>●</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Iceland</td>
<td>33.3</td>
<td>25.4</td>
<td>36.4</td>
<td>●</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>India</td>
<td>9.1</td>
<td>7.2</td>
<td>10.3</td>
<td>●</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Indonesia</td>
<td>11.6</td>
<td>11.4</td>
<td>10.8</td>
<td>●</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Iran (Islamic Republic of)</td>
<td>2.8</td>
<td>4.9</td>
<td>3.2</td>
<td>●</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Iraq</td>
<td>25.5</td>
<td>6.4</td>
<td>10.3</td>
<td>●</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ireland</td>
<td>13.3</td>
<td>12.0</td>
<td>21.4</td>
<td>●</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Israel</td>
<td>14.2</td>
<td>7.5</td>
<td>12.0</td>
<td>●</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Italy</td>
<td>21.1</td>
<td>11.1</td>
<td>24.0</td>
<td>●</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jamaica</td>
<td>13.3</td>
<td>11.7</td>
<td>11.1</td>
<td>●</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Japan</td>
<td>9.4</td>
<td>4.6</td>
<td>11.8</td>
<td>●</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jordan</td>
<td>6.4</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>14.8</td>
<td>●</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kazakhstan</td>
<td>15.9</td>
<td>13.4</td>
<td>5.6</td>
<td>●</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kenya</td>
<td>9.4</td>
<td>3.0</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>●</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kiribati</td>
<td>4.3</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>7.7</td>
<td>●</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Korea (Democratic People’s Rep. of)</td>
<td>20.1</td>
<td>20.1</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>●</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### ANNEX 3. Women in Politics and Reservations to CEDAW (continued)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Country</th>
<th>2008%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Korea (Republic of)</td>
<td>13.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kuwait</td>
<td>3.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kyrgyzstan</td>
<td>25.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lao People’s Democratic Republic</td>
<td>25.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Latvia</td>
<td>20.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lebanon</td>
<td>4.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lesotho</td>
<td>25.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Liberia</td>
<td>12.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Libyan Arab Jamahiriya</td>
<td>7.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Liechtenstein</td>
<td>24.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Luxembourg</td>
<td>22.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Macedonia (TFYR)</td>
<td>29.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Madagascar</td>
<td>7.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Malawi</td>
<td>13.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Malaysia</td>
<td>10.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maldives</td>
<td>12.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mali</td>
<td>10.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Malta</td>
<td>8.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Marshall Islands</td>
<td>3.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mauritania</td>
<td>22.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mauritius</td>
<td>17.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mexico</td>
<td>23.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Micronesia (Federated States of)</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Moldova</td>
<td>21.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Monaco</td>
<td>25.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mongolia</td>
<td>6.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Montenegro</td>
<td>11.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Morocco</td>
<td>10.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mozambique</td>
<td>34.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Myanmar</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Namibia</td>
<td>26.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nauru</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nepal</td>
<td>33.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Netherlands</td>
<td>39.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>New Zealand</td>
<td>33.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nicaragua</td>
<td>18.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Niger</td>
<td>12.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nigeria</td>
<td>7.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Norway</td>
<td>36.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Occupied Palestinian Territories</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oman</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pakistan</td>
<td>22.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Palau</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Women in National Parliaments (% seats in lower or single house) 2008a/1997a

- **Korea (Republic of)**: 13.7 3.0 5.0
- **Kuwait**: 3.1 0.0 6.7
- **Kyrgyzstan**: 25.6 1.4 18.8
- **Lao People’s Democratic Republic**: 25.2 - 11.1
- **Latvia**: 20.0 9.0 22.2
- **Lebanon**: 4.7 2.3 4.5
- **Lesotho**: 25.0 4.6 31.6
- **Liberia**: 12.5 - 20.0
- **Libyan Arab Jamahiriya**: 7.7 - 0.0
- **Liechtenstein**: 24.0 4.0 20.0
- **Lithuania**: 22.7 17.5 23.1
- **Luxembourg**: 23.3 20.0 14.3
- **Macedonia (TFYR)**: 29.2 3.3 13.6
- **Madagascar**: 7.9 3.7 12.5
- **Malaysia**: 13.0 5.6 23.8
- **Malawi**: 10.8 7.8 9.4
- **Maldives**: 12.0 6.3 14.3
- **Mali**: 10.2 12.2 23.1
- **Mauritania**: 22.1 1.3 12.0
- **Mauritius**: 17.1 7.6 10.0
- **Mexico**: 23.2 14.3 15.8
- **Micronesia (Federated States of)**: 0.0 0.0 14.3
- **Moldova**: 21.8 4.8 10.5
- **Monaco**: 25.0 5.6 0.0
- **Mongolia**: 6.6 7.9 20.0
- **Montenegro**: 11.1 - 6.3
- **Morocco**: 10.5 0.6 19.2
- **Mozambique**: 34.8 25.2 25.9
- **Namibia**: 26.9 22.2 25.0
- **Nauru**: 0.0 - 0.0
- **Nepal**: 33.6 3.4 15.2
- **Netherlands**: 39.3 31.3 33.3
- **New Zealand**: 33.1 29.2 32.1
- **Nicaragua**: 18.5 10.8 33.3
- **Niger**: 14.8 25.8
- **Nigeria**: 7.0 - 22.7
- **Norway**: 36.1 36.4 55.6
- **Occupied Palestinian Territories**: -
- **Oman**: 0.0 - 9.1
- **Pakistan**: 22.5 2.3 3.6
- **Palau**: 0.0 - 0.0
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Country</th>
<th>Women in National Parliaments (% seats in lower or single house)</th>
<th>Women in Ministerial Positions (%)</th>
<th>Existence of quotas for women’s political representation</th>
<th>Convention on the Elimination of All Forms of Discrimination against Women</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Panama</td>
<td>16.7 9.7</td>
<td>23.1</td>
<td>●</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Papua New Guinea</td>
<td>0.9 1.8</td>
<td>3.6</td>
<td>●</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Paraguay</td>
<td>12.5 2.5</td>
<td>18.9</td>
<td>● y/ ● ● ● ●</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Peru</td>
<td>29.2 10.8</td>
<td>29.4</td>
<td>● y/ ● ● ● ●</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Philippines</td>
<td>20.5 11.1</td>
<td>9.1</td>
<td>● ● ● ● ● ● ●</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Poland</td>
<td>20.2 13.0</td>
<td>26.3</td>
<td>● ● ● ● ● ● ●</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Portugal</td>
<td>28.3 13.0</td>
<td>12.5</td>
<td>● y/ ● ● ● ● ●</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Qatar</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>7.7</td>
<td>●</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Romania</td>
<td>9.4 7.3</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>● ● ● ● ● ● ●</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Russian Federation</td>
<td>14.0 10.2</td>
<td>9.5</td>
<td>● ● ● ● ● ● ●</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rwanda</td>
<td>48.8 17.1</td>
<td>16.7</td>
<td>● ● y/ ● ● ● ●</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Saint Kitts and Nevis</td>
<td>6.7 13.3</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>●</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Saint Lucia</td>
<td>11.1 11.8</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>●</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Saint Vincent and the Grenadines</td>
<td>18.2 9.5</td>
<td>21.4</td>
<td>●</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sao Tome and Princape</td>
<td>1.8 7.3</td>
<td>25.0</td>
<td>●</td>
<td>o</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Saudi Arabia</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>●</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Senegal</td>
<td>22.0 11.7</td>
<td>17.9</td>
<td>● ● ● ● ●</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Serbia</td>
<td>21.6</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>16.7</td>
<td>● ● ● ● ●</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Seychelles</td>
<td>23.5 27.3</td>
<td>20.0</td>
<td>●</td>
<td>o</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sierra Leone</td>
<td>13.2</td>
<td>14.3</td>
<td>●</td>
<td>o</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Singapore</td>
<td>24.5 4.8</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>● ● ●</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Slovakia</td>
<td>19.3 14.7</td>
<td>13.3</td>
<td>● ● ●</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Slovenia</td>
<td>12.2 7.8</td>
<td>17.6</td>
<td>● y/ ● ● ● ● ●</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Solomon Islands</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>●</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Somalia</td>
<td>8.2</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>●</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>South Africa</td>
<td>33.0 25.0</td>
<td>44.8</td>
<td>● ● ●</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spain</td>
<td>36.3 24.7</td>
<td>43.8</td>
<td>● y/ ● ● ● ● ●</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sri Lanka</td>
<td>5.8</td>
<td>5.3</td>
<td>5.7</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sudan</td>
<td>18.1 5.3</td>
<td>6.3</td>
<td>●</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Suriname</td>
<td>25.5 15.7</td>
<td>16.7</td>
<td>●</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Swaziland</td>
<td>10.8</td>
<td>3.1</td>
<td>18.8</td>
<td>●</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sweden</td>
<td>47.0 40.4</td>
<td>47.6</td>
<td>● ● ●</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Switzerland</td>
<td>28.5 21.0</td>
<td>42.8</td>
<td>● ● o</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Syrian Arab Republic</td>
<td>12.4</td>
<td>9.6</td>
<td>6.3</td>
<td>●</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tajikistan</td>
<td>17.5</td>
<td>2.8</td>
<td>5.9</td>
<td>● o</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tanzania (United Republic of)</td>
<td>30.4 17.5</td>
<td>20.7</td>
<td>● ● ● ● ● ● ●</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thailand</td>
<td>11.7 5.6</td>
<td>10.0</td>
<td>● ● ● ● ● ● ●</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Timor-Leste</td>
<td>29.2</td>
<td>25.0</td>
<td>● ● ●</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Togo</td>
<td>11.1</td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>9.5</td>
<td>●</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tonga</td>
<td>- 0.0</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>●</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Trinidad and Tobago</td>
<td>26.8 11.1</td>
<td>36.4</td>
<td>● ● ●</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tunisia</td>
<td>22.8</td>
<td>6.7</td>
<td>7.1</td>
<td>● ● ●</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Turkey</td>
<td>9.1</td>
<td>2.4</td>
<td>4.2</td>
<td>● ● o</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
ANNEX 3. Women in Politics and Reservations to CEDAW (continued)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Women in National Parlaments (± seats in lower or single house)</th>
<th>Women in Ministerial Positions (%)</th>
<th>Existence of quotas for women's political representation</th>
<th>Convention on the Elimination of All Forms of Discrimination against Women</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2008*</td>
<td>1997*</td>
<td>2008*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Turkmenistan</td>
<td>16.0</td>
<td>18.0</td>
<td>7.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tuvalu</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>8.3</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Uganda</td>
<td>30.7</td>
<td>18.1</td>
<td>28.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ukraine</td>
<td>8.2</td>
<td>3.8</td>
<td>4.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>United Arab Emirates</td>
<td>22.5</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>8.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>United Kingdom</td>
<td>19.5</td>
<td>18.2</td>
<td>22.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>United States</td>
<td>16.8</td>
<td>11.7</td>
<td>23.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Uruguay</td>
<td>12.1</td>
<td>7.1</td>
<td>28.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Uzbekistan</td>
<td>17.5</td>
<td>6.0</td>
<td>5.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vanuatu</td>
<td>3.8</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>7.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Venezuela (Bolivarian Republic of)</td>
<td>18.6</td>
<td>5.9</td>
<td>21.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Viet Nam</td>
<td>25.8</td>
<td>26.2</td>
<td>4.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yemen</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>5.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zambia</td>
<td>15.2</td>
<td>9.7</td>
<td>16.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zimbabwe</td>
<td>13.5</td>
<td>14.7</td>
<td>16.3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Signature only
- Ratification
- Has reservation

b/ Kuwait: No woman candidate was elected in the 2008 elections. Two women were appointed to the 16-member cabinet sworn in in June 2008. 
As cabinet ministers also sit in parliament, there are two women out of a total of 65 members.
c/ South Africa: The figures on the distribution of seats do not include the 36 special rotating delegates appointed on an ad hoc basis, and all percentages given are therefore calculated on the basis of the 54 permanent seats.
d/ Reflecting appointments up to January 2008. The total includes Deputy Prime Ministers and Ministers. Prime Ministers were also included when they held ministerial portfolios. Vice-Presidents and heads of governmental or public agencies have not been included.
e/ Quota systems are put in place to promote gender balance within political positions. They ensure a “critical minority” which varies from 20 to 40 per cent. Sometimes quotas ensure minimum representation for women; sometimes they ensure minimum representation of either sex (usually 40% in this case). For more information on quotas, including definitions, see IDEA’s Global Database of Quotas for Women (http://www.quotaproject.org/)
f/ Constitutional Quota for National Legislatures

Sources:
Column 1-2: IPU database.
Column 3: IPU poster, based on information obtained from Governments, Permanent Missions to the United Nations, or publicly available information.
Column 4-7: IDEA Global Database for Quotas for Women.
Columns 8-14: UNIFEM systematization based on the Division for the Advancement of Women website.
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